

Ordinals and cardinals in HOL

Andrei Popescu & Dmitriy Traytel

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	More on Injections, Bijections and Inverses	5
2.1	Purely functional properties	5
2.2	Properties involving finite and infinite sets	6
2.3	Properties involving Hilbert choice	7
2.4	Other facts	7
3	Basics on Order-Like Relations	9
3.1	The upper and lower bounds operators	9
3.2	Properties depending on more than one relation	20
4	More on Well-Founded Relations	21
4.1	Well-founded recursion via genuine fixpoints	21
5	Well-Order Relations	22
5.1	Auxiliaries	22
5.2	Well-founded induction and recursion adapted to non-strict well-order relations	22
5.2.1	Properties of max2	22
5.2.2	Properties of minim	23
5.2.3	Properties of sup	25
5.2.4	Properties of successor	27
5.2.5	Properties of order filters	30
5.2.6	Other properties	31
6	Well-Order Embeddings	32
6.1	Auxiliaries	32
6.2	(Well-order) embeddings, strict embeddings, isomorphisms and order-compatible functions	33
6.3	Uniqueness of embeddings	34
7	Order Union	36

8	Constructions on Wellorders	43
8.1	Restriction to a set	44
8.2	Order filters versus restrictions and embeddings	44
8.3	Ordering the well-orders by existence of embeddings	45
8.4	Copy via direct images	46
8.5	The maxim among a finite set of ordinals	49
8.6	Limit and succesor ordinals	52
8.6.1	Successor and limit elements of an ordinal	54
8.6.2	Well-order recursion with (zero), succesor, and limit	58
8.6.3	Well-order succ-lim induction	60
8.7	Projections of wellorders	62
9	Ordinal Arithmetic	65
10	Cardinal-Order Relations	105
10.1	Cardinal of a set	106
10.2	Cardinals versus set operations on arbitrary sets	107
10.3	Cardinals versus set operations involving infinite sets	118
10.4	Cardinals versus lists	119
10.5	Cardinals versus the finite powerset operator	123
10.6	The cardinal ω and the finite cardinals	125
10.6.1	First as well-orders	125
10.6.2	Then as cardinals	127
10.6.3	"Backward compatibility" with the numeric cardinal operator for finite sets	128
10.7	The successor of a cardinal	129
10.8	Others	131
10.9	Infinite cardinals are limit ordinals	137
10.10	Regular vs. stable cardinals	139
11	Cardinal Arithmetic	144
11.1	Binary sum	144
11.2	Product	144
11.3	Exponentiation	145
11.4	Powerset	149
11.5	Inverse image	150
11.6	Maximum	150
12	Extending Well-founded Relations to Wellorders	153
12.1	Extending Well-founded Relations to Wellorders	153
13	Theory of Ordinals and Cardinals	157

Abstract

We develop a basic theory of ordinals and cardinals in Isabelle/HOL, up to the point where some cardinality facts relevant for the “working mathematician” become available. Unlike in set theory, here we do not have at hand canonical notions of ordinal and cardinal. Therefore, here an ordinal is merely a well-order relation and a cardinal is an ordinal minim w.r.t. order embedding on its field.

1 Introduction

In set theory (under formalizations such as Zermelo-Fraenkel or Von Neumann-Bernays-Gödel), an *ordinal* is a special kind of well-order, namely one whose strict version is the restriction of the membership relation to a set. In particular, the field of a set-theoretic ordinal is a transitive set, and the non-strict version of an ordinal relation is set inclusion. Set-theoretic ordinals enjoy the nice properties of membership on transitive sets, while at the same time forming a complete class of representatives for well-orders (since any well-order turns out isomorphic to an ordinal). Moreover, the class of ordinals is itself transitive and well-ordered by membership as the strict relation and inclusion as the non-strict relation. Also knowing that any set can be well-ordered (in the presence of the axiom of choice), one then defines the *cardinal* of a set to be the smallest ordinal isomorphic to a well-order on that set. This makes the class of cardinals a complete set of representatives for the intuitive notion of set cardinality.¹ The ability to produce *canonical well-orders* from the membership relation (having the aforementioned convenient properties) allows for a harmonious development of the theory of cardinals in set-theoretic settings. Non-trivial cardinality results, such as A being equipollent to $A \times A$ for any infinite A , follow rather quickly within this theory.

However, a canonical notion of well-order is *not* available in HOL. Here, one has to do with well-order “as is”, but otherwise has all the necessary infrastructure (including Hilbert choice) to “climb” well-orders recursively and to well-order arbitrary sets.

The current work, formalized in Isabelle/HOL, develops the basic theory of ordinals and cardinals up to the point where there are inferred a collection of non-trivial cardinality facts useful for the “working mathematician”, among which:

¹The “intuitive” cardinality of a set A is the class of all sets equipollent to A , i.e., being in bijection with A .

- Given any two sets (on any two given types)², one is injectable in the other.
- If at least one of two sets is infinite, then their sum and their Cartesian product are equipollent to the larger of the two.
- The set of lists (and also the set of finite sets) with element from an infinite set is equipollent with that set.

Our development emulates the standard one from set-theory, but keeps everything *up to order isomorphism*. An (HOL) ordinal is merely a well-order. An *ordinal embedding* is an injective and order-compatible function which maps its source into an initial segment (i.e., order filter) of its target. Now, a *cardinal* (called in this work a *cardinal order*) is an ordinal minim w.r.t. the existence of embeddings among all well-orders on its field. After showing the existence of cardinals on any given set, we define the cardinal of a set A , denoted $|A|$, to be *some* cardinal order on A . This concept is unique only up to order isomorphism (denoted $=o$), but meets its purpose: any two sets A and B (laying at potentially distinct types) are in bijection if and only if $|A| =o |B|$. Moreover, we also show that numeric cardinals assigned to finite sets³ are *conservatively extended* by our general (order-theoretic) notion of cardinal. We study the interaction of cardinals with standard set-theoretic constructions such as powersets, products, sums and lists. These constructions are shown to preserve the “cardinal identity” $=o$ and also to be monotonic w.r.t. $\leq o$, the ordinal embedding relation. By studying the interaction between these constructions, infinite sets and cardinals, we obtain the aforementioned results for “working mathematicians”.

For this development, we did not follow closely any particular textbook, and in fact are not aware of such basic theory of cardinals previously developed in HOL.⁴ On the other hand, the set-theoretic versions of the facts proved here are folklore in set theory, and can be found, e.g., in the textbook [1]. Beyond taking care of some locality aspects concerning the spreading of our concepts throughout types, we have not departed much from the techniques used in set theory for establishing these facts – for instance, in the proof of one of our major theorems, *Card-order-Times-same-infinite* from Section 8.4,⁵ we have essentially applied the technique described, e.g., in the proof of theorem 1.5.11 from [1], page 47.

Here is the structure of the rest of this document.

²Recall that, in HOL, a set on a type α is modeled, just like a predicate, as a function from α to `bool`.

³Numeric cardinals of finite sets are already formalized in Isabelle/HOL.

⁴After writing this formalization, we became aware of Paul Taylor’s membership-free development of the theory of ordinals [2].

⁵This theorem states that, for any infinite cardinal r on a set A , $|A \times A|$ is not larger than r .

The next three sections, 2-4, develop some mathematical prerequisites. In Section 2, a large collection of simple facts about injections, bijections, inverses, (in)finite sets and numeric cardinals are proved, making life easier for later, when proving less trivial facts. Section 3 introduces upper and lower bounds operators for order-like relations and studies their basic properties. Section 4 states some useful variations of well-founded recursion and induction principles.

Then come the major sections, 5-8. Section 5 defines and studies, in the context of a well-order relation, the notions of minimum (of a set), maximum (of two elements), supremum, successor (of a set), and order filter (i.e., initial segment, i.e., downward-closed set). Section 6 defines and studies (well-order) embeddings, strict embeddings, isomorphisms, and compatible functions. Section 7 deals with various constructions on well-orders, and with the relations $\leq o$, $< o$ and $= o$ of well-order embedding, strict embedding, and isomorphism, respectively. Section 8 defines and studies cardinal order relations, the cardinal of a set, the connection of cardinals with set-theoretic constructs, the canonical cardinal of natural numbers and finite cardinals, the successor of a cardinal, as well as regular cardinals. (The latter play a crucial role in the development of a new (co)datatype package in HOL.)

Finally, section 9 provides an abstraction of the previous developments on cardinals, to provide a simpler user interface to cardinals, which in most of the cases allows to forget that cardinals are represented by orders and use them through defined arithmetic operators.

More informal details are provided at the beginning of each section, and also at the beginning of some of the subsections.

References

- [1] M. Holz, K. Steffens, and E. Weitz. *Introduction to Cardinal Arithmetic*. Birkhäuser, 1999.
- [2] Paul Taylor. Intuitionistic sets and ordinals. *J. Symb. Log.*, 61(3):705–744, 1996.

2 More on Injections, Bijections and Inverses

```
theory Fun-More
imports Main
begin
```

2.1 Purely functional properties

```
lemma notIn-Un-bij-betw2:
assumes NIN:  $b \notin A$  and NIN':  $b' \notin A'$  and
```

$BIJ: \text{bij-betw } f \ A \ A'$
shows $\text{bij-betw } f \ (A \cup \{b\}) \ (A' \cup \{b'\}) = (f \ b = b')$
proof
 assume $f \ b = b'$
 thus $\text{bij-betw } f \ (A \cup \{b\}) \ (A' \cup \{b'\})$
 using *assms notIn-Un-bij-betw[of b A f A]* **by** *auto*
next
 assume $*$: $\text{bij-betw } f \ (A \cup \{b\}) \ (A' \cup \{b'\})$
 hence $f \ b \in A' \cup \{b'\}$
 unfolding *bij-betw-def* **by** *auto*
 moreover
 {**assume** $f \ b \in A'$
 then obtain $b1$ **where** $1: b1 \in A$ **and** $2: f \ b1 = f \ b$ **using** *BIJ*
 by (*auto simp add: bij-betw-def*)
 hence $b = b1$ **using** $*$
 by (*auto simp add: bij-betw-def inj-on-def*)
 with 1 *NIN* **have** *False* **by** *auto*
 }
 ultimately show $f \ b = b'$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *bij-betw-ball*:
assumes $BIJ: \text{bij-betw } f \ A \ B$
shows $(\forall b \in B. \text{phi } b) = (\forall a \in A. \text{phi}(f \ a))$
using *assms unfolding bij-betw-def inj-on-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *bij-betw-diff-singl*:
assumes $BIJ: \text{bij-betw } f \ A \ A'$ **and** $IN: a \in A$
shows $\text{bij-betw } f \ (A - \{a\}) \ (A' - \{f \ a\})$
proof–
 let $?B = A - \{a\}$ **let** $?B' = A' - \{f \ a\}$
 have $f \ a \in A'$ **using** *IN BIJ unfolding bij-betw-def* **by** *blast*
 hence $a \notin ?B \wedge f \ a \notin ?B' \wedge A = ?B \cup \{a\} \wedge A' = ?B' \cup \{f \ a\}$
 using *IN* **by** *blast*
 thus *thesis* **using** *notIn-Un-bij-betw3[of a ?B f ?B'] BIJ* **by** *simp*
qed

2.2 Properties involving finite and infinite sets

lemma *bij-betw-inv-into-RIGHT*:
assumes $BIJ: \text{bij-betw } f \ A \ A'$ **and** $SUB: B' \leq A'$
shows $f \ ((\text{inv-into } A \ f) ' B') = B'$
using *assms*
proof(*auto simp add: bij-betw-inv-into-right*)
 let $?f' = (\text{inv-into } A \ f)$
 fix a' **assume** $*$: $a' \in B'$
 hence $a' \in A'$ **using** *SUB* **by** *auto*

hence $a' = f \text{ } (?f' \text{ } a')$
 using *BIJ* by *(auto simp add: bij-betw-inv-into-right)*
 thus $a' \in f \text{ } '(?f' \text{ } B')$ using *** by *blast*
 qed

lemma *bij-betw-inv-into-RIGHT-LEFT*:
 assumes *BIJ*: *bij-betw* $f \text{ } A \text{ } A'$ and *SUB*: $B' \leq A'$ and
 $IM: (inv\text{-}into \text{ } A \text{ } f) \text{ } ' B' = B$
 shows $f \text{ } ' B = B'$
proof–
 have $f'((inv\text{-}into \text{ } A \text{ } f)' B') = B'$
 using *assms* *bij-betw-inv-into-RIGHT*[*of* $f \text{ } A \text{ } A' \text{ } B'$] by *auto*
 thus *?thesis* using *IM* by *auto*
 qed

lemma *bij-betw-inv-into-twice*:
 assumes *bij-betw* $f \text{ } A \text{ } A'$
 shows $\forall a \in A. inv\text{-}into \text{ } A' \text{ } (inv\text{-}into \text{ } A \text{ } f) \text{ } a = f \text{ } a$
proof
 let $?f' = inv\text{-}into \text{ } A \text{ } f$ let $?f'' = inv\text{-}into \text{ } A' \text{ } ?f'$
 have *1*: *bij-betw* $?f' \text{ } A' \text{ } A$ using *assms*
 by *(auto simp add: bij-betw-inv-into)*
 fix a assume ***: $a \in A$
 then obtain a' where *2*: $a' \in A'$ and *3*: $?f' \text{ } a' = a$
 using *1* unfolding *bij-betw-def* by *force*
 hence $?f'' \text{ } a = a'$
 using ** 1 3* by *(auto simp add: bij-betw-inv-into-left)*
 moreover have $f \text{ } a = a'$ using *assms 2 3*
 by *(auto simp add: bij-betw-inv-into-right)*
 ultimately show $?f'' \text{ } a = f \text{ } a$ by *simp*
 qed

