# Refined Environment Classifiers Type- and Scope-safe Code Generation with Mutable Cells

Oleg Kiselyov Yukiyoshi Kameyama Yuto Sudo

Tohoku University

University of Tsukuba

APLAS 2016 November 22, 2016

## Region Memory Management for Free Variables Type- and Scope-safe Code Generation with Mutable Cells

Oleg Kiselyov Yukiyoshi Kameyama Yuto Sudo

Tohoku University

University of Tsukuba

APLAS 2016 November 22, 2016

# Summary

First stage calculus  $\langle NJ \rangle$  for imperative code generators without ad hoc restrictions

- ▶ Store open code and retrieve in a different binding environment
- ▶ Proven sound type system: generated code is always well-typed and *well-scoped*
- ▶ Distillation of StagedHaskell

#### Practical

- ▶ Justification of (of a part of) StagedHaskell
- ▶ Easily embeddable (in OCaml) and can actually be used

#### Insightful

# Insights

- ▶ Region-based memory management
- ▶ Contextual Modal Type Theory
- $\blacktriangleright$  ML<sup>F</sup>
- ▶ Overcoming the bureaucracy of syntax for names
- ▶ What is lexical scope, after all

### Why code generation

Program like

$$
y_k = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x_j e^{-2\pi i j k/N} \quad k = 0..N-1
$$

but run like

**fun** × 35 →

let 
$$
t.36 = x.35.(0) + x.35.(4)
$$
 in  
let  $t.37 = x.35.(1) + x.35.(5)$  in  
let  $t.38 = x.35.(0) - x.35.(4)$  in  
let  $t.39 = x.35.(1) - x.35.(5)$  in  
let  $t.40 = x.35.(2) + x.35.(6)$  in  
let  $t.41 = x.35.(3) + x.35.(7)$  in  
let  $t.42 = x.35.(2) - x.35.(6)$  in  
let  $t.43 = x.35.(3) - x.35.(7)$  in  
let  $t.44 = t.36 + t.40$  in  
let  $t.45 = t.37 + t.41$  in  
let  $t.46 = t.36 - t.40$  in  
let  $t.47 = t.37 - t.41$  in

# Why code generation

. . .

\n The sum of the two sides of the equation 
$$
x - 35
$$
 and  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n (a) The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

\n\n The sum of the equation  $x - 35$ .\n

- $\triangleright$  write and re-write, and re-write,... generators
- ▶ some degree of correctness is needed: well-typedness and well-boundness

Is well-scopedness so important?

*The re-factor solved performance issues in our use case of LMS which appeared due to the huge size of code we tend to generate. While we were able to resolve the performance issues, we introduced new bugs . . . [that] would manifest in errors such as:*

forward reference extends over definition of value x1620 [error] val x1343 = x1232(x1123, x1124, x1180, x1181, x1223, x1224, x1223, x1229, x1216, x1120, x1122, x1121)

*Note that variables are indexed in ascending order starting at zero, meaning that a large piece of code is processed before we hit this error. The root cause of bugs such as this one often proved to be very simple but heavily obfuscated in the code it manifested in. The concrete example was triggered by the code motion. . .*

RandIR: Differential Testing for Embedded Compilers. Ofenbeck, Rompf, Püschel. Scala Symposium 2016.

- $\blacktriangleright$   $\lambda^{\circ}$  (1996),  $\lambda^{\alpha}$  (2003),...
- $\blacktriangleright$  MiniML $_{\mathsf{ref}}^{\mathsf{meta}}$  (2000), Mint (2010),...
- $\blacktriangleright$  pure fresh ML  $(2007), \ldots$

- $\triangleright$   $\lambda^{\circ}$  (1996),  $\lambda^{\alpha}$  (2003),...
- $\blacktriangleright$  MiniML $_{\mathsf{ref}}^{\mathsf{meta}}$  (2000), Mint (2010),...
- $\blacktriangleright$  pure fresh ML  $(2007)$ ,...
- $\blacktriangleright$  No effects

- $\blacktriangleright$   $\lambda^{\circ}$  (1996),  $\lambda^{\alpha}$  (2003),...
- $\blacktriangleright$  MiniML $_{\mathsf{ref}}^{\mathsf{meta}}$  (2000), Mint (2010),...
- $\blacktriangleright$  pure fresh ML  $(2007)$ ,...
- ▶ Only closed code can be stored

