Virtuozzo

I/O Prefetch Cache as QEMU Block Filter Driver

Pavel Butsykin Virtuozzo, inc. KVM Forum 2016

Prefetch cache

- Idea Fetch the data before it is needed
- There are different types of prefetch cache that focus on different read patterns
- Prefetching sequential data read (read-ahead) is one of the types of such cache

Read-ahead



- Random access
 - can displace a lot of cache
 - can lead to performance degradation
- Sequential read detection?

QEMU Block Filter Driver



Parallel and sequential read



Sequential read detection



PCache AIO read overview

- Skip large requests (by default larger then 64Kb)
- Update request statistics
- Cache lookup
 - hit
 - partial hit
 - miss
- Read-ahead
 - check request sequence
 - read into cache a chunk of data form the end of the current request

Cache memory

The cache memory has limited size (4Mb by default)

• The cache is managed by LRU algorithm



Virtuozzo

RBTree key

Why you need LRU for the prefetch cache?

If you have read one part of the node, then there is a high probability that you will soon read the remaining parts of the node.



Partial cache hit



PCache AIO write overview

- Drop all nodes intersecting with request
- Write-through

Rescheduling AIO requests



What to do if the requested node is in-flight?

PCache AIO request complete



Read-ahead policy

- Original requests are not written to the cache and only serve to update statistics
- Filtering of large requests helps to detect sequential read
- If part of the readahead is already in the cache then only the missing pieces will be fetched from disk



	SSDSC2BW120A4 EX14	QEMU 2.6.50 VirtIO qcow2 Linux 4.4.0 Fedora-22 2SMP 2GB VM	QEMU 2.6.50 VirtIO qcow2 Linux 4.4.0 Fedora-22 2SMP 2GB VM + dataplane	QEMU 2.6.50 VirtIO qcow2 Linux 4.4.0 Fedora-22 2SMP 2GB VM + pcache		QEMU 2.6.50 VirtIO qcow2 Linux 4.4.0 Fedora-22 2SMP 2GB VM + dataplane + pcache		
# ↓	I/O Test	#1	vs #1	vs #1	vs #2	vs #1	vs #2	vs #3
1	2G-read-seq-4K(01)	100%	+10.7%	+ 341 %	+298 %	+ 490 %	+433%	+33.7%
2	2G-read-seq-4K(04)	100%	+5.0%	+231%	+215%	+225%	+210%	-1.7%
3	2G-read-seq-4K(16)	100%	+0.1%	+80.3%	+80.1%	+72.1%	+71.9%	-4.6%
4	2G-read-seq-4K-AIO4(01)	100%	+43.3%	+190%	+102%	+191%	+103%	+0.2%
5	2G-read-seq-4K-AIO4(04)	100%	+1.4%	+79.0%	+76.6%	+78.2%	+75.8%	-0.4%
6	2G-read-seq-4K-AIO4 (16)	100%	+0.6%	+77.6%	+76.5%	+70.3%	+69.3%	-4.1 %
7	2G-read-seq-4K-AIO32(01)	100%	-7.8%	-0.8%	+7.6%	+1.2%	+9.7%	+2.0%
8	2G-read-seq-4K-AIO32(04)	100%	-4.4 %	+21.8%	+27.4%	+24.9 %	+30.7%	+2.6%
9	2G-read-seq-4K-AIO32(16)	100%	-3.0%	+46.4%	+50.8%	+46.7 %	+51.1%	+0.2%
10	2G-read-rnd-4K(01)	100%	+1.1%	+3.0%	+1.9%	+1.9%	+0.9%	-1.0%
11	2G-read-rnd-4K(04)	100%	+2.5%	+0.4%	-2.0%	+1.7%	-0.8%	+1.3%
12	2G-read-rnd-4K(16)	100%	+7.1%	+5.4%	-1.6%	+5.7%	-1.3%	+0.3%
13	2G-read-rnd-4K-AIO4 (01)	100%	+1.2%	-0.8%	-1.9%	+1.5%	+0.3%	+2.3%
14	2G-read-rnd-4K-AIO4 (04)	100%	+2.4%	+6.9%	+4.3%	+7.2%	+4.7 %	+0.3%
15	2G-read-rnd-4K-AIO4 (16)	100%	-0.5%	+4.9%	+5.4%	+4.1%	+4.6 %	-0.8%
16	2G-read-rnd-4K-AIO32(01)	100%	+1.4%	+0.1%	-1.3%	+1.5%	+0.1%	+1.4 %
17	2G-read-rnd-4K-AIO32(04)	100%	+2.9%	+0.4%	-2.5%	+3.1%	+0.1%	+2.7%
18	2G-read-rnd-4K-AIO32(16)	100%	-4.6%	-0.5%	+4.3%	+0.6%	+5.4%	+1.1%

Read directory

	SSDSC2BW120A4 EXT4	QEMU 2.6.50 VirtIO qcow2 Linux 4.4.0 Fedora-22 2SMP 2GB VM	QEMU 2.6.50 Virtl Fedora-22 2SMF	O qcow2 Linux 4.4.0 2GB VM + pcache
#↓	Test	Scores	Scores	vs #1
1	dir_readdir Testcase: create a directory and populate it with 10 subdirs and 10 files with max depth 3 once before test. Total: there are 10^3 dirs and 10^3 files.: All files are empty: (1) open() root dir, then readdir() recursively, close():	134	137	+1.9%

Qemu bench

\$./qemu-img bench -d 1 -c 262144 -f qcow2 -s 4096 -S 4096 -t none ./image.qcow2 Sending 262144 read requests, 4096 bytes each, 1 in parallel (starting at offset 0, step size 4096) Run completed in 19,594 seconds. \$./gemu-img bench -d 1 -c 262144 -f pcache -s 4096 -S 4096 -t none ./image.gcow2 Sending 262144 read requests, 4096 bytes each, 1 in parallel (starting at offset 0, step size 4096) Run completed in 4.378 seconds. \$./qemu-img bench -d 8 -c 262144 -f qcow2 -s 4096 -S 4096 -t none ./image.qcow2 Sending 262144 read requests, 4096 bytes each, 8 in parallel (starting at offset 0, step size 4096) Run completed in 5.933 seconds. \$./qemu-img bench -d 8 -c 262144 -f pcache -s 4096 -S 4096 -t none ./image.qcow2 Sending 262144 read requests, 4096 bytes each, 8 in parallel (starting at offset 0, step size 4096) Run completed in 4.356 seconds. \$./qemu-img bench -d 64 -c 262144 -f qcow2 -s 4096 -S 4096 -t none ./image.qcow2 Sending 262144 read requests, 4096 bytes each, 64 in parallel (starting at offset 0, step size 4096) Run completed in 4.659 seconds. \$./qemu-img bench -d 64 -c 262144 -f pcache -s 4096 -S 4096 -t none ./image.qcow2 Sending 262144 read requests, 4096 bytes each, 64 in parallel (starting at offset 0, step size 4096) Run completed in 4.204 seconds.

4K AIO read requests (pcache)



4K AIO read requests (pcache + iothread)



Conclusions

- PCache can optimize certain I/O patterns without pessimizing others
- PCache implementation in the form of the driver filter is unintrusive
- PCache is not universally useful, benchmark your patterns before enabling

Questions?