2.3 Properties involving Hilbert choice

lemma *bij-betw-inv-into-LEFT*:
 assumes *BIJ*: *bij-betw* $f \text{ } A \text{ } A'$ and *SUB*: $B \leq A$
 shows $(inv\text{-}into \text{ } A \text{ } f)'(f \text{ } ' B) = B$
 using *assms* unfolding *bij-betw-def* using *inv-into-image-cancel* by *force*

lemma *bij-betw-inv-into-LEFT-RIGHT*:
 assumes *BIJ*: *bij-betw* $f \text{ } A \text{ } A'$ and *SUB*: $B \leq A$ and
 $IM: f \text{ } ' B = B'$
 shows $(inv\text{-}into \text{ } A \text{ } f) \text{ } ' B' = B$
 using *assms* *bij-betw-inv-into-LEFT*[*of* $f \text{ } A \text{ } A' \text{ } B$] by *fast*

2.4 Other facts

lemma *atLeastLessThan-injective*:

```

assumes  $\{0 \dots m :: \text{nat}\} = \{0 \dots n\}$ 
shows  $m = n$ 
proof –
  { assume  $m < n$ 
    hence  $m \in \{0 \dots n\}$  by auto
    hence  $\{0 \dots m\} < \{0 \dots n\}$  by auto
    hence False using assms by blast
  }
  moreover
  { assume  $n < m$ 
    hence  $n \in \{0 \dots m\}$  by auto
    hence  $\{0 \dots n\} < \{0 \dots m\}$  by auto
    hence False using assms by blast
  }
  ultimately show ?thesis by force
qed

```

```

lemma atLeastLessThan-injective2:
  bij-betw  $f \{0 \dots m :: \text{nat}\} \{0 \dots n\} \implies m = n$ 
using finite-atLeastLessThan[of m] finite-atLeastLessThan[of n]
  card-atLeastLessThan[of m] card-atLeastLessThan[of n]
  bij-betw-iff-card[of {0 ..< m} {0 ..< n}] by auto

```

```

lemma atLeastLessThan-less-eq:
   $(\{0 \dots m\} \leq \{0 \dots n\}) = ((m :: \text{nat}) \leq n)$ 
unfolding ivl-subset by arith

```

```

lemma atLeastLessThan-less-eq2:
assumes  $\text{inj-on } f \{0 \dots (m :: \text{nat})\} \wedge f ' \{0 \dots m\} \leq \{0 \dots n\}$ 
shows  $m \leq n$ 
using assms
using finite-atLeastLessThan[of m] finite-atLeastLessThan[of n]
  card-atLeastLessThan[of m] card-atLeastLessThan[of n]
  card-inj-on-le[of f {0 ..< m} {0 ..< n}] by fastforce

```

```

lemma atLeastLessThan-less-eq3:
   $(\exists f. \text{inj-on } f \{0 \dots (m :: \text{nat})\} \wedge f ' \{0 \dots m\} \leq \{0 \dots n\}) = (m \leq n)$ 
using atLeastLessThan-less-eq2
proof(auto)
  assume  $m \leq n$ 
  hence  $\text{inj-on } \text{id } \{0 \dots m\} \wedge \text{id } ' \{0 \dots m\} \subseteq \{0 \dots n\}$  unfolding inj-on-def by
force
  thus  $\exists f. \text{inj-on } f \{0 \dots m\} \wedge f ' \{0 \dots m\} \subseteq \{0 \dots n\}$  by blast
qed

```

```

lemma atLeastLessThan-less:
   $(\{0 \dots m\} < \{0 \dots n\}) = ((m :: \text{nat}) < n)$ 

```

```

proof –
  have ( $\{0..<m\} < \{0..<n\}$ ) = ( $\{0..<m\} \leq \{0..<n\} \wedge \{0..<m\} \sim = \{0..<n\}$ )
  using subset-iff-psubset-eq by blast
  also have  $\dots = (m \leq n \wedge m \sim = n)$ 
  using atLeastLessThan-less-eq atLeastLessThan-injective by blast
  also have  $\dots = (m < n)$  by auto
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

end

```

3 Basics on Order-Like Relations

```

theory Order-Relation-More
imports Main
begin

```

3.1 The upper and lower bounds operators

```

lemma aboveS-subset-above:  $\text{aboveS } r \ a \leq \text{above } r \ a$ 
by(auto simp add: aboveS-def above-def)

```

```

lemma AboveS-subset-Above:  $\text{AboveS } r \ A \leq \text{Above } r \ A$ 
by(auto simp add: AboveS-def Above-def)

```

```

lemma UnderS-disjoint:  $A \text{ Int } (\text{UnderS } r \ A) = \{\}$ 
by(auto simp add: UnderS-def)

```

```

lemma aboveS-notIn:  $a \notin \text{aboveS } r \ a$ 
by(auto simp add: aboveS-def)

```

```

lemma Refl-above-in:  $\llbracket \text{Refl } r; a \in \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies a \in \text{above } r \ a$ 
by(auto simp add: refl-on-def above-def)

```

```

lemma in-Above-under:  $a \in \text{Field } r \implies a \in \text{Above } r \ (\text{under } r \ a)$ 
by(auto simp add: Above-def under-def)

```

```

lemma in-Under-above:  $a \in \text{Field } r \implies a \in \text{Under } r \ (\text{above } r \ a)$ 
by(auto simp add: Under-def above-def)

```

```

lemma in-UnderS-aboveS:  $a \in \text{Field } r \implies a \in \text{UnderS } r \ (\text{aboveS } r \ a)$ 
by(auto simp add: UnderS-def aboveS-def)

```

```

lemma UnderS-subset-Under:  $\text{UnderS } r \ A \leq \text{Under } r \ A$ 
by(auto simp add: UnderS-def Under-def)

```

```

lemma subset-Above-Under:  $B \leq \text{Field } r \implies B \leq \text{Above } r \ (\text{Under } r \ B)$ 
by(auto simp add: Above-def Under-def)

```

lemma *subset-Under-Above*: $B \leq \text{Field } r \implies B \leq \text{Under } r (\text{Above } r B)$
by(*auto simp add: Under-def Above-def*)

lemma *subset-AboveS-UnderS*: $B \leq \text{Field } r \implies B \leq \text{AboveS } r (\text{UnderS } r B)$
by(*auto simp add: AboveS-def UnderS-def*)

lemma *subset-UnderS-AboveS*: $B \leq \text{Field } r \implies B \leq \text{UnderS } r (\text{AboveS } r B)$
by(*auto simp add: UnderS-def AboveS-def*)

lemma *Under-Above-Galois*:
 $\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; C \leq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies (B \leq \text{Above } r C) = (C \leq \text{Under } r B)$
by(*unfold Above-def Under-def, blast*)

lemma *UnderS-AboveS-Galois*:
 $\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; C \leq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies (B \leq \text{AboveS } r C) = (C \leq \text{UnderS } r B)$
by(*unfold AboveS-def UnderS-def, blast*)

lemma *Refl-above-aboveS*:
assumes *REFL*: *Refl* *r* **and** *IN*: $a \in \text{Field } r$
shows $\text{above } r a = \text{aboveS } r a \cup \{a\}$
proof(*unfold above-def aboveS-def, auto*)
show $(a, a) \in r$ **using** *REFL IN refl-on-def[of - r]* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *Linear-order-under-aboveS-Field*:
assumes *LIN*: *Linear-order* *r* **and** *IN*: $a \in \text{Field } r$
shows $\text{Field } r = \text{under } r a \cup \text{aboveS } r a$
proof(*unfold under-def aboveS-def, auto*)
assume $a \in \text{Field } r$ $(a, a) \notin r$
with *LIN IN order-on-defs[of - r] refl-on-def[of - r]*
show *False* **by** *auto*
next
fix *b* **assume** $b \in \text{Field } r$ $(b, a) \notin r$
with *LIN IN order-on-defs[of Field r r] total-on-def[of Field r r]*
have $(a, b) \in r \vee a = b$ **by** *blast*
thus $(a, b) \in r$
using *LIN IN order-on-defs[of - r] refl-on-def[of - r]* **by** *auto*
next
fix *b* **assume** $(b, a) \in r$
thus $b \in \text{Field } r$
using *LIN order-on-defs[of - r] refl-on-def[of - r]* **by** *blast*
next
fix *b* **assume** $b \neq a$ $(a, b) \in r$
thus $b \in \text{Field } r$
using *LIN order-on-defs[of Field r r] refl-on-def[of Field r r]* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *Linear-order-underS-above-Field*:
assumes *LIN*: *Linear-order* *r* **and** *IN*: $a \in \text{Field } r$

```

shows  $\text{Field } r = \text{underS } r \ a \cup \text{above } r \ a$ 
proof(unfold underS-def above-def, auto)
  assume  $a \in \text{Field } r \ (a, a) \notin r$ 
  with LIN IN order-on-defs[of - r] refl-on-def[of - r]
  show False by metis
next
  fix  $b$  assume  $b \in \text{Field } r \ (a, b) \notin r$ 
  with LIN IN order-on-defs[of Field r r] total-on-def[of Field r r]
  have  $(b, a) \in r \vee b = a$  by blast
  thus  $(b, a) \in r$ 
  using LIN IN order-on-defs[of - r] refl-on-def[of - r] by auto
next
  fix  $b$  assume  $b \neq a \ (b, a) \in r$ 
  thus  $b \in \text{Field } r$ 
  using LIN order-on-defs[of - r] refl-on-def[of - r] by blast
next
  fix  $b$  assume  $(a, b) \in r$ 
  thus  $b \in \text{Field } r$ 
  using LIN order-on-defs[of Field r r] refl-on-def[of Field r r] by blast
qed

```

```

lemma under-empty:  $a \notin \text{Field } r \implies \text{under } r \ a = \{\}$ 
unfolding Field-def under-def by auto

```

```

lemma Under-Field:  $\text{Under } r \ A \leq \text{Field } r$ 
by(unfold Under-def Field-def, auto)

```

```

lemma UnderS-Field:  $\text{UnderS } r \ A \leq \text{Field } r$ 
by(unfold UnderS-def Field-def, auto)

```

```

lemma above-Field:  $\text{above } r \ a \leq \text{Field } r$ 
by(unfold above-def Field-def, auto)

```

```

lemma aboveS-Field:  $\text{aboveS } r \ a \leq \text{Field } r$ 
by(unfold aboveS-def Field-def, auto)

```

```

lemma Above-Field:  $\text{Above } r \ A \leq \text{Field } r$ 
by(unfold Above-def Field-def, auto)

```

```

lemma Refl-under-Under:
assumes REFL:  $\text{Refl } r$  and NE:  $A \neq \{\}$ 
shows  $\text{Under } r \ A = (\bigcap a \in A. \text{under } r \ a)$ 
proof
  show  $\text{Under } r \ A \subseteq (\bigcap a \in A. \text{under } r \ a)$ 
  by(unfold Under-def under-def, auto)
next
  show  $(\bigcap a \in A. \text{under } r \ a) \subseteq \text{Under } r \ A$ 
proof(auto)
  fix  $x$ 

