Las Vegas MAR 31-APR 4, 2014 EXPO: APR 1-3

Are VM's Passé?

Ben Golub CEO Docker, Inc.





They told me that I needed a provocative title for this talk.





Q: Are VMs Passé?







Thank you for attending





A different question...

- Q: Is there a better alternative for many use cases & environments?
 - -application management & creation?
 - -application deployment across clusters & clouds?
 - -CI & CD?
 - -scale out?
 - -high performance?
 - -collaborative development?



A: Yes



Agenda

- Server Proliferation and the rise of the VM
- The Matrix from Hell and the need for lightweight, interoperable containers
- Step 1: Making lightweight containers:
 - Containers vs. VMs: how they work
- Step 2: Making containers really interoperable:
- Step 3: Making containers *really* lightweight
- Step 4: Creating a container-based system for app mgt & deployment
- Step 5: Creating an ecosystem around containers
- Where to Use VMs vs. Containers/Docker
- Learn more



Some ancient history: Where did VM's come from?

• **PROBLEM IN 2000:** Server Proliferation/Consolidation

- I've got a single purpose, physical Microsoft Exchange Server, Mac print server, and Custom Unix inventory server
- Machines are getting more powerful
- I want to consolidate all those single purpose, physical servers onto a single server
- BTW--It takes too damn long to provision a physical machine
- ANSWER: Create a Virtual Machine



VM



Results

- Single purpose physical application servers become single purpose virtual servers
- Provisioning a "server" goes from days/weeks to minutes
- Huge cost savings
- An awesome solution to the server consolidation problem
- An awesome solution for creating flexible infrastructures
- Mature ecosystem and tool set for isolation, security, management





What has changed since the VM was developed?

| 2000 | 2014 | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Apps are long lived | Development is iterative and constant | | | |
| Apps are monolithic and developed on a single stack | Apps are created from loosely coupled components, themselves created from a multitude of "stacks" | | | |
| Deployment is to a single server | Deployment is to a variety of servers: VM, physical, cluster, open stack, public cloud, +++ | | | |

• Result: An application isn't easily represented or managed as a single purpose server (whether physical or virtual)



The Problem in 2014



The Matrix From Hell

| | Static website | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
|----|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | Web frontend | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| | Background workers | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| •• | User DB | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| | Analytics DB | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| | Queue | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| | | Development VM | QA Server | Single Prod Server | Onsite Cluster | Public Cloud | Contributor's laptop | Customer Servers |
| | | | 1 | | | | | 111 |



An Inspiration...and some really ancient history: Cargo Transport Pre-1960



docker

Solution: Intermodal Shipping Container

storing

transporting,

0

Multiplicity

docker

methods















...in between, can be loaded and unloaded, stacked, transported efficiently over long distances, and transferred from one mode of transport to another

Can I transport Juickly and smoothly (e.g. from boat to train to truck)

This spawned an Intermodal Shipping Container Ecosystem



- 90% of all cargo now shipped in a standard container
- Order of magnitude reduction in cost and time to load and unload ships
- Massive reduction in losses due to theft or damage
- Huge reduction in freight cost as percent of final goods (from >25% to <3%)
- \rightarrow massive globalization
- 5000 ships deliver 200M containers per year



Let's create a shipping container system for code



Eliminate the matrix from Hell

docker



Step One: Create a lightweight container (vs. VMs)



Containers are isolated, but share OS kernel and, where appropriate, bins/libraries

...result is significantly faster deployment, much less overhead, easier migration, faster restart





A great slide stolen from IBM: Why Containers?

IBM

"Containers as poised as the next VM in our modern Cloud era..."

