CATEGORY: COMPUTATIONAL PHYSICS - CP04 CONTACT NAME POSTER Sidi Fu: s6fu@ucsd.edu P5123



Explore Computational Power of Mobile Platforms in Micromagnetic Simulations S. Fu^{1,2}, R. Chang^{1,2}, S. Couture^{1,2}, M. Menarini^{1,2}, M. Escobar^{1,2}, M. Kuteifan^{1,2}, M. Lubarda^{1,2}, D. Gabay^{1,2}, V. Lomakin^{1,2}

1. CMRR, UC San Diego. 2. Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering, UC San Diego



TECHNOLOGY CONFERENCE



CONCLUSIONS RESULTS Embedded computing systems can be efficient for scientific computing • Used FastMag micromagnetic simulator on Jetson TK1 as a test-bed • Jetson TK1 vs. desktop CPU system Large-scale Problem Small-scale Problem • Jeston TK1 vs. desktop GPU system 9.7x Desktop CPU Desktop GPU Performance (1/s) 3.3x 1.8x SpMV NUFFT FFT Algorithms How fast is embedded GPUs running Power Efficiency (1/w) First time demonstrating embedded mobile CPU-GPU computing architectures to run micromagnetic simulations • All parallelization methods achieved good performance FastMag simulator was used as a testbed, showing good • 4 CPU cores: up to 3.1x speed-up performance on all platforms • Jetson TK1: up to 2.6x speed-up • CPU shows good parallelization efficiency, but still limited by • Desktop GPU: up to 22.1x speed-up number of cores Desktop GPU shows high performance compared to all systems, but higher cost and power consumption The mobile embedded system Jetson TK1 platform showed an attractive operations in terms of the cost/power consumption performance ratio • Different performance with explicit and implicit time integration The performance/cost/power operation features may make the mobile platforms feasible for building low-power systems for • In FastMag, larger portion of computation is scientific computing running on CPU with implicit method • However, Tegra K1 CPU is significantly slower than REFERENCES [1] M.J. Donahue, D.G. Porter. "OOMMF User's guide". US Department of **Explicit Time Integration Method** Commerce, Technology Administration, National Institute of Standards and Technology, (1999). [2] FEMME, A Multiscale Micromagnetic Finite Element Package, (2007). [3] M.J. Donahue, "Parallelizing a micromagnetic program for use on multiprocessor shared memory computers," IEEE Trans. Magn 45, 3923 (2009). [4] R. Chang, S. Li, M.V. Lubarda, B. Livshitz, V. Lomakin, "FastMag: Fast micromagnetic simulator for complex magnetic structures", J. Appl. Phys. 109, 07D358 (2011). [5] S. Li, B. Livshitz, V. Lomakin. "Graphics processing unit accelerated micromagnetic solver," IEEE Trans. Magn. 46, 2373 (2010). [6] A. Kakay, E. Westphal, R. Hertel, "Speedup of FEM Micromagnetic Simulations with Graphical Processing Units," IEEE Trans. Magn. 46, 2303 (2010). 1.5 Problem Size 2.5 [7] A. Vansteenkiste, B. Van de Wiele. "MuMax: a new high-performance x 10⁵ micromagnetic simulation tool." J. Magn. Magn. Mat. 323, 2585 (2011). **Implicit Time Integration Method** [8] L. Lopez-Diaz, D. Aurelio, L. Torres, E. Martinez, M. A. Hernandez-Lopez, J Gomez, O. Alejos, M. Carpentieri, G. Finocchio, G. Consolo. "Micromagnetic simulations using graphics processing units." J. Phys. D 45, 323001 (2012). [9] "Europe Wants a Smartphone Supercomputer [News]," IEEE Spectrum 51, 20 (2014). [10] "GPU GFlops", 2014, URL: http://kyokojap.myweb.hinet.net/gpu_gflops/ [11] S. Li, R. Chang, A. Boag, V. Lomakin, "Fast Electromagnetic Integral-Equation Solvers on Graphics Processing Units," IEEE Ant. Prop. Mag. 54, 71 (2012). [12] J. Fidler, T. Schrefl, "Micromagnetic modelling - the current state of the art", Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics 33, no. 15, pp. R135, (2000). [13] S.D. Cohen, A.C. Hindmarsh. "CVODE, a stiff/nonstiff ODE solver in C." Comp. Phys. 10, 138 (1996). [14] L. Nyland, M. Harris, J. Prins, "Fast n-body simulation with cuda." GPU Gems 3, 2.5 1.5 **Problem Size** x 10⁵ 677 (2007).