```

```

    assume *:  $\forall xa \in A. x \in \text{under } r \text{ } xa$ 
    hence  $\forall xa \in A. (x, xa) \in r$ 
    by (simp add: under-def)
    moreover
    {from NE obtain a where  $a \in A$  by blast
     with * have  $x \in \text{under } r \text{ } a$  by simp
     hence  $x \in \text{Field } r$ 
     using under-Field[of r a] by auto
    }
    ultimately show  $x \in \text{Under } r \text{ } A$ 
    unfolding Under-def by auto
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma Refl-underS-UnderS:
  assumes REFL: Refl r and NE:  $A \neq \{\}$ 
  shows UnderS r A =  $(\bigcap a \in A. \text{underS } r \text{ } a)$ 
  proof
    show UnderS r A  $\subseteq (\bigcap a \in A. \text{underS } r \text{ } a)$ 
    by(unfold UnderS-def underS-def, auto)
  next
    show  $(\bigcap a \in A. \text{underS } r \text{ } a) \subseteq \text{UnderS } r \text{ } A$ 
    proof(auto)
      fix x
      assume *:  $\forall xa \in A. x \in \text{underS } r \text{ } xa$ 
      hence  $\forall xa \in A. x \neq xa \wedge (x, xa) \in r$ 
      by (auto simp add: underS-def)
      moreover
      {from NE obtain a where  $a \in A$  by blast
       with * have  $x \in \text{underS } r \text{ } a$  by simp
       hence  $x \in \text{Field } r$ 
       using underS-Field[of - r a] by auto
      }
      ultimately show  $x \in \text{UnderS } r \text{ } A$ 
      unfolding UnderS-def by auto
    qed
  qed

```

```

lemma Refl-above-Above:
  assumes REFL: Refl r and NE:  $A \neq \{\}$ 
  shows Above r A =  $(\bigcap a \in A. \text{above } r \text{ } a)$ 
  proof
    show Above r A  $\subseteq (\bigcap a \in A. \text{above } r \text{ } a)$ 
    by(unfold Above-def above-def, auto)
  next
    show  $(\bigcap a \in A. \text{above } r \text{ } a) \subseteq \text{Above } r \text{ } A$ 
    proof(auto)
      fix x
      assume *:  $\forall xa \in A. x \in \text{above } r \text{ } xa$ 

```

hence $\forall xa \in A. (xa, x) \in r$
 by (*simp add: above-def*)
 moreover
 {from *NE* obtain *a* where $a \in A$ by *blast*
 with * have $x \in \text{above } r \ a$ by *simp*
 hence $x \in \text{Field } r$
 using *above-Field*[of *r a*] by *auto*
 }
 ultimately show $x \in \text{Above } r \ A$
 unfolding *Above-def* by *auto*
 qed
 qed

lemma *Refl-aboveS-AboveS*:
 assumes *REFL*: *Refl* *r* and *NE*: $A \neq \{\}$
 shows $\text{AboveS } r \ A = (\bigcap a \in A. \text{aboveS } r \ a)$
proof
 show $\text{AboveS } r \ A \subseteq (\bigcap a \in A. \text{aboveS } r \ a)$
 by(*unfold AboveS-def aboveS-def, auto*)
 next
 show $(\bigcap a \in A. \text{aboveS } r \ a) \subseteq \text{AboveS } r \ A$
proof(*auto*)
 fix *x*
 assume *: $\forall xa \in A. x \in \text{aboveS } r \ xa$
 hence $\forall xa \in A. xa \neq x \wedge (xa, x) \in r$
 by (*auto simp add: aboveS-def*)
 moreover
 {from *NE* obtain *a* where $a \in A$ by *blast*
 with * have $x \in \text{aboveS } r \ a$ by *simp*
 hence $x \in \text{Field } r$
 using *aboveS-Field*[of *r a*] by *auto*
 }
 ultimately show $x \in \text{AboveS } r \ A$
 unfolding *AboveS-def* by *auto*
 qed
 qed

lemma *under-Under-singl*: $\text{under } r \ a = \text{Under } r \ \{a\}$
 by(*unfold Under-def under-def, auto simp add: Field-def*)

lemma *underS-UnderS-singl*: $\text{underS } r \ a = \text{UnderS } r \ \{a\}$
 by(*unfold UnderS-def underS-def, auto simp add: Field-def*)

lemma *above-Above-singl*: $\text{above } r \ a = \text{Above } r \ \{a\}$
 by(*unfold Above-def above-def, auto simp add: Field-def*)

lemma *aboveS-AboveS-singl*: $\text{aboveS } r \ a = \text{AboveS } r \ \{a\}$
 by(*unfold AboveS-def aboveS-def, auto simp add: Field-def*)

lemma *Under-decr*: $A \leq B \implies \text{Under } r \ B \leq \text{Under } r \ A$
by(*unfold Under-def, auto*)

lemma *UnderS-decr*: $A \leq B \implies \text{UnderS } r \ B \leq \text{UnderS } r \ A$
by(*unfold UnderS-def, auto*)

lemma *Above-decr*: $A \leq B \implies \text{Above } r \ B \leq \text{Above } r \ A$
by(*unfold Above-def, auto*)

lemma *AboveS-decr*: $A \leq B \implies \text{AboveS } r \ B \leq \text{AboveS } r \ A$
by(*unfold AboveS-def, auto*)

lemma *under-incl-iff*:
assumes *TRANS*: *trans* *r* **and** *REFL*: *Refl* *r* **and** *IN*: $a \in \text{Field } r$
shows $(\text{under } r \ a \leq \text{under } r \ b) = ((a,b) \in r)$
proof
 assume $(a,b) \in r$
 thus $\text{under } r \ a \leq \text{under } r \ b$ **using** *TRANS*
 by (*auto simp add: under-incr*)
next
 assume $\text{under } r \ a \leq \text{under } r \ b$
 moreover
 have $a \in \text{under } r \ a$ **using** *REFL IN*
 by (*auto simp add: Refl-under-in*)
 ultimately show $(a,b) \in r$
 by (*auto simp add: under-def*)
qed

lemma *above-decr*:
assumes *TRANS*: *trans* *r* **and** *REL*: $(a,b) \in r$
shows $\text{above } r \ b \leq \text{above } r \ a$
proof(*unfold above-def, auto*)
 fix *x* **assume** $(b,x) \in r$
 with *REL TRANS trans-def[of r]*
 show $(a,x) \in r$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *aboveS-decr*:
assumes *TRANS*: *trans* *r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym* *r* **and**
 $\text{REL: } (a,b) \in r$
shows $\text{aboveS } r \ b \leq \text{aboveS } r \ a$
proof(*unfold aboveS-def, auto*)
 assume *: $a \neq b$ **and** **: $(b,a) \in r$
 with *ANTISYM antisym-def[of r] REL*
 show *False* **by** *auto*
next
 fix *x* **assume** $x \neq b$ $(b,x) \in r$
 with *REL TRANS trans-def[of r]*
 show $(a,x) \in r$ **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *under-trans*:

assumes *TRANS*: *trans* *r* **and**

IN1: $a \in \text{under } r \ b$ **and** *IN2*: $b \in \text{under } r \ c$

shows $a \in \text{under } r \ c$

proof–

have $(a,b) \in r \wedge (b,c) \in r$

using *IN1* *IN2* *under-def* **by** *fastforce*

hence $(a,c) \in r$

using *TRANS* *trans-def*[*of* *r*] **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *under-def* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *under-underS-trans*:

assumes *TRANS*: *trans* *r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym* *r* **and**

IN1: $a \in \text{under } r \ b$ **and** *IN2*: $b \in \text{underS } r \ c$

shows $a \in \text{underS } r \ c$

proof–

have *0*: $(a,b) \in r \wedge (b,c) \in r$

using *IN1* *IN2* *under-def* *underS-def* **by** *fastforce*

hence *1*: $(a,c) \in r$

using *TRANS* *trans-def*[*of* *r*] **by** *blast*

have *2*: $b \neq c$ **using** *IN2* *underS-def* **by** *force*

have *3*: $a \neq c$

proof

assume $a = c$ **with** *0 2* *ANTISYM* *antisym-def*[*of* *r*]

show *False* **by** *auto*

qed

from *1 3* **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *underS-def* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *underS-under-trans*:

assumes *TRANS*: *trans* *r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym* *r* **and**

IN1: $a \in \text{underS } r \ b$ **and** *IN2*: $b \in \text{under } r \ c$

shows $a \in \text{underS } r \ c$

proof–

have *0*: $(a,b) \in r \wedge (b,c) \in r$

using *IN1* *IN2* *under-def* *underS-def* **by** *fast*

hence *1*: $(a,c) \in r$

using *TRANS* *trans-def*[*of* *r*] **by** *fast*

have *2*: $a \neq b$ **using** *IN1* *underS-def* **by** *force*

have *3*: $a \neq c$

proof

assume $a = c$ **with** *0 2* *ANTISYM* *antisym-def*[*of* *r*]

show *False* **by** *auto*

qed

from *1 3* **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *underS-def* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *underS-underS-trans*:
assumes *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**
IN1: $a \in \text{underS } r \ b$ **and** *IN2*: $b \in \text{underS } r \ c$
shows $a \in \text{underS } r \ c$
proof–
 have $a \in \text{under } r \ b$
 using *IN1* *underS-subset-under* **by** *fast*
 with *assms* *under-underS-trans* **show** *?thesis* **by** *fast*
qed

lemma *above-trans*:
assumes *TRANS*: *trans r* **and**
IN1: $b \in \text{above } r \ a$ **and** *IN2*: $c \in \text{above } r \ b$
shows $c \in \text{above } r \ a$
proof–
 have $(a,b) \in r \wedge (b,c) \in r$
 using *IN1* *IN2* *above-def* **by** *fast*
 hence $(a,c) \in r$
 using *TRANS* *trans-def[of r]* **by** *blast*
 thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *above-def* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *above-aboveS-trans*:
assumes *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**
IN1: $b \in \text{above } r \ a$ **and** *IN2*: $c \in \text{aboveS } r \ b$
shows $c \in \text{aboveS } r \ a$
proof–
 have *0*: $(a,b) \in r \wedge (b,c) \in r$
 using *IN1* *IN2* *above-def* *aboveS-def* **by** *fast*
 hence *1*: $(a,c) \in r$
 using *TRANS* *trans-def[of r]* **by** *blast*
 have *2*: $b \neq c$ **using** *IN2* *aboveS-def* **by** *force*
 have *3*: $a \neq c$
 proof
 assume $a = c$ **with** *0 2* *ANTISYM* *antisym-def[of r]*
 show *False* **by** *auto*
 qed
 from *1 3* **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *aboveS-def* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *aboveS-above-trans*:
assumes *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**
IN1: $b \in \text{aboveS } r \ a$ **and** *IN2*: $c \in \text{above } r \ b$
shows $c \in \text{aboveS } r \ a$
proof–
 have *0*: $(a,b) \in r \wedge (b,c) \in r$
 using *IN1* *IN2* *above-def* *aboveS-def* **by** *fast*
 hence *1*: $(a,c) \in r$

using *TRANS trans-def[of r]* by *blast*
 have 2: $a \neq b$ using *IN1 aboveS-def* by *force*
 have 3: $a \neq c$
 proof
 assume $a = c$ with 0 2 *ANTISYM antisym-def[of r]*
 show *False* by *auto*
 qed
 from 1 3 show ?thesis unfolding *aboveS-def* by *simp*
 qed

lemma *aboveS-aboveS-trans*:
 assumes *TRANS: trans r* and *ANTISYM: antisym r* and
 $IN1: b \in \text{aboveS } r \ a$ and $IN2: c \in \text{aboveS } r \ b$
 shows $c \in \text{aboveS } r \ a$
 proof—
 have $b \in \text{above } r \ a$
 using *IN1 aboveS-subset-above* by *fast*
 with *assms above-aboveS-trans* show ?thesis by *fast*
 qed

lemma *under-Under-trans*:
 assumes *TRANS: trans r* and
 $IN1: a \in \text{under } r \ b$ and $IN2: b \in \text{Under } r \ C$
 shows $a \in \text{Under } r \ C$
 proof—
 have $b \in \{u \in \text{Field } r. \forall x. x \in C \longrightarrow (u, x) \in r\}$
 using *IN2 Under-def* by *force*
 hence $(a, b) \in r \wedge (\forall c \in C. (b, c) \in r)$
 using *IN1 under-def* by *fast*
 hence $\forall c \in C. (a, c) \in r$
 using *TRANS trans-def[of r]* by *blast*
 moreover
 have $a \in \text{Field } r$ using *IN1 unfolding Field-def under-def* by *blast*
 ultimately
 show ?thesis unfolding *Under-def* by *blast*
 qed