- Provision in seconds / milliseconds
- Near bare metal runtime performance
- 10 x greater density
- VM-like agility it's still "virtualization"
- Flexibility
 - Containerize a "system"
 - Containerize "application(s)"
- Lightweight
 - Just enough Operating System (JeOS)
 - Minimal per container penalty
- Open source free lower TCO
- Supported with OOTB modern Linux kernel
- Growing in popularity









Step 2: Make the containers easy to use, standardized, interoperable, automatable

- Shipping containers are a standard size, and have hooks and holes in all the same places
- With Docker, Containers get the following:
 - Ease of use, tooling
 - Re-usable components
 - Ability to run on any Linux server today: physical, virtual, VM, cloud, OpenStack, +++
 - (Stay tuned for other O/S's)
 - Ability to move between any of the above in a matter of seconds-no modification or delay
 - Ability to share containerized components
 - Interoperability with all existing devops tools
 - Self contained environment—no dependency hell
 - Tools for how containers work together: linking, nesting, discovery, orchestration, ++
- You get ability to separate app management
 - from infrastructure management





Technical & cultural revolution: separation of concerns

• Dan the Developer

- Worries about what's "inside" the container
 - His code
 - His Libraries
 - His Package Manager
 - His Apps
 - His Data
- All Linux servers look the same



• Oscar the Ops Guy

- Worries about what's "outside" the container
 - Logging
 - Remote access
 - Monitoring
 - Network config
- All containers start, stop, copy, attach, migrate, etc. the same way

Major components of the container:



Step 3: Make containers super lightweight



docker

Step 4: Build a System for creating, managing, deploying code



Including a System for Changes and Updates

docker



Including a System for the Full Lifecycle



APP CREATION





APP DEPLOYMENT





Including a System for Complex Apps



Step 5: Create an Ecosystem



Open Ecosystem Momentum

- Truly open: Apache license, open design, open tooling, non-Docker maintainers
- Downloads: Over 1.2 m container downloads
- Users: Over 45,000 trained developers
- Content: Over 8000 repositories now publishing containers to Docker Index
- Contributors: 380 contributors, 95% of whom don't work for Docker, In.c
- Meetups: Over 80 cities in 30 countries have Docker meetups
- Integrations: OpenStack, RHEL, Ubuntu, Salt, Chef, Puppet, Salt +++
- Github
 - Over 10,000 stars
 - Over 1.7 K forks
 - Over 350 derivative projects

Who is using Docker?

docker

... and hundreds of other small and big companies

- Continuous Integration/Continuous Delivery:
 - Go from developer's laptop, through automated test, to production, and through scaling without modification
- Alternative form of virtualization for multi-tenant services
- Scale-out:
 - Rapidly scale same application across hundreds or thousands of servers...and scale down as rapidly
- Cross Cloud Deployment
 - Move the same application across multiple clouds (public, private, or hybrid) without modification or noticeable delay

Where should I use VMs?

- VMs are definitely the way to go to solve many problems
 - Heterogeneous O/S families: Run Windows app on a Mac Server
 - Using O/S or kernel that doesn't support containers
 - Your real problem is infrastructure management
 - You want the maturity of the VM toolset
 - VM requires unique kernel setup which is not applicable to other VMs on the host (i.e. per VM kernel config)
 - Need to freeze state and live migrate
- But... you can pursue a hybrid strategy: containers on VMs
- Stay tuned for better Docker/Container answers for many of the above

Conclusion

- Multiple forces are driving a reconsideration of how applications should be created, built, deployed, scaled, and managed
- We believe that the right approach is to decouple application management from infrastructure management
- Container based approach (vs. VM approach) provides right level of abstraction
- Enables infrastructure to be managed consistently and stably
- Enables applications to be built flexibly and deployed flexibly
- Provides greater degree of visibility, control, and management of what runs where and what components are allowed
- Massive cost, speed, efficiency savings
- Docker is becoming the standard for containerization

Learn More

- LXC Technical discussion: slideshare.net/BodenRussell/realizing-linux-containerslxc
- Docker project: <u>www.docker.io/</u>
- Follow Docker on Twitter: <u>twitter.com/docker</u>
- Take the Docker interactive tutorial: www.docker.io/gettingstarted/
- Join Docker on IRC: botbot.me/freenode/docker/
- Go to the Docker repository on GitHub: <u>github.com/dotcloud/docker/</u>
- Go to a meetup: www.docker.io/community/#Docker-Meetups
- See what others are doing: www.docker.io/community/
- Come to DockerCon, Jun 9-10, San Francisco: <u>www.dockercon.com</u>

Las Vegas MAR 31-APR 4, 2014

Are VM's Passé?

Ben Golub CEO Docker, Inc.