- $\blacktriangleright$   $\lambda^{\circ}$  (1996),  $\lambda^{\alpha}$  (2003),...
- $\blacktriangleright$  MiniML $_{\mathsf{ref}}^{\mathsf{meta}}$  (2000), Mint (2010),...
- $\blacktriangleright$  pure fresh ML  $(2007)$ ,...
- ▶ So complex it is not even implemented

- $\blacktriangleright$   $\lambda^{\circ}$  (1996),  $\lambda^{\alpha}$  (2003),...
- $\blacktriangleright$  MiniML $_{\mathsf{ref}}^{\mathsf{meta}}$  (2000), Mint (2010),...
- $\blacktriangleright$  pure fresh ML  $(2007)$ ,...

#### $\triangleright$  Can emulate mutation/control effects with state-passing, CPS?

- $\blacktriangleright$   $\lambda^{\circ}$  (1996),  $\lambda^{\alpha}$  (2003),...
- $\blacktriangleright$  MiniML $_{\mathsf{ref}}^{\mathsf{meta}}$  (2000), Mint (2010),...
- $\blacktriangleright$  pure fresh ML  $(2007)$ ,...

- $\triangleright$  Can emulate mutation/control effects with state-passing, CPS?
- ▶ Yes, but we can't do code movement across binders

<NJ> is the standard CBV *λ*-calculus with constants for code-generation

power  $x n = x^n$ 

**let** body =  $\lambda$ f n x. **if** n=0 **then** cint 1 **else** x  $*$  f (n-1) x **in let** power =  $\lambda$ n.  $\lambda$ x. (fix body) n x **in** power 2

**let** body =  $\lambda$ f n x. **if** n=0 **then** cint 1 **else**  $x * f(n-1) \times in$ **let** power =  $\lambda$ n.  $\lambda$ x. (fix body) n x **in** power 2

⇝*∗* **let** body = . . . **in** *λ*x. (fix body) 2 x

**let** body =  $\lambda$ f n x. **if** n=0 **then** cint 1 **else** x  $*$  f (n-1) x **in let** power =  $\lambda$ n.  $\lambda$ x. (fix body) n x **in** power 2

$$
\rightsquigarrow^* \text{let body} = \dots \text{ in } \underline{\lambda} x. \text{ (fix body) } 2 x
$$

 $\rightarrow$  **let** body = ... **in**  $\lambda$ y.( fix body) 2  $\langle y \rangle$ 

**let** body =  $\lambda$ f n x. **if** n=0 **then** cint 1 **else**  $x * f(n-1) \times in$ **let** power =  $\lambda$ n.  $\lambda$ x. (fix body) n x **in** power 2

$$
\rightsquigarrow^* \text{let body} = \dots \text{ in } \underline{\lambda}x. \text{ (fix body) } 2 \times
$$

 $\rightarrow$  **let** body = ... **in**  $\lambda$ y.( fix body) 2  $\langle y \rangle$ 

 $\rightarrow$  **let** body =  $\ldots$  in *λ*y. **if** 2=0 **then** cint 1 **else** *⟨*y*⟩ ∗* ( fix body) 1 *⟨*y*⟩*

**let** body =  $\lambda$ f n x. **if** n=0 **then** cint 1 **else**  $x * f(n-1) \times in$ **let** power =  $\lambda$ n.  $\lambda$ x. (fix body) n x **in** power 2 ⇝*∗* **let** body = . . . **in** *λ*x. (fix body) 2 x  $\rightarrow$  **let** body = ... **in**  $\lambda$ y.( fix body) 2  $\langle y \rangle$  $\rightarrow$  **let** body = ... **in** *λ*y. **if** 2=0 **then** cint 1 **else** *⟨*y*⟩ ∗* ( fix body) 1 *⟨*y*⟩* ⇝*<sup>∗</sup> λ*y. *⟨*y*⟩ ∗ ⟨*y*⟩ ∗* cint 1