lemma *underS-Under-trans*:
 assumes *TRANS: trans r* and *ANTISYM: antisym r* and
 $IN1: a \in \text{underS } r \ b$ and $IN2: b \in \text{Under } r \ C$
 shows $a \in \text{UnderS } r \ C$
 proof—
 from *IN1* have $a \in \text{under } r \ b$
 using *underS-subset-under[of r b]* by *fast*
 with *assms under-Under-trans*
 have $a \in \text{Under } r \ C$ by *fast*

moreover
 have $a \notin C$

```

proof
  assume *:  $a \in C$ 
  have 1:  $b \neq a \wedge (a,b) \in r$ 
  using IN1 underS-def[of  $r$   $b$ ] by auto
  have  $\forall c \in C. (b,c) \in r$ 
  using IN2 Under-def[of  $r$   $C$ ] by auto
  with * have  $(b,a) \in r$  by simp
  with 1 ANTISYM antisym-def[of  $r$ ]
  show False by blast
qed

ultimately
show ?thesis unfolding UnderS-def
using Under-def by force
qed

lemma underS-UnderS-trans:
assumes TRANS: trans r and ANTISYM: antisym r and
  IN1:  $a \in \text{underS } r \ b$  and IN2:  $b \in \text{UnderS } r \ C$ 
shows  $a \in \text{UnderS } r \ C$ 
proof–
  from IN2 have  $b \in \text{Under } r \ C$ 
  using UnderS-subset-Under[of  $r$   $C$ ] by blast
  with underS-Under-trans assms
  show ?thesis by force
qed

lemma above-Above-trans:
assumes TRANS: trans r and
  IN1:  $a \in \text{above } r \ b$  and IN2:  $b \in \text{Above } r \ C$ 
shows  $a \in \text{Above } r \ C$ 
proof–
  have  $(b,a) \in r \wedge (\forall c \in C. (c,b) \in r)$ 
  using IN1[unfolded above-def] IN2[unfolded Above-def] by simp
  hence  $\forall c \in C. (c,a) \in r$ 
  using TRANS trans-def[of  $r$ ] by blast
  moreover
  have  $a \in \text{Field } r$  using IN1[unfolded above-def] Field-def by fast
  ultimately
  show ?thesis unfolding Above-def by auto
qed

lemma aboveS-Above-trans:
assumes TRANS: trans r and ANTISYM: antisym r and
  IN1:  $a \in \text{aboveS } r \ b$  and IN2:  $b \in \text{Above } r \ C$ 
shows  $a \in \text{AboveS } r \ C$ 
proof–
  from IN1 have  $a \in \text{above } r \ b$ 
  using aboveS-subset-above[of  $r$   $b$ ] by blast

```

```

with assms above-Above-trans
have  $a \in \text{Above } r \ C$  by fast

moreover
have  $a \notin C$ 
proof
  assume *:  $a \in C$ 
  have 1:  $b \neq a \wedge (b,a) \in r$ 
  using IN1 aboveS-def[of r b] by auto
  have  $\forall c \in C. (c,b) \in r$ 
  using IN2 Above-def[of r C] by auto
  with * have  $(a,b) \in r$  by simp
  with 1 ANTISYM antisym-def[of r]
  show False by blast
qed

ultimately
show ?thesis unfolding AboveS-def
using Above-def by force
qed

lemma above-AboveS-trans:
assumes TRANS: trans r and ANTISYM: antisym r and
  IN1:  $a \in \text{above } r \ b$  and IN2:  $b \in \text{AboveS } r \ C$ 
shows  $a \in \text{AboveS } r \ C$ 
proof–
  from IN2 have  $b \in \text{Above } r \ C$ 
  using AboveS-subset-Above[of r C] by blast
  with assms above-Above-trans
  have  $a \in \text{Above } r \ C$  by force

moreover
have  $a \notin C$ 
proof
  assume *:  $a \in C$ 
  have 1:  $(b,a) \in r$ 
  using IN1 above-def[of r b] by auto
  have  $\forall c \in C. b \neq c \wedge (c,b) \in r$ 
  using IN2 AboveS-def[of r C] by auto
  with * have  $b \neq a \wedge (a,b) \in r$  by simp
  with 1 ANTISYM antisym-def[of r]
  show False by blast
qed

ultimately
show ?thesis unfolding AboveS-def
using Above-def by force
qed

```

lemma *aboveS-AboveS-trans*:
assumes *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**
 $IN1: a \in \text{aboveS } r \ b$ **and** $IN2: b \in \text{AboveS } r \ C$
shows $a \in \text{AboveS } r \ C$
proof–
 from $IN2$ **have** $b \in \text{Above } r \ C$
 using *AboveS-subset-Above*[*of r C*] **by** *blast*
 with *aboveS-Above-trans* *assms*
 show *?thesis* **by** *force*
qed

lemma *under-UnderS-trans*:
assumes *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**
 $IN1: a \in \text{under } r \ b$ **and** $IN2: b \in \text{UnderS } r \ C$
shows $a \in \text{UnderS } r \ C$
proof–
 from $IN2$ **have** $b \in \text{Under } r \ C$
 using *UnderS-subset-Under*[*of r C*] **by** *blast*
 with *assms under-Under-trans*
 have $a \in \text{Under } r \ C$ **by** *force*

moreover
have $a \notin C$
proof
 assume *: $a \in C$
 have $1: (a, b) \in r$
 using $IN1$ *under-def*[*of r b*] **by** *auto*
 have $\forall c \in C. b \neq c \wedge (b, c) \in r$
 using $IN2$ *UnderS-def*[*of r C*] **by** *blast*
 with * **have** $b \neq a \wedge (b, a) \in r$ **by** *blast*
 with 1 *ANTISYM antisym-def*[*of r*]
 show *False* **by** *blast*
qed

ultimately
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *UnderS-def Under-def* **by** *fast*
qed

3.2 Properties depending on more than one relation

lemma *under-incr2*:
 $r \leq r' \implies \text{under } r \ a \leq \text{under } r' \ a$
unfolding *under-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *underS-incr2*:
 $r \leq r' \implies \text{underS } r \ a \leq \text{underS } r' \ a$
unfolding *underS-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *above-incr2*:
 $r \leq r' \implies \text{above } r \ a \leq \text{above } r' \ a$
unfolding *above-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *aboveS-incr2*:
 $r \leq r' \implies \text{aboveS } r \ a \leq \text{aboveS } r' \ a$
unfolding *aboveS-def* **by** *blast*

end

4 More on Well-Founded Relations

theory *Wellfounded-More*
imports *Main Order-Relation-More*
begin

4.1 Well-founded recursion via genuine fixpoints

lemma *adm-wf-unique-fixpoint*:
fixes $r :: ('a * 'a) \text{ set}$ **and**
 $H :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ **and**
 $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ **and** $g :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$
assumes *WF*: $\text{wf } r$ **and** *ADM*: $\text{adm-wf } r \ H$ **and** *fFP*: $f = H \ f$ **and** *gFP*: $g = H \ g$
shows $f = g$
proof—
 {**fix** x
 have $f \ x = g \ x$
 proof(*rule wf-induct[of r (λx. f x = g x)]*,
 auto simp add: WF)
 fix x **assume** $\forall y. (y, x) \in r \longrightarrow f \ y = g \ y$
 hence $H \ f \ x = H \ g \ x$ **using** *ADM adm-wf-def[of r H]* **by** *auto*
 thus $f \ x = g \ x$ **using** *fFP* **and** *gFP* **by** *simp*
 qed
 }
thus *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: ext*)
qed

lemma *wfrec-unique-fixpoint*:
fixes $r :: ('a * 'a) \text{ set}$ **and**
 $H :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ **and**
 $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$
assumes *WF*: $\text{wf } r$ **and** *ADM*: $\text{adm-wf } r \ H$ **and**
 $\text{fp}: f = H \ f$
shows $f = \text{wfrec } r \ H$
proof—
have $H \ (\text{wfrec } r \ H) = \text{wfrec } r \ H$

```

    using assms wfrec-fixpoint[of r H] by simp
    thus ?thesis
    using assms adm-wf-unique-fixpoint[of r H wfrec r H] by simp
qed

end

```

5 Well-Order Relations

```

theory Wellorder-Relation
imports Wellfounded-More
begin

```

```

context wo-rel
begin

```

5.1 Auxiliaries

```

lemma PREORD: Preorder r
using WELL order-on-defs[of - r] by auto

```

```

lemma PARORD: Partial-order r
using WELL order-on-defs[of - r] by auto

```

```

lemma cases-Total2:
 $\bigwedge \text{phi } a \text{ } b. [\{a, b\} \leq \text{Field } r; ((a, b) \in r - \text{Id} \implies \text{phi } a \text{ } b);$ 
 $((b, a) \in r - \text{Id} \implies \text{phi } a \text{ } b); (a = b \implies \text{phi } a \text{ } b)]$ 
 $\implies \text{phi } a \text{ } b$ 
using TOTALS by auto

```

5.2 Well-founded induction and recursion adapted to non-strict well-order relations

```

lemma wored-unique-fixpoint:
assumes ADM: adm-wo H and fp: f = H f
shows f = wored H
proof-
  have adm-wf (r - Id) H
  unfolding adm-wf-def
  using ADM adm-wo-def[of H] underS-def[of r] by auto
  hence f = wfrec (r - Id) H
  using fp WF wfrec-unique-fixpoint[of r - Id H] by simp
  thus ?thesis unfolding wored-def .
qed

```

5.2.1 Properties of max2

```

lemma max2-iff:
assumes a ∈ Field r and b ∈ Field r

```

shows $((\text{max2 } a \ b, \ c) \in r) = ((a, c) \in r \wedge (b, c) \in r)$
proof
 assume $(\text{max2 } a \ b, \ c) \in r$
 thus $(a, c) \in r \wedge (b, c) \in r$
 using *assms max2-greater[of a b] TRANS trans-def[of r]* **by** *blast*
next
 assume $(a, c) \in r \wedge (b, c) \in r$
 thus $(\text{max2 } a \ b, \ c) \in r$
 using *assms max2-among[of a b]* **by** *auto*
qed

5.2.2 Properties of minim

lemma *minim-Under*:
 $\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; B \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \text{minim } B \in \text{Under } B$
by(*auto simp add: Under-def minim-inField minim-least*)

lemma *equals-minim-Under*:
 $\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; a \in B; a \in \text{Under } B \rrbracket$
 $\implies a = \text{minim } B$
by(*auto simp add: Under-def equals-minim*)

lemma *minim-iff-In-Under*:
assumes *SUB*: $B \leq \text{Field } r$ **and** *NE*: $B \neq \{\}$
shows $(a = \text{minim } B) = (a \in B \wedge a \in \text{Under } B)$
proof
 assume $a = \text{minim } B$
 thus $a \in B \wedge a \in \text{Under } B$
 using *assms minim-in minim-Under* **by** *simp*
next
 assume $a \in B \wedge a \in \text{Under } B$
 thus $a = \text{minim } B$
 using *assms equals-minim-Under* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *minim-Under-under*:
assumes *NE*: $A \neq \{\}$ **and** *SUB*: $A \leq \text{Field } r$
shows $\text{Under } A = \text{under } (\text{minim } A)$
proof–

have *1*: $\text{minim } A \in A$
 using *assms minim-in* **by** *auto*
 have *2*: $\forall x \in A. (\text{minim } A, x) \in r$
 using *assms minim-least* **by** *auto*

have $\text{Under } A \leq \text{under } (\text{minim } A)$
 proof
 fix x **assume** $x \in \text{Under } A$
 with *1 Under-def[of r]* **have** $(x, \text{minim } A) \in r$ **by** *auto*

thus $x \in \text{under}(\text{minim } A)$ **unfolding** *under-def* **by** *simp*
 qed

moreover

have $\text{under}(\text{minim } A) \leq \text{Under } A$
 proof
 fix x assume $x \in \text{under}(\text{minim } A)$
 hence 11: $(x, \text{minim } A) \in r$ **unfolding** *under-def* **by** *simp*
 hence $x \in \text{Field } r$ **unfolding** *Field-def* **by** *auto*
 moreover
 {fix a assume $a \in A$
 with 2 have $(\text{minim } A, a) \in r$ **by** *simp*
 with 11 have $(x, a) \in r$
 using *TRANS trans-def[of r]* **by** *blast*
 }
 ultimately show $x \in \text{Under } A$ **by** (*unfold Under-def, auto*)
 qed

