

RED HAT :: CHICAGO :: 2009

**SUMMIT**

**FOLLOW US:**

[TWITTER.COM/REDHATSUMMIT](http://TWITTER.COM/REDHATSUMMIT)

**TWEET ABOUT US:**

ADD #SUMMIT AND/OR #JBOSSWORLD TO THE END  
OF YOUR EVENT-RELATED TWEET

presented by



# Unmatched Security Is Manageable

Presenter

Spencer Shimko

Senior Security Engineer, Tresys Technology

Date



# Agenda

- Secure configuration at deployment time
  - Creating a secure state from the get go
  - Methods and tools for deployment
- Monitoring systems after deployment
  - How has my security state changed over time?
- Updating systems at run-time
  - Management of security configuration
  - Management of system updates

# Typical Secure Deployment Technique - Legacy Model

- Start with a general-purpose RHEL install
- Identify “lock-down” configuration
  - 1. Deploy a system in test environment
    - Turn off SELinux (\*cough cough\*)
  - 2. Configure by hand
  - 3. Roll custom RPMs?
- Port these config changes to kickstart
- Create/modify package repository
- Rebuild ISO or add to PXE environment
- Rinse-wash-repeat until “correct”
-

# Problems with Legacy Model

- Do you meet your compliance requirements?
  - PCI, HIPPA, STIG (guidelines), DCID 6/3, NIST 1253
- Is there a gap between your intentions and reality?
  - Gap between requirements and OS functions
- What level of assurance do you have?
  - Evidence to support config == reality
- How will you perform updates as state changes?
-

# A Modest Proposal for Deployment

- Start with a vetted, *security-focused* platform
- Avoid general-purpose OS w/ larger gap
- Reduce error prone hand configuration
- SELinux should be enabled and enforcing
- Many security requirements should be addressed
  - Gap analysis & assurance evidence for base platform
- Admins should be able to focus on
  - Application configuration
  - Environment requirements
-

# Certifiable Linux Integration Platform (CLIP)

- CLIP Goals (simply stated)
  1. Mapping between security reqs and OS functions
  2. Configuration of RHEL that meets security reqs
  3. Evidence to support 1 & 2 (aka documentation)
- 
- But CLIP is much, much more...
-

# CLIP pt. 2

- Provides secure platform focused on security
- 
- Tight, trimmed down RHEL (RHEL 4 & 5)
- 
- Remains useful in general-purpose applications
  - Not just for the government!
-

# CLIP pt. 3

- Common security tools automagically utilized
- 
- SELinux enabled, enforcing, and analyzed
- 
- DAC, iptables, auditing, caps, role separation etc
- 
- Tailor to suit tastes Areas of security addressed
- 
- Confidentiality, integrity, availability, accountability

# CLIP pt. 4

- Implements recommendations and guidance to meet requirements
- 
- Gap analysis between RHEL and security reqs performed
  - Gap addressed
    - Configuration, custom packages, custom policy
- 
-

# CLIP pt. 5

- Mapping created (security reqs -> implementation)
  - Evidence available
  -
- Easy to deploy
  - PXE boot (ala Cobbler), ISO (revisor)
  -
- Utilizes Puppet for configuration
  - Easily extended
  - **Easily re-apply configuration at run-time**
  -

# CLIP pt. 6

- Docs used for reference (randomized jargon)
  - DCID 6/3, NIST 1253 v4, DoD 8500.2, NIST 800-53, STIG
  - Yeah, I know, those are memorable/meaningful names
  -
- Completely open source!

# OK, We've deployed securely. Now what?

# System Monitoring

- How do we know things are still “all good”?
- 
- auditd & syslog report events, not status
  - Necessary but not sufficient
  -
- Need to know if system state is sane
- 
- Configs can change with time

# System Monitoring pt. 2

- Systems need to be patched or updated
- 
- How can I check CVEs against my systems?
- 
- Are the systems even vulnerable to said exposure?
- 
- Do the patches violate my security reqs?
-

# Security Content Automation Protocol (SCAP)

- High-level specification for expressing security guidance & information
- Two languages
  - XCCDF
    - Express “security guidance”, benchmarking and doc generator
    - Think checklists for opting into best-practices tests
  - OVAL – XML schemas for
    - Representing config info, executing analysis, reports results
    - Think “CVE” testing
    -

# Open Vulnerability Assessment Language (OVAL)

- Simply an XML Schema used to express tests
  - against vulnerabilities
    - eg CVEs
  - against known mis-configuration
    - eg world-readable shadow, open mail relay
- Open standard
- Lotsa “content”
  - Content tests specific cases of vulnerabilities & exposures

# OVAL pt. 2

- NIST maintains repo of content
  - Automate compliance activities
  - Check for app misconfigurations (general)
  - Check for software flaws (general)
  -
- Red Hat maintains OVAL content for RHSAs
  - Run content on system, know if RHSAs apply to you
  -

# OVAL pt.3 - So?

- Interpreting OVAL
  - Requires OVAL content + interpreter (OVALDI)
  - Gives you a report of your security state
  - Does not make changes
- Value
  - It can be automated
  - You have evidence of your security state
  - You can react appropriately to this evidence
  - It is a growing industry standard

# Things aren't "all good." Respond?

# Run-Time Security Config & Updates

- Up and coming area, but some thoughts...
- 
- Combine Puppet and OVAL capabilities
  - Map Puppet configuration into OVAL tests
  - Tie OVAL results back into Puppet
- 
- 
-

# Run-Time Security Config & Updates pt. 2

- Query homogenous Linux environments
  - Later heterogenous environments
    - OVAL not necessarily OS specific
    -
- Provide centralized interface
  - For reviewing OVAL results
  - Eventually... react to results
  - 
  -

# Run-Time Security Config & Updates pt. 3

- Puppet configs
  - Can be centralized
  - Can be used to re-apply configs at run-time
  -
- OVAL
  - Content needs to be centralized (in intranet sense)
  - Needs centralized reporting and presentation
  -
- Need to tie OVAL content to Puppet configs

# (Envisioned) Order of Operations

1. Deploy using CLIP as base
2. Run OVAL tests
3. Collect OVAL results & collate
4. Update systems as necessary
  - Don't update unless vulnerable
    - eg, mail servers w/out apache don't need Apache updates
    - CVEs, etc
5. Re-run Puppet
  - Ensures system state still sane after updates

# Demonstration

# Random Linkage

- <http://oss.tresys.com/projects/clip>
- <http://reductivelabs.com/products/puppet/>
- <http://scap.nist.gov/>
- <http://www.redhat.com/oval>
- <http://oval.mitre.org/>

**QUESTIONS?**

**TELL US WHAT YOU THINK:  
REDHAT.COM/SUMMIT-SURVEY**