**let** body =  $\lambda$ f n x. **if** n=0 **then** cint 1 **else** x  $*$  f (n-1) x **in let** power =  $\lambda$ n.  $\lambda$ x. (fix body) n x **in** power 2 ⇝*∗* **let** body = . . . **in** *λ*x. (fix body) 2 x  $\rightarrow$  **let** body = ... **in**  $\lambda$ y.( fix body) 2  $\langle y \rangle$  $\rightarrow$  **let** body = ... **in**  $\lambda$ y. **if** 2=0 **then** cint 1 **else**  $\langle y \rangle \times$  (fix body) 1  $\langle y \rangle$ ⇝*<sup>∗</sup> λ*y. *⟨*y*⟩ ∗ ⟨*y*⟩ ∗* cint 1 ⇝ *λ*y. *⟨*y*⟩ ∗ ⟨*y*⟩ ∗ ⟨*1*⟩*

**let** body =  $\lambda$ f n x. **if** n=0 **then** cint 1 **else**  $x * f(n-1) \times in$ **let** power  $=$   $\lambda$ n.  $\lambda$ x. (fix body) n x **in** power 2 ⇝*∗* **let** body = . . . **in** *λ*x. (fix body) 2 x  $\rightarrow$  **let** body = ... **in**  $\lambda$ y.( fix body) 2  $\langle y \rangle$  $\rightarrow$  **let** body = ... **in** *λ*y. **if** 2=0 **then** cint 1 **else** *⟨*y*⟩ ∗* ( fix body) 1 *⟨*y*⟩* ⇝*<sup>∗</sup> λ*y. *⟨*y*⟩ ∗ ⟨*y*⟩ ∗* cint 1 ⇝ *λ*y. *⟨*y*⟩ ∗ ⟨*y*⟩ ∗ ⟨*1*⟩* ⇝ *λ*y. *⟨*y*⟩ ∗ ⟨*y *∗* 1*⟩* ⇝*<sup>∗</sup> λ*y. *⟨*y *∗* y *∗* 1*⟩*

**let** body =  $\lambda$ f n x. **if** n=0 **then** cint 1 **else**  $x * f(n-1) \times in$ **let** power =  $\lambda$ n.  $\lambda$ x. (fix body) n x **in** power 2 ⇝*∗* **let** body = . . . **in** *λ*x. (fix body) 2 x  $\rightarrow$  **let** body = ... **in**  $\lambda$ y.( fix body) 2  $\langle y \rangle$  $\rightarrow$  **let** body = ... **in** *λ*y. **if** 2=0 **then** cint 1 **else** *⟨*y*⟩ ∗* ( fix body) 1 *⟨*y*⟩* ⇝*<sup>∗</sup> λ*y. *⟨*y*⟩ ∗ ⟨*y*⟩ ∗* cint 1 ⇝ *λ*y. *⟨*y*⟩ ∗ ⟨*y*⟩ ∗ ⟨*1*⟩* ⇝ *λ*y. *⟨*y*⟩ ∗ ⟨*y *∗* 1*⟩* ⇝*<sup>∗</sup> λ*y. *⟨*y *∗* y *∗* 1*⟩* ⇝ *⟨λ*y. y *∗* y *∗* 1*⟩*

Generating a function takes two steps:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Generate a variable name
- ▶ eventually, generate a binder for it

**let** body =  $\lambda$ n. $\lambda$ x. **let**  $r = ref$  (cint 1) **in** fix  $(\lambda f \cdot \lambda n)$ . **if**  $n = 0$  **then** 0 **else**  $(r := 1r * x; f(n-1))$  n; !**r in let** power =  $\lambda$ n.  $\lambda$ x. body n x **in** power 2

let body = 
$$
\lambda n.\lambda x
$$
. let  $r = ref(\underline{crit} 1)$  in  
fix  $(\lambda f.\lambda n$ . if  $n = 0$  then 0 else  $(r := !r * x$ ;  $f(n-1))$ ) n; !r in  
let power =  $\lambda n.\lambda x$ . body n x in power 2  
 $\leadsto^*$  let  $r = ref \langle 1 \rangle$  in  
 $\underline{\lambda} y$ . (if 2 = 0 then 0 else  $(r := !r * \langle y \rangle$ ; fix f 1); !r)

let body = 
$$
\lambda n.\lambda x
$$
. let  $r = \text{ref}(\text{cint } 1)$  in

\nfix  $(\lambda f.\lambda n.\text{ if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (r := !r \cdot x;\text{ f } (n-1)))$  n; !r in

\nlet power =  $\lambda n.\Delta x.$  body n × in power 2

\n $\leadsto^*$  let  $r = \text{ref} \langle 1 \rangle$  in

\n $\Delta y.$  (if 2 = 0 then 0 else (r := !r \cdot x \langle y \rangle; fix f 1); !r)