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
 qed

lemma *minim-UnderS-underS*:
 assumes *NE*: $A \neq \{\}$ **and** *SUB*: $A \leq \text{Field } r$
 shows $\text{UnderS } A = \text{underS }(\text{minim } A)$
 proof–

have 1: $\text{minim } A \in A$
 using *assms minim-in* **by** *auto*
 have 2: $\forall x \in A. (\text{minim } A, x) \in r$
 using *assms minim-least* **by** *auto*

have $\text{UnderS } A \leq \text{underS }(\text{minim } A)$
 proof
 fix x assume $x \in \text{UnderS } A$
 with 1 *UnderS-def[of r]* have $x \neq \text{minim } A \wedge (x, \text{minim } A) \in r$ **by** *auto*
 thus $x \in \text{underS}(\text{minim } A)$ **unfolding** *underS-def* **by** *simp*
 qed

moreover

have $\text{underS }(\text{minim } A) \leq \text{UnderS } A$
 proof
 fix x assume $x \in \text{underS}(\text{minim } A)$
 hence 11: $x \neq \text{minim } A \wedge (x, \text{minim } A) \in r$ **unfolding** *underS-def* **by** *simp*
 hence $x \in \text{Field } r$ **unfolding** *Field-def* **by** *auto*
 moreover
 {fix a assume $a \in A$
 with 2 have 3: $(\text{minim } A, a) \in r$ **by** *simp*

```

with 11 have  $(x,a) \in r$ 
using TRANS trans-def[of  $r$ ] by blast
moreover
have  $x \neq a$ 
proof
  assume  $x = a$ 
  with 11 3 ANTISYM antisym-def[of  $r$ ]
  show False by auto
qed
ultimately
have  $x \neq a \wedge (x,a) \in r$  by simp
}
ultimately show  $x \in \text{UnderS } A$  by (unfold UnderS-def, auto)
qed

ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

5.2.3 Properties of sup

```

lemma sup-Above:
assumes SUB:  $B \leq \text{Field } r$  and ABOVE: Above  $B \neq \{\}$ 
shows sup  $B \in \text{Above } B$ 
proof(unfold sup-def)
  have Above  $B \leq \text{Field } r$ 
  using Above-Field[of  $r$ ] by auto
  thus minim (Above  $B$ )  $\in \text{Above } B$ 
  using assms by (simp add: minim-in)
qed

lemma sup-greater:
assumes SUB:  $B \leq \text{Field } r$  and ABOVE: Above  $B \neq \{\}$  and
  IN:  $b \in B$ 
shows  $(b, \text{sup } B) \in r$ 
proof–
  from assms sup-Above
  have sup  $B \in \text{Above } B$  by simp
  with IN Above-def[of  $r$ ] show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma sup-least-Above:
assumes SUB:  $B \leq \text{Field } r$  and
  ABOVE:  $a \in \text{Above } B$ 
shows  $(\text{sup } B, a) \in r$ 
proof(unfold sup-def)
  have Above  $B \leq \text{Field } r$ 
  using Above-Field[of  $r$ ] by auto
  thus (minim (Above  $B$ ),  $a$ )  $\in r$ 
  using assms minim-least

```

by simp
qed

lemma *supr-least*:
 $\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; a \in \text{Field } r; (\bigwedge b. b \in B \implies (b, a) \in r) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{supr } B, a) \in r$
 by(auto simp add: *supr-least-Above Above-def*)

lemma *equals-supr-Above*:
 assumes *SUB*: $B \leq \text{Field } r$ and *ABV*: $a \in \text{Above } B$ and
 MINIM: $\bigwedge a'. a' \in \text{Above } B \implies (a, a') \in r$
 shows $a = \text{supr } B$
 proof(*unfold* *supr-def*)
 have $\text{Above } B \leq \text{Field } r$
 using *Above-Field*[of *r*] by auto
 thus $a = \text{minim } (\text{Above } B)$
 using *assms equals-minim* by simp
 qed

lemma *equals-supr*:
 assumes *SUB*: $B \leq \text{Field } r$ and *IN*: $a \in \text{Field } r$ and
 ABV: $\bigwedge b. b \in B \implies (b, a) \in r$ and
 MINIM: $\bigwedge a'. \llbracket a' \in \text{Field } r; \bigwedge b. b \in B \implies (b, a') \in r \rrbracket \implies (a, a') \in r$
 shows $a = \text{supr } B$
 proof-
 have $a \in \text{Above } B$
 unfolding *Above-def* using *ABV IN* by simp
 moreover
 have $\bigwedge a'. a' \in \text{Above } B \implies (a, a') \in r$
 unfolding *Above-def* using *MINIM* by simp
 ultimately show ?thesis
 using *equals-supr-Above SUB* by auto
 qed

lemma *supr-inField*:
 assumes $B \leq \text{Field } r$ and $\text{Above } B \neq \{\}$
 shows $\text{supr } B \in \text{Field } r$
 proof-
 have $\text{supr } B \in \text{Above } B$ using *supr-Above* *assms* by simp
 thus ?thesis using *assms Above-Field*[of *r*] by auto
 qed

lemma *supr-above-Above*:
 assumes *SUB*: $B \leq \text{Field } r$ and *ABOVE*: $\text{Above } B \neq \{\}$
 shows $\text{Above } B = \text{above } (\text{supr } B)$
 proof(*unfold* *Above-def* *above-def*, *auto*)
 fix *a* assume $a \in \text{Field } r \ \forall b \in B. (b, a) \in r$
 with *supr-least* *assms*
 show $(\text{supr } B, a) \in r$ by auto

```

next
  fix b assume (supr B, b) ∈ r
  thus b ∈ Field r
  using REFL refl-on-def[of - r] by auto
next
  fix a b
  assume 1: (supr B, b) ∈ r and 2: a ∈ B
  with assms supr-greater
  have (a, supr B) ∈ r by auto
  thus (a, b) ∈ r
  using 1 TRANS trans-def[of r] by blast
qed

lemma supr-under:
  assumes IN: a ∈ Field r
  shows a = supr (under a)
  proof -
    have under a ≤ Field r
    using under-Field[of r] by auto
    moreover
    have under a ≠ {}
    using IN Reft-under-in[of r] REFL by auto
    moreover
    have a ∈ Above (under a)
    using in-Above-under[of - r] IN by auto
    moreover
    have ∀ a' ∈ Above (under a). (a, a') ∈ r
    proof (unfold Above-def under-def, auto)
      fix a'
      assume ∀ aa. (aa, a) ∈ r ⟶ (aa, a') ∈ r
      hence (a, a) ∈ r ⟶ (a, a') ∈ r by blast
      moreover have (a, a) ∈ r
      using REFL IN by (auto simp add: refl-on-def)
      ultimately
      show (a, a') ∈ r by (rule mp)
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis
    using equals-supr-Above by auto
  qed

```

5.2.4 Properties of successor

```

lemma suc-least:
  ⟦B ≤ Field r; a ∈ Field r; (⋀ b. b ∈ B ⟹ a ≠ b ∧ (b, a) ∈ r)⟧
  ⟹ (suc B, a) ∈ r
by (auto simp add: suc-least-AboveS AboveS-def)

```

```

lemma equals-suc:
  assumes SUB: B ≤ Field r and IN: a ∈ Field r and

```

ABVS: $\bigwedge b. b \in B \implies a \neq b \wedge (b, a) \in r$ **and**
MINIM: $\bigwedge a'. \llbracket a' \in \text{Field } r; \bigwedge b. b \in B \implies a' \neq b \wedge (b, a') \in r \rrbracket \implies (a, a') \in r$
shows $a = \text{suc } B$
proof –
 have $a \in \text{AboveS } B$
 unfolding *AboveS-def* **using** *ABVS IN* **by** *simp*
 moreover
 have $\bigwedge a'. a' \in \text{AboveS } B \implies (a, a') \in r$
 unfolding *AboveS-def* **using** *MINIM* **by** *simp*
 ultimately **show** *?thesis*
 using *equals-suc-AboveS SUB* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *suc-above-AboveS*:
assumes *SUB*: $B \leq \text{Field } r$ **and**
 ABOVE: $\text{AboveS } B \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{AboveS } B = \text{above } (\text{suc } B)$
proof(*unfold AboveS-def above-def, auto*)
 fix a **assume** $a \in \text{Field } r \ \forall b \in B. a \neq b \wedge (b, a) \in r$
 with *suc-least assms*
 show $(\text{suc } B, a) \in r$ **by** *auto*
next
 fix b **assume** $(\text{suc } B, b) \in r$
 thus $b \in \text{Field } r$
 using *REFL refl-on-def[of - r]* **by** *auto*
next
 fix $a \ b$
 assume 1: $(\text{suc } B, b) \in r$ **and** 2: $a \in B$
 with *assms suc-greater[of B a]*
 have $(a, \text{suc } B) \in r$ **by** *auto*
 thus $(a, b) \in r$
 using 1 2 *TRANS trans-def[of r]* **by** *blast*
next
 fix a
 assume 1: $(\text{suc } B, a) \in r$ **and** 2: $a \in B$
 with *assms suc-greater[of B a]*
 have $(a, \text{suc } B) \in r$ **by** *auto*
 moreover have $\text{suc } B \in \text{Field } r$
 using *assms suc-inField* **by** *simp*
 ultimately have $a = \text{suc } B$
 using 1 2 *SUB ANTISYM antisym-def[of r]* **by** *auto*
 thus *False*
 using *assms suc-greater[of B a]* 2 **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *suc-singl-pred*:
assumes *IN*: $a \in \text{Field } r$ **and** *ABOVE-NE*: $\text{aboveS } a \neq \{\}$ **and**
 REL: $(a', \text{suc } \{a\}) \in r$ **and** *DIFF*: $a' \neq \text{suc } \{a\}$
shows $a' = a \vee (a', a) \in r$

```

proof–
  have *:  $\text{suc } \{a\} \in \text{Field } r \wedge a' \in \text{Field } r$ 
  using WELL REL well-order-on-domain by metis
  {assume **:  $a' \neq a$ 
    hence  $(a, a') \in r \vee (a', a) \in r$ 
    using TOTAL IN * by (auto simp add: total-on-def)
    moreover
    {assume  $(a, a') \in r$ 
      with ** * assms WELL suc-least[of  $\{a\}$   $a'$ ]
      have  $(\text{suc } \{a\}, a') \in r$  by auto
      with REL DIFF * ANTISYM antisym-def[of  $r$ ]
      have False by simp
    }
    ultimately have  $(a', a) \in r$ 
    by blast
  }
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

lemma *under-underS-suc*:
assumes *IN*: $a \in \text{Field } r$ **and** *ABV*: $\text{aboveS } a \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{underS } (\text{suc } \{a\}) = \text{under } a$

```

proof–
  have 1:  $\text{AboveS } \{a\} \neq \{\}$ 
  using ABV aboveS-AboveS-singl[of  $r$ ] by auto
  have 2:  $a \neq \text{suc } \{a\} \wedge (a, \text{suc } \{a\}) \in r$ 
  using suc-greater[of  $\{a\}$   $a$ ] IN 1 by auto

  have  $\text{underS } (\text{suc } \{a\}) \leq \text{under } a$ 
  proof(unfold underS-def under-def, auto)
    fix  $x$  assume *:  $x \neq \text{suc } \{a\}$  and **:  $(x, \text{suc } \{a\}) \in r$ 
    with suc-singl-pred[of  $a$   $x$ ] IN ABV
    have  $x = a \vee (x, a) \in r$  by auto
    with REFL refl-on-def[of  $r$ ] IN
    show  $(x, a) \in r$  by auto
  qed

```

moreover

```

have  $\text{under } a \leq \text{underS } (\text{suc } \{a\})$ 
proof(unfold underS-def under-def, auto)
  assume  $(\text{suc } \{a\}, a) \in r$ 
  with 2 ANTISYM antisym-def[of  $r$ ]
  show False by auto
next
  fix  $x$  assume *:  $(x, a) \in r$ 
  with 2 TRANS trans-def[of  $r$ ]
  show  $(x, \text{suc } \{a\}) \in r$  by blast

```

qed

ultimately show *?thesis* by blast
qed

5.2.5 Properties of order filters

```
lemma ofilter-Under[simp]:  
  assumes  $A \leq \text{Field } r$   
  shows ofilter(Under A)  
  proof(unfold ofilter-def, auto)  
    fix x assume  $x \in \text{Under } A$   
    thus  $x \in \text{Field } r$   
    using Under-Field[of r] assms by auto  
  next  
    fix a x  
    assume  $a \in \text{Under } A$  and  $x \in \text{under } a$   
    thus  $x \in \text{Under } A$   
    using TRANS under-Under-trans[of r] by auto  
qed
```

```
lemma ofilter-UnderS[simp]:  
  assumes  $A \leq \text{Field } r$   
  shows ofilter(UnderS A)  
  proof(unfold ofilter-def, auto)  
    fix x assume  $x \in \text{UnderS } A$   
    thus  $x \in \text{Field } r$   
    using UnderS-Field[of r] assms by auto  
  next  
    fix a x  
    assume  $a \in \text{UnderS } A$  and  $x \in \text{under } a$   
    thus  $x \in \text{UnderS } A$   
    using TRANS ANTISYM under-UnderS-trans[of r] by auto  
qed
```

```
lemma ofilter-Int[simp]:  $\llbracket \text{ofilter } A; \text{ ofilter } B \rrbracket \implies \text{ofilter}(A \text{ Int } B)$   
unfolding ofilter-def by blast
```

```
lemma ofilter-Un[simp]:  $\llbracket \text{ofilter } A; \text{ ofilter } B \rrbracket \implies \text{ofilter}(A \cup B)$   
unfolding ofilter-def by blast
```

```
lemma ofilter-INTER:  
 $\llbracket I \neq \{\}; \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{ofilter}(A \ i) \rrbracket \implies \text{ofilter}(\bigcap i \in I. A \ i)$   
unfolding ofilter-def by blast
```

```
lemma ofilter-Inter:  
 $\llbracket S \neq \{\}; \bigwedge A. A \in S \implies \text{ofilter } A \rrbracket \implies \text{ofilter}(\bigcap S)$   
unfolding ofilter-def by blast
```

lemma *ofilter-Union*:
 $(\bigwedge A. A \in S \implies \text{ofilter } A) \implies \text{ofilter } (\bigcup S)$
unfolding *ofilter-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *ofilter-under-Union*:
 $\text{ofilter } A \implies A = \bigcup \{\text{under } a \mid a. a \in A\}$
using *ofilter-under-UNION* [of *A*] **by** *auto*

5.2.6 Other properties

lemma *Trans-Under-regressive*:
assumes *NE*: $A \neq \{\}$ **and** *SUB*: $A \leq \text{Field } r$
shows $\text{Under}(\text{Under } A) \leq \text{Under } A$
proof
 let $?a = \text{minim } A$

 have $1: \text{minim } A \in \text{Under } A$
 using *assms minim-Under* **by** *auto*
 have $2: \forall y \in A. (\text{minim } A, y) \in r$
 using *assms minim-least* **by** *auto*

 fix x **assume** $x \in \text{Under}(\text{Under } A)$
 with 1 **have** $1: (x, \text{minim } A) \in r$
 using *Under-def*[of r] **by** *auto*
 with *Field-def* **have** $x \in \text{Field } r$ **by** *fastforce*
 moreover
 {
 fix y **assume** $y \in A$
 hence $(x, y) \in r$
 using $1\ 2$ *TRANS trans-def*[of r] **by** *blast*
 with *Field-def* **have** $(x, y) \in r$ **by** *auto*
 }
 ultimately
 show $x \in \text{Under } A$ **unfolding** *Under-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *ofilter-suc-Field*:
assumes *OF*: *ofilter* A **and** *NE*: $A \neq \text{Field } r$
shows *ofilter* $(A \cup \{\text{suc } A\})$
proof–

 have $1: A \leq \text{Field } r$ **using** *OF ofilter-def* **by** *auto*
 hence $2: \text{AboveS } A \neq \{\}$
 using *ofilter-AboveS-Field NE OF* **by** *blast*
 from $1\ 2$ *suc-inField*
 have $3: \text{suc } A \in \text{Field } r$ **by** *auto*

 show *?thesis*
 proof(*unfold ofilter-def, auto simp add: 1 3*)
 fix $a\ x$

```

    assume  $a \in A$   $x \in \text{under } a$   $x \notin A$ 
    with  $OF$   $\text{ofilter-def}$  have  $\text{False}$  by auto
    thus  $x = \text{suc } A$  by simp
  next
    fix  $x$  assume *:  $x \in \text{under } (\text{suc } A)$  and **:  $x \notin A$ 
    hence  $x \in \text{Field } r$  using  $\text{under-def Field-def}$  by fastforce
    with ** have  $x \in \text{AboveS } A$ 
    using  $\text{ofilter-AboveS-Field[of } A]$   $OF$  by auto
    hence  $(\text{suc } A, x) \in r$ 
    using  $\text{suc-least-AboveS}$  by auto
    moreover
    have  $(x, \text{suc } A) \in r$  using *  $\text{under-def[of } r]$  by auto
    ultimately show  $x = \text{suc } A$ 
    using  $\text{ANTISYM antisym-def[of } r]$  by auto
  qed
qed

```

```

declare
   $\text{minim-in[simp]}$ 
   $\text{minim-inField[simp]}$ 
   $\text{minim-least[simp]}$ 
   $\text{under-ofilter[simp]}$ 
   $\text{underS-ofilter[simp]}$ 
   $\text{Field-ofilter[simp]}$ 

```

end

```

abbreviation  $\text{worec} \equiv \text{wo-rel.worec}$ 
abbreviation  $\text{adm-wo} \equiv \text{wo-rel.adm-wo}$ 
abbreviation  $\text{isMinim} \equiv \text{wo-rel.isMinim}$ 
abbreviation  $\text{minim} \equiv \text{wo-rel.minim}$ 
abbreviation  $\text{max2} \equiv \text{wo-rel.max2}$ 
abbreviation  $\text{supr} \equiv \text{wo-rel.supr}$ 
abbreviation  $\text{suc} \equiv \text{wo-rel.suc}$ 

```

end

6 Well-Order Embeddings

```

theory Wellorder-Embedding
imports Fun-More Wellorder-Relation
begin

```

6.1 Auxiliaries

```

lemma UNION-bij-betw-ofilter:
assumes  $\text{WELL: Well-order } r$  and
       $OF: \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{ofilter } r (A \ i)$  and

```

$BIJ: \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{bij-betw } f (A \ i) (A' \ i)$
shows $\text{bij-betw } f (\bigcup i \in I. A \ i) (\bigcup i \in I. A' \ i)$
proof –
 have $\text{wo-rel } r$ **using** $WELL$ **by** $(\text{simp add: wo-rel-def})$
 hence $\bigwedge i \ j. \llbracket i \in I; j \in I \rrbracket \implies A \ i \leq A \ j \vee A \ j \leq A \ i$
using $\text{wo-rel.ofilter-linord}[of \ r]$ **OF** **by** blast
with $WELL \ BIJ$ **show** $?thesis$
by $(\text{auto simp add: bij-betw-UNION-chain})$
qed

6.2 (Well-order) embeddings, strict embeddings, isomorphisms and order-compatible functions

lemma embed-halfcong :
assumes $EQ: \bigwedge a. a \in \text{Field } r \implies f \ a = g \ a$ **and**
 $EMB: \text{embed } r \ r' \ f$
shows $\text{embed } r \ r' \ g$
proof $(\text{unfold embed-def, auto})$
fix a **assume** $*$: $a \in \text{Field } r$
hence $\text{bij-betw } f (\text{under } r \ a) (\text{under } r' (f \ a))$
using EMB **unfolding** embed-def **by** simp
moreover
 $\{ \text{have } \text{under } r \ a \leq \text{Field } r$
by $(\text{auto simp add: under-Field})$
hence $\bigwedge b. b \in \text{under } r \ a \implies f \ b = g \ b$
using EQ **by** blast
 $\}$
moreover **have** $f \ a = g \ a$ **using** $*$ EQ **by** auto
ultimately show $\text{bij-betw } g (\text{under } r \ a) (\text{under } r' (g \ a))$
using $\text{bij-betw-cong}[of \ \text{under } r \ a \ f \ g \ \text{under } r' (f \ a)]$ **by** auto
qed

lemma $\text{embed-cong}[fundef-cong]$:
assumes $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{Field } r \implies f \ a = g \ a$
shows $\text{embed } r \ r' \ f = \text{embed } r \ r' \ g$
using $\text{assms embed-halfcong}[of \ r \ f \ g \ r']$
 $\text{embed-halfcong}[of \ r \ g \ f \ r']$ **by** auto

lemma $\text{embedS-cong}[fundef-cong]$:
assumes $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{Field } r \implies f \ a = g \ a$
shows $\text{embedS } r \ r' \ f = \text{embedS } r \ r' \ g$
unfolding embedS-def **using** assms
 $\text{embed-cong}[of \ r \ f \ g \ r']$ $\text{bij-betw-cong}[of \ \text{Field } r \ f \ g \ \text{Field } r']$ **by** blast

lemma $\text{iso-cong}[fundef-cong]$:
assumes $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{Field } r \implies f \ a = g \ a$
shows $\text{iso } r \ r' \ f = \text{iso } r \ r' \ g$
unfolding iso-def **using** assms
 $\text{embed-cong}[of \ r \ f \ g \ r']$ $\text{bij-betw-cong}[of \ \text{Field } r \ f \ g \ \text{Field } r']$ **by** blast

lemma *id-compat*: *compat r r id*
by(*auto simp add: id-def compat-def*)

lemma *comp-compat*:
 $\llbracket \text{compat } r \ r' \ f; \text{compat } r' \ r'' \ f \rrbracket \implies \text{compat } r \ r'' \ (f' \circ f)$
by(*auto simp add: comp-def compat-def*)

corollary *one-set-greater*:
 $(\exists f::'a \Rightarrow 'a'. f \text{ ' } A \leq A' \wedge \text{inj-on } f \ A) \vee (\exists g::'a' \Rightarrow 'a. g \text{ ' } A' \leq A \wedge \text{inj-on } g \ A')$
proof–
obtain *r* **where** *well-order-on A r* **by** (*fastforce simp add: well-order-on*)
hence *1*: $A = \text{Field } r \wedge \text{Well-order } r$
using *well-order-on-Well-order* **by** *auto*
obtain *r'* **where** *2: well-order-on A' r'* **by** (*fastforce simp add: well-order-on*)
hence *2*: $A' = \text{Field } r' \wedge \text{Well-order } r'$
using *well-order-on-Well-order* **by** *auto*
hence $(\exists f. \text{embed } r \ r' \ f) \vee (\exists g. \text{embed } r' \ r \ g)$
using *1 2* **by** (*auto simp add: wellorders-totally-ordered*)
moreover
{fix *f* **assume** *embed r r' f*
hence $f \text{ ' } A \leq A' \wedge \text{inj-on } f \ A$
using *1 2* **by** (*auto simp add: embed-Field embed-inj-on*)
}
moreover
{fix *g* **assume** *embed r' r g*
hence $g \text{ ' } A' \leq A \wedge \text{inj-on } g \ A'$
using *1 2* **by** (*auto simp add: embed-Field embed-inj-on*)
}
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

corollary *one-type-greater*:
 $(\exists f::'a \Rightarrow 'a'. \text{inj } f) \vee (\exists g::'a' \Rightarrow 'a. \text{inj } g)$
using *one-set-greater*[*of UNIV UNIV*] **by** *auto*

6.3 Uniqueness of embeddings

lemma *comp-embedS*:
assumes *WELL*: *Well-order r* **and** *WELL'*: *Well-order r'* **and** *WELL''*: *Well-order r''*
and *EMB*: *embedS r r' f* **and** *EMB'*: *embedS r' r'' f'*
shows *embedS r r'' (f' o f)*
proof–
have *embed r' r'' f'* **using** *EMB'* **unfolding** *embedS-def* **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis* **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: embedS-comp-embed*)
qed

lemma *iso-iff4*:

assumes *WELL*: *Well-order* r **and** *WELL'*: *Well-order* r'
shows $iso\ r\ r'\ f = (embed\ r\ r'\ f \wedge embed\ r'\ r\ (inv\text{-}into\ (Field\ r)\ f))$
using *assms embed-both Ways-iso*
by(*unfold iso-def, auto simp add: inv-into-Field-embed-bij-betw*)

lemma *embed-embedS-iso*:
 $embed\ r\ r'\ f = (embedS\ r\ r'\ f \vee iso\ r\ r'\ f)$
unfolding *embedS-def iso-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *not-embedS-iso*:
 $\neg (embedS\ r\ r'\ f \wedge iso\ r\ r'\ f)$
unfolding *embedS-def iso-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *embed-embedS-iff-not-iso*:
assumes $embed\ r\ r'\ f$
shows $embedS\ r\ r'\ f = (\neg iso\ r\ r'\ f)$
using *assms unfolding embedS-def iso-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *iso-inv-into*:
assumes *WELL*: *Well-order* r **and** *ISO*: $iso\ r\ r'\ f$
shows $iso\ r'\ r\ (inv\text{-}into\ (Field\ r)\ f)$
using *assms unfolding iso-def*
using *bij-betw-inv-into inv-into-Field-embed-bij-betw* **by** *blast*

lemma *embedS-or-iso*:
assumes *WELL*: *Well-order* r **and** *WELL'*: *Well-order* r'
shows $(\exists g. embedS\ r\ r'\ g) \vee (\exists h. embedS\ r'\ r\ h) \vee (\exists f. iso\ r\ r'\ f)$
proof–
 {**fix** f **assume** *: $embed\ r\ r'\ f$
 {**assume** $bij\text{-}betw\ f\ (Field\ r)\ (Field\ r')$
 hence *?thesis* **using** * **by** (*auto simp add: iso-def*)
 }
 moreover
 {**assume** $\neg bij\text{-}betw\ f\ (Field\ r)\ (Field\ r')$
 hence *?thesis* **using** * **by** (*auto simp add: embedS-def*)
 }
 ultimately have *?thesis* **by** *auto*
}
moreover
 {**fix** f **assume** *: $embed\ r'\ r\ f$
 {**assume** $bij\text{-}betw\ f\ (Field\ r')\ (Field\ r)$
 hence $iso\ r'\ r\ f$ **using** * **by** (*auto simp add: iso-def*)
 hence $iso\ r\ r'\ (inv\text{-}into\ (Field\ r')\ f)$
 using *WELL'* **by** (*auto simp add: iso-inv-into*)
 hence *?thesis* **by** *blast*
 }
 moreover
 {**assume** $\neg bij\text{-}betw\ f\ (Field\ r')\ (Field\ r)$
 hence *?thesis* **using** * **by** (*auto simp add: embedS-def*)
 }

```

    }
    ultimately have ?thesis by auto
  }
  ultimately show ?thesis using WELL WELL'
  wellorders-totally-ordered[of r r'] by blast
qed

end