\n $\leadsto^*$  let  $r = \text{ref} \langle 1 * y \rangle$  in

\n $\Delta y.$  (if 1 = 0 then 0 else (r := !r \cdot x \langle y \rangle; fix f 1); !r)

let body = 
$$
\lambda n.\lambda x
$$
. let  $r = ref(\underline{cint} 1)$  in  
\nfix ( $\lambda f.\lambda n$ . if  $n = 0$  then 0 else ( $r := !r * x$ ;  $f(n-1)$ )) n; !r in  
\nlet power =  $\lambda n.\Delta x$ . body  $n \times in$  power 2  
\n $\leadsto^*$  let  $r = ref \langle 1 \rangle$  in  
\n $\underline{\lambda} y.$  (if 2 = 0 then 0 else ( $r := !r * \langle y \rangle$ ; fix  $f 1$ ); !r)  
\n $\leadsto^*$  let  $r = ref \langle 1 * y \rangle$  in  
\n $\underline{\lambda} y.$  (if 1 = 0 then 0 else ( $r := !r * \langle y \rangle$ ; fix  $f 1$ ); !r)  
\n $\leadsto^*$  let  $r = ref \langle 1 * y * y \rangle$  in  $\underline{\lambda} y.$  !r

let body = 
$$
\lambda n.\lambda x
$$
. let  $r = ref(\underline{cint} 1)$  in  
\nfix ( $\lambda f.\lambda n$ . if  $n = 0$  then 0 else ( $r := !r * x$ ;  $f(n-1)$ )) n; !r in  
\nlet power =  $\lambda n.\Delta x$ . body  $n \times in$  power 2  
\n $\leadsto^*$  let  $r = ref \langle 1 \rangle$  in  
\n $\underline{\lambda} y$ . (if 2 = 0 then 0 else ( $r := !r * \langle y \rangle$ ; fix  $f 1$ ); !r)  
\n $\leadsto^*$  let  $r = ref \langle 1 * y \rangle$  in  
\n $\underline{\lambda} y$ . (if 1 = 0 then 0 else ( $r := !r * \langle y \rangle$ ; fix  $f 1$ ); !r)  
\n $\leadsto^*$  let  $r = ref \langle 1 * y * y \rangle$  in  $\underline{\lambda} y$ . !r  
\n $\leadsto \underline{\lambda} y$ .  $\langle 1 * y * y \rangle \leadsto \langle \lambda y, 1 * y * y \rangle$ 

**let**  $r = ref \underline{cint} 0$  **in**  $(\lambda x. r := x);$  ! r

**let**  $r = ref \underline{cint} 0$  **in**  $(\lambda x. r := x);$  ! r

 $\rightarrow$  **let** r = **ref** cint 0 **in** ( $\lambda$ y. r :=  $\langle y \rangle$ ); !r

let 
$$
r = \text{ref} \underbrace{\text{cint}} 0 \text{ in } (\underbrace{\lambda} x. \ r := x); \text{!}
$$

\n $\rightsquigarrow \text{let } r = \text{ref} \underbrace{\text{cint}} 0 \text{ in } (\underbrace{\lambda} y. \ r := \langle y \rangle); \text{!}$ 

\n $\rightsquigarrow \text{let } r = \text{ref} \ \langle y \rangle \text{ in } (\underbrace{\lambda} y. \ \langle y \rangle); \text{!}$ 

let 
$$
r = \text{ref} \underbrace{\text{cint}} 0 \text{ in } (\underbrace{\lambda} x. \ r := x); \ l \rightharpoonup \text{let } r = \text{ref} \underbrace{\text{cint}} 0 \text{ in } (\underbrace{\lambda} y. \ r := \langle y \rangle); \ l \rightharpoonup \text{let } r = \text{ref } \langle y \rangle \text{ in } (\underbrace{\lambda} y. \langle y \rangle); \ l \rightharpoonup \text{let } r = \text{ref } \langle y \rangle \text{ in } l \rightharpoonup \text{let } r
$$

let 
$$
r = \text{ref} \underbrace{\text{cint}} 0 \text{ in } (\underbrace{\lambda} x. r := x); \text{!} r
$$

\n $\rightsquigarrow$  let  $r = \text{ref} \underbrace{\text{cint}} 0 \text{ in } (\underbrace{\lambda} y. r := \langle y \rangle); \text{!} r$ 

\n $\rightsquigarrow$  let  $r = \text{ref} \langle y \rangle$  in  $(\underbrace{\lambda} y. \langle y \rangle); \text{!} r$ 

\n $\rightsquigarrow$  let  $r = \text{ref} \langle y \rangle$  in  $!\gamma$ 

\n $\rightsquigarrow$  let  $r = \text{ref} \langle y \rangle$  in  $\langle y \rangle$ 

Type System (outline)

$$
\vdash \langle y \rangle \colon \mathbin{?} ?
$$

# Type System (outline)

Γ *⊢ ⟨*y*⟩*: *⟨*int*⟩* ?? where y:int *<sup>∈</sup>* <sup>Γ</sup> We are manipulating open code: cf. "Open CBV" yesterday Annotate the type of a code value with *some form* of Γ

- $\blacktriangleright$  size of  $\Gamma$
- ▶ Γ itself (CMTT)
- $\blacktriangleright \Gamma$  (without names, just a sequence of types)

### Annotated code types by example

**let**  $r = ref \underline{cint} 0$  **in** ( $\underline{\lambda}z$ .  $\underline{\lambda}x$ .  $r := x$ );  $'r : \underline{\langle int \rangle}^{(int, (bool,)))}$ 

<sup>Γ</sup> *<sup>⊢</sup>* z: *<sup>&</sup>lt;*bool*>*(*bool,*())  $\Gamma \vdash x$ :  $\langle \text{int} \rangle^{(int, (bool,)))}$ <sup>Γ</sup> *<sup>⊢</sup>* r: *<sup>&</sup>lt;*int*>*(*int,*(*bool,*())) **ref**

### Annotated code types by example

**let**  $r = ref \underline{cint} 0$  **in** ( $\lambda z$ .  $\lambda x$ .  $r := x$ ); ! $r : \langle int \rangle^{(int, (bool,())}$ 

 $\Gamma \vdash z$ : <br/>bool> $(bool, ()$  $\Gamma \vdash x$ :  $\langle \text{int} \rangle^{(int, (bool,)))}$ <sup>Γ</sup> *<sup>⊢</sup>* r: *<sup>&</sup>lt;*int*>*(*int,*(*bool,*())) **ref**

However,

**let**  $r = ref \underline{cint} 0$  **in** ( $\lambda z$ .  $\lambda x$ .  $r := x$ ); ( $\lambda y$ .  $\lambda u$ . !r) ⇝*∗ ⟨λ*y. *<sup>λ</sup>*u. x*⟩* : *<sup>&</sup>lt;*int*→*int*→*int*>*()

According to the type system, the result is closed.

Taste of a Type System

$$
\frac{\gamma \in \Gamma \quad \gamma_1 \notin \Gamma \quad \Gamma, \ \gamma_1, \ (\gamma_1 \succ \gamma), \ (x:(t_1)^{\gamma_1}) \vdash e: \ \langle t_2 \rangle^{\gamma_1}}{\Gamma \vdash \underline{\lambda} x.e: \ \langle t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \rangle^{\gamma}} \ CAbs
$$

# Taste of a Type System



### Taste of a Type System

$$
\frac{r: \langle \text{int} \rangle^{\gamma_1}, \gamma_2, \gamma_2 \rangle \gamma, x: \langle \text{int} \rangle^{\gamma_2} \vdash r := x: \langle \text{int} \rangle^{\gamma_2}}{r: \langle \text{int} \rangle^{\gamma_1} \text{ ref} \vdash (\underline{\lambda}x. r := x) : \langle \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \rangle^{\gamma}}
$$
  
 
$$
\text{[] } \vdash \text{let } r = \text{ref} \underbrace{\text{cint}} \space 0 \text{ in } (\underline{\lambda}x. r := x) : \langle \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \rangle^{\gamma}
$$

Region memory management

# Summary

First stage calculus  $\langle NJ \rangle$  for imperative code generators without ad hoc restrictions

- ▶ Store open code and retrieve in a different binding environment
- ▶ Sound type system: generated code is always well-typed and *well-scoped*
- ▶ Distillation of StagedHaskell

#### Practical

- ▶ Justification of (of a part of) StagedHaskell
- ▶ Easily embeddable (in OCaml) and can actually be used

#### Insightful