```

7 Order Union

```

theory Order-Union
imports Main
begin

```

definition *Osum* :: $'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$ (**infix** *Osum* 60) **where**
 $r \text{ Osum } r' = r \cup r' \cup \{(a, a') \mid a \in \text{Field } r \wedge a' \in \text{Field } r'\}$

notation *Osum* (**infix** $\cup o$ 60)

lemma *Field-Osum*: $\text{Field } (r \cup o r') = \text{Field } r \cup \text{Field } r'$
unfolding *Osum-def* *Field-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *Osum-wf*:

assumes *FLD*: $\text{Field } r \text{ Int } \text{Field } r' = \{\}$ **and**

WF : $\text{wf } r$ **and** WF' : $\text{wf } r'$

shows $\text{wf } (r \text{ Osum } r')$

unfolding *wf-eq-minimal2* **unfolding** *Field-Osum*

proof(*intro allI impI, elim conjE*)

fix *A* **assume** *: $A \subseteq \text{Field } r \cup \text{Field } r'$ **and** **: $A \neq \{\}$

obtain *B* **where** *B-def*: $B = A \text{ Int } \text{Field } r$ **by** *blast*

show $\exists a \in A. \forall a' \in A. (a', a) \notin r \cup o r'$

proof(*cases* $B = \{\}$)

assume *Case1*: $B \neq \{\}$

hence $B \neq \{\} \wedge B \leq \text{Field } r$ **using** *B-def* **by** *auto*

then obtain *a* **where** *1*: $a \in B$ **and** *2*: $\forall a1 \in B. (a1, a) \notin r$

using *WF* **unfolding** *wf-eq-minimal2* **by** *blast*

hence *3*: $a \in \text{Field } r \wedge a \notin \text{Field } r'$ **using** *B-def* *FLD* **by** *auto*

have $\forall a1 \in A. (a1, a) \notin r \text{ Osum } r'$

proof(*intro ballI*)

fix *a1* **assume** **: $a1 \in A$

{assume *Case11*: $a1 \in \text{Field } r$

hence $(a1, a) \notin r$ **using** *B-def* ** *2* **by** *auto*

moreover

have $(a1, a) \notin r'$ **using** *3* **by** (*auto simp add: Field-def*)

ultimately have $(a1, a) \notin r \text{ Osum } r'$

using *3* **unfolding** *Osum-def* **by** *auto*

}

```

moreover
{assume Case12:  $a1 \notin \text{Field } r$ 
  hence  $(a1, a) \notin r$  unfolding Field-def by auto
  moreover
  have  $(a1, a) \notin r'$  using 3 unfolding Field-def by auto
  ultimately have  $(a1, a) \notin r$  Osum  $r'$ 
  using 3 unfolding Osum-def by auto
}
ultimately show  $(a1, a) \notin r$  Osum  $r'$  by blast
qed
thus ?thesis using 1 B-def by auto
next
assume Case2:  $B = \{\}$ 
hence  $1: A \neq \{\} \wedge A \leq \text{Field } r'$  using * ** B-def by auto
then obtain  $a'$  where 2:  $a' \in A$  and 3:  $\forall a1' \in A. (a1', a') \notin r'$ 
using WF' unfolding wf-eq-minimal2 by blast
hence 4:  $a' \in \text{Field } r' \wedge a' \notin \text{Field } r$  using 1 FLD by blast

have  $\forall a1' \in A. (a1', a') \notin r$  Osum  $r'$ 
proof(unfold Osum-def, auto simp add: 3)
  fix  $a1'$  assume  $(a1', a') \in r$ 
  thus False using 4 unfolding Field-def by blast
next
  fix  $a1'$  assume  $a1' \in A$  and  $a1' \in \text{Field } r$ 
  thus False using Case2 B-def by auto
qed
thus ?thesis using 2 by blast
qed
qed

lemma Osum-Refl:
assumes FLD:  $\text{Field } r \text{ Int } \text{Field } r' = \{\}$  and
  REFL: Refl  $r$  and REFL': Refl  $r'$ 
shows Refl  $(r \text{ Osum } r')$ 
using assms
unfolding refl-on-def Field-Osum unfolding Osum-def by blast

lemma Osum-trans:
assumes FLD:  $\text{Field } r \text{ Int } \text{Field } r' = \{\}$  and
  TRANS: trans  $r$  and TRANS': trans  $r'$ 
shows trans  $(r \text{ Osum } r')$ 
proof(unfold trans-def, auto)
  fix  $x y z$  assume *:  $(x, y) \in r \cup o r'$  and **:  $(y, z) \in r \cup o r'$ 
  show  $(x, z) \in r \cup o r'$ 
  proof—
    {assume Case1:  $(x, y) \in r$ 
      hence 1:  $x \in \text{Field } r \wedge y \in \text{Field } r$  unfolding Field-def by auto
      have ?thesis
      proof—

```

```

{assume Case11:  $(y,z) \in r$ 
  hence  $(x,z) \in r$  using Case1 TRANS trans-def[of r] by blast
  hence ?thesis unfolding Osum-def by auto
}
moreover
{assume Case12:  $(y,z) \in r'$ 
  hence  $y \in \text{Field } r'$  unfolding Field-def by auto
  hence False using FLD 1 by auto
}
moreover
{assume Case13:  $z \in \text{Field } r'$ 
  hence ?thesis using 1 unfolding Osum-def by auto
}
ultimately show ?thesis using ** unfolding Osum-def by blast
qed
}
moreover
{assume Case2:  $(x,y) \in r'$ 
  hence 2:  $x \in \text{Field } r' \wedge y \in \text{Field } r'$  unfolding Field-def by auto
  have ?thesis
  proof-
    {assume Case21:  $(y,z) \in r$ 
      hence  $y \in \text{Field } r$  unfolding Field-def by auto
      hence False using FLD 2 by auto
    }
    moreover
    {assume Case22:  $(y,z) \in r'$ 
      hence  $(x,z) \in r'$  using Case2 TRANS' trans-def[of r'] by blast
      hence ?thesis unfolding Osum-def by auto
    }
    moreover
    {assume Case23:  $y \in \text{Field } r$ 
      hence False using FLD 2 by auto
    }
    ultimately show ?thesis using ** unfolding Osum-def by blast
  qed
}
moreover
{assume Case3:  $x \in \text{Field } r \wedge y \in \text{Field } r'$ 
  have ?thesis
  proof-
    {assume Case31:  $(y,z) \in r$ 
      hence  $y \in \text{Field } r$  unfolding Field-def by auto
      hence False using FLD Case3 by auto
    }
    moreover
    {assume Case32:  $(y,z) \in r'$ 
      hence  $z \in \text{Field } r'$  unfolding Field-def by blast
      hence ?thesis unfolding Osum-def using Case3 by auto
    }
  qed
}

```

```

    }
    moreover
    {assume Case33:  $y \in \text{Field } r$ 
     hence False using FLD Case3 by auto
    }
    ultimately show ?thesis using ** unfolding Osum-def by blast
  qed
}
ultimately show ?thesis using * unfolding Osum-def by blast
qed
qed

```

lemma *Osum-Preorder*:
 $\llbracket \text{Field } r \text{ Int Field } r' = \{\}; \text{Preorder } r; \text{Preorder } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Preorder } (r \text{ Osum } r')$
unfolding *preorder-on-def* **using** *Osum-Refl Osum-trans* **by** *blast*

lemma *Osum-antisym*:
assumes *FLD*: $\text{Field } r \text{ Int Field } r' = \{\}$ **and**
 AN : *antisym* r **and** AN' : *antisym* r'
shows *antisym* $(r \text{ Osum } r')$
proof(*unfold antisym-def, auto*)
fix $x \ y$ **assume** *: $(x, y) \in r \cup o \ r'$ **and** **: $(y, x) \in r \cup o \ r'$
show $x = y$
proof–
{**assume** *Case1*: $(x, y) \in r$
hence 1: $x \in \text{Field } r \wedge y \in \text{Field } r$ **unfolding** *Field-def* **by** *auto*
have ?thesis
proof–
have $(y, x) \in r \implies ?thesis$
using *Case1 AN antisym-def[of r]* **by** *blast*
moreover
{**assume** $(y, x) \in r'$
hence $y \in \text{Field } r'$ **unfolding** *Field-def* **by** *auto*
hence False using FLD 1 **by** *auto*
}
moreover
have $x \in \text{Field } r' \implies \text{False}$ using FLD 1 **by** *auto*
ultimately show ?thesis using ** unfolding Osum-def **by** *blast*
qed
}
moreover
{**assume** *Case2*: $(x, y) \in r'$
hence 2: $x \in \text{Field } r' \wedge y \in \text{Field } r'$ **unfolding** *Field-def* **by** *auto*
have ?thesis
proof–
{**assume** $(y, x) \in r$
hence $y \in \text{Field } r$ **unfolding** *Field-def* **by** *auto*
hence False using FLD 2 **by** *auto*
}
}

```

moreover
have  $(y,x) \in r' \implies ?thesis$ 
using Case2 AN' antisym-def[of r'] by blast
moreover
{assume  $y \in \text{Field } r$ 
  hence False using FLD 2 by auto
}
ultimately show  $?thesis$  using ** unfolding Osum-def by blast
qed
}
moreover
{assume Case3:  $x \in \text{Field } r \wedge y \in \text{Field } r'$ 
  have  $?thesis$ 
  proof –
    {assume  $(y,x) \in r$ 
      hence  $y \in \text{Field } r$  unfolding Field-def by auto
      hence False using FLD Case3 by auto
    }
    moreover
    {assume Case32:  $(y,x) \in r'$ 
      hence  $x \in \text{Field } r'$  unfolding Field-def by blast
      hence False using FLD Case3 by auto
    }
    moreover
    have  $\neg y \in \text{Field } r$  using FLD Case3 by auto
    ultimately show  $?thesis$  using ** unfolding Osum-def by blast
  }
  qed
}
ultimately show  $?thesis$  using * unfolding Osum-def by blast
qed
qed

```

lemma *Osum-Partial-order*:

$\llbracket \text{Field } r \text{ Int Field } r' = \{\}; \text{Partial-order } r; \text{Partial-order } r' \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Partial-order } (r \text{ Osum } r')$

unfolding *partial-order-on-def* **using** *Osum-Preorder Osum-antisym* **by** *blast*

lemma *Osum-Total*:

assumes *FLD*: $\text{Field } r \text{ Int Field } r' = \{\}$ **and**

TOT : *Total* r **and** TOT' : *Total* r'

shows *Total* $(r \text{ Osum } r')$

using *assms*

unfolding *total-on-def Field-Osum* **unfolding** *Osum-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *Osum-Linear-order*:

$\llbracket \text{Field } r \text{ Int Field } r' = \{\}; \text{Linear-order } r; \text{Linear-order } r' \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Linear-order } (r \text{ Osum } r')$

unfolding *linear-order-on-def* **using** *Osum-Partial-order Osum-Total* **by** *blast*

lemma *Osum-minus-Id1*:
assumes $r \leq Id$
shows $(r \text{ Osum } r') - Id \leq (r' - Id) \cup (Field\ r \times Field\ r')$
proof–
 let $?Left = (r \text{ Osum } r') - Id$
 let $?Right = (r' - Id) \cup (Field\ r \times Field\ r')$
 {fix $a::'a$ **and** b **assume** $*: (a,b) \notin Id$
 {assume $(a,b) \in r$
 with $*$ **have** *False* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 }
 moreover
 {assume $(a,b) \in r'$
 with $*$ **have** $(a,b) \in r' - Id$ **by** *auto*
 }
 ultimately
 have $(a,b) \in ?Left \implies (a,b) \in ?Right$
 unfolding *Osum-def* **by** *auto*
 }
 thus *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *Osum-minus-Id2*:
assumes $r' \leq Id$
shows $(r \text{ Osum } r') - Id \leq (r - Id) \cup (Field\ r \times Field\ r')$
proof–
 let $?Left = (r \text{ Osum } r') - Id$
 let $?Right = (r - Id) \cup (Field\ r \times Field\ r')$
 {fix $a::'a$ **and** b **assume** $*: (a,b) \notin Id$
 {assume $(a,b) \in r'$
 with $*$ **have** *False* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 }
 moreover
 {assume $(a,b) \in r$
 with $*$ **have** $(a,b) \in r - Id$ **by** *auto*
 }
 ultimately
 have $(a,b) \in ?Left \implies (a,b) \in ?Right$
 unfolding *Osum-def* **by** *auto*
 }
 thus *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *Osum-minus-Id*:
assumes *TOT*: *Total* r **and** *TOT'*: *Total* r' **and**
 $NID: \neg (r \leq Id)$ **and** $NID': \neg (r' \leq Id)$
shows $(r \text{ Osum } r') - Id \leq (r - Id) \text{ Osum } (r' - Id)$
proof–
 {fix $a\ a'$ **assume** $*: (a,a') \in (r \text{ Osum } r')$ **and** $**:$ $a \neq a'$
 have $(a,a') \in (r - Id) \text{ Osum } (r' - Id)$

```

proof-
  {assume  $(a, a') \in r \vee (a, a') \in r'$ 
    with ** have ?thesis unfolding Osum-def by auto
  }
  moreover
  {assume  $a \in \text{Field } r \wedge a' \in \text{Field } r'$ 
    hence  $a \in \text{Field}(r - \text{Id}) \wedge a' \in \text{Field}(r' - \text{Id})$ 
    using assms Total-Id-Field by blast
    hence ?thesis unfolding Osum-def by auto
  }
  ultimately show ?thesis using * unfolding Osum-def by fast
qed
}
thus ?thesis by(auto simp add: Osum-def)
qed

```

lemma wf-Int-Times:
assumes $A \text{ Int } B = \{\}$
shows $\text{wf}(A \times B)$
unfolding wf-def **using** assms **by** blast

lemma Osum-wf-Id:
assumes TOT: Total r and TOT': Total r' and
 FLD: $\text{Field } r \text{ Int } \text{Field } r' = \{\}$ and
 WF: $\text{wf}(r - \text{Id})$ and WF': $\text{wf}(r' - \text{Id})$
shows $\text{wf}((r \text{ Osum } r') - \text{Id})$
proof(cases $r \leq \text{Id} \vee r' \leq \text{Id}$)
assume Case1: $\neg(r \leq \text{Id} \vee r' \leq \text{Id})$
have $\text{Field}(r - \text{Id}) \text{ Int } \text{Field}(r' - \text{Id}) = \{\}$
using FLD mono-Field[of $r - \text{Id}$ r] mono-Field[of $r' - \text{Id}$ r']
 Diff-subset[of r Id] Diff-subset[of r' Id] **by** blast
thus ?thesis
using Case1 Osum-minus-Id[of r r'] assms Osum-wf[of $r - \text{Id}$ $r' - \text{Id}$]
 wf-subset[of $(r - \text{Id}) \cup (r' - \text{Id})$ $(r \text{ Osum } r') - \text{Id}$] **by** auto

next
have 1: $\text{wf}(\text{Field } r \times \text{Field } r')$
using FLD **by** (auto simp add: wf-Int-Times)
assume Case2: $r \leq \text{Id} \vee r' \leq \text{Id}$
moreover
 {**assume** Case21: $r \leq \text{Id}$
hence $(r \text{ Osum } r') - \text{Id} \leq (r' - \text{Id}) \cup (\text{Field } r \times \text{Field } r')$
using Osum-minus-Id1[of r r'] **by** simp
moreover
 {**have** $\text{Domain}(\text{Field } r \times \text{Field } r') \text{ Int } \text{Range}(r' - \text{Id}) = \{\}$
using FLD **unfolding** Field-def **by** blast
hence $\text{wf}((r' - \text{Id}) \cup (\text{Field } r \times \text{Field } r'))$
using 1 WF' wf-Un[of $\text{Field } r \times \text{Field } r' r' - \text{Id}$]
by (auto simp add: Un-commute)
 }
 }

```

    ultimately have ?thesis using wf-subset by blast
  }
  moreover
  {assume Case22:  $r' \leq Id$ 
   hence  $(r \text{ Osum } r') - Id \leq (r - Id) \cup (Field\ r \times Field\ r')$ 
   using Osum-minus-Id2[of  $r'\ r$ ] by simp
   moreover
   {have  $Range(Field\ r \times Field\ r') \cap Int\ Domain(r - Id) = \{\}$ 
    using FLD unfolding Field-def by blast
    hence  $wf((r - Id) \cup (Field\ r \times Field\ r'))$ 
    using 1 WF wf-Un[of  $r - Id\ Field\ r \times Field\ r'$ ]
    by (auto simp add: Un-commute)
   }
   ultimately have ?thesis using wf-subset by blast
  }
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma Osum-Well-order:
assumes FLD:  $Field\ r \cap Int\ Field\ r' = \{\}$  and
        WELL: Well-order  $r$  and WELL': Well-order  $r'$ 
shows Well-order  $(r \text{ Osum } r')$ 
proof-
  have  $Total\ r \wedge Total\ r'$  using WELL WELL'
  by (auto simp add: order-on-defs)
  thus ?thesis using assms unfolding well-order-on-def
  using Osum-Linear-order Osum-wf-Id by blast
qed

```

end

8 Constructions on Wellorders

```

theory Wellorder-Constructions
imports
  Wellorder-Embedding Order-Union
begin

```

```

unbundle cardinal-syntax

```

```

declare
  ordLeq-Well-order-simp[simp]
  not-ordLeq-iff-ordLess[simp]
  not-ordLess-iff-ordLeq[simp]
  Func-empty[simp]
  Func-is-emp[simp]

```

```

lemma Func-emp2[simp]:  $A \neq \{\} \implies Func\ A\ \{\} = \{\}$  by auto

```

8.1 Restriction to a set

lemma *Restr-incr2*:

$r \leq r' \implies \text{Restr } r \ A \leq \text{Restr } r' \ A$

by *blast*

lemma *Restr-incr*:

$\llbracket r \leq r'; A \leq A' \rrbracket \implies \text{Restr } r \ A \leq \text{Restr } r' \ A'$

by *blast*

lemma *Restr-Int*:

$\text{Restr } (\text{Restr } r \ A) \ B = \text{Restr } r \ (A \text{ Int } B)$

by *blast*

lemma *Restr-iff*: $(a,b) \in \text{Restr } r \ A = (a \in A \wedge b \in A \wedge (a,b) \in r)$

by *(auto simp add: Field-def)*

lemma *Restr-subset1*: $\text{Restr } r \ A \leq r$

by *auto*

lemma *Restr-subset2*: $\text{Restr } r \ A \leq A \times A$

by *auto*

lemma *wf-Restr*:

$\text{wf } r \implies \text{wf}(\text{Restr } r \ A)$

using *Restr-subset* **by** *(elim wf-subset) simp*

lemma *Restr-incr1*:

$A \leq B \implies \text{Restr } r \ A \leq \text{Restr } r \ B$

by *blast*

8.2 Order filters versus restrictions and embeddings

lemma *ofilter-Restr*:

assumes *WELL*: *Well-order* r **and**

OFA: *ofilter* $r \ A$ **and** *OFB*: *ofilter* $r \ B$ **and** *SUB*: $A \leq B$

shows *ofilter* $(\text{Restr } r \ B) \ A$

proof—

let $?rB = \text{Restr } r \ B$

have *Well*: *wo-rel* r **unfolding** *wo-rel-def* **using** *WELL* .

hence *Refl*: *Refl* r **by** *(auto simp add: wo-rel.REFL)*

hence *Field*: *Field* $?rB = \text{Field } r \text{ Int } B$

using *Refl-Field-Restr* **by** *blast*

have *WellB*: *wo-rel* $?rB \wedge \text{Well-order } ?rB$ **using** *WELL*

by *(auto simp add: Well-order-Restr wo-rel-def)*

show *?thesis*

proof*(auto simp add: WellB wo-rel.ofilter-def)*

fix a **assume** $a \in A$

hence $a \in \text{Field } r \wedge a \in B$ **using** *assms Well*

by (auto simp add: wo-rel.ofilter-def)
 with Field show $a \in \text{Field}(\text{Restr } r \ B)$ by auto
 next
 fix $a \ b$ assume *: $a \in A$ and $b \in \text{under } (\text{Restr } r \ B) \ a$
 hence $b \in \text{under } r \ a$
 using WELL OFB SUB ofilter-Restr-under[of $r \ B \ a$] by auto
 thus $b \in A$ using * Well OFA by(auto simp add: wo-rel.ofilter-def)
 qed
 qed

lemma ofilter-subset-iso:
 assumes WELL: Well-order r and
 OFA: ofilter $r \ A$ and OFB: ofilter $r \ B$
 shows $(A = B) = \text{iso } (\text{Restr } r \ A) (\text{Restr } r \ B) \text{ id}$
 using assms
 by (auto simp add: ofilter-subset-embedS-iso)

8.3 Ordering the well-orders by existence of embeddings

corollary ordLeq-refl-on: $\text{refl-on } \{r. \text{Well-order } r\} \text{ ordLeq}$
 using ordLeq-reflexive unfolding ordLeq-def refl-on-def
 by blast

corollary ordLeq-trans: trans ordLeq
 using trans-def[of ordLeq] ordLeq-transitive by blast

corollary ordLeq-preorder-on: $\text{preorder-on } \{r. \text{Well-order } r\} \text{ ordLeq}$
 by(auto simp add: preorder-on-def ordLeq-refl-on ordLeq-trans)

corollary ordIso-refl-on: $\text{refl-on } \{r. \text{Well-order } r\} \text{ ordIso}$
 using ordIso-reflexive unfolding refl-on-def ordIso-def
 by blast

corollary ordIso-trans: trans ordIso
 using trans-def[of ordIso] ordIso-transitive by blast

corollary ordIso-sym: sym ordIso
 by (auto simp add: sym-def ordIso-symmetric)

corollary ordIso-equiv: $\text{equiv } \{r. \text{Well-order } r\} \text{ ordIso}$
 by (auto simp add: equiv-def ordIso-sym ordIso-refl-on ordIso-trans)

lemma ordLess-Well-order-simp[simp]:
 assumes $r <_o r'$
 shows $\text{Well-order } r \wedge \text{Well-order } r'$
 using assms unfolding ordLess-def by simp

lemma ordIso-Well-order-simp[simp]:
 assumes $r =_o r'$

shows $Well\text{-}order\ r \wedge Well\text{-}order\ r'$
using *assms unfolding ordIso-def by simp*

lemma *ordLess-irrefl*: *irrefl ordLess*
by(*unfold irrefl-def, auto simp add: ordLess-irreflexive*)

lemma *ordLess-or-ordIso*:
assumes *WELL*: *Well-order r* **and** *WELL'*: *Well-order r'*
shows $r <_o r' \vee r' <_o r \vee r =_o r'$
unfolding *ordLess-def ordIso-def*
using *assms embedS-or-iso[of r r'] by auto*

corollary *ordLeq-ordLess-Un-ordIso*:
 $ordLeq = ordLess \cup ordIso$
by (*auto simp add: ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso*)

lemma *not-ordLeq-ordLess*:
 $r \leq_o r' \implies \neg r' <_o r$
using *not-ordLess-ordLeq by blast*

lemma *ordIso-or-ordLess*:
assumes *WELL*: *Well-order r* **and** *WELL'*: *Well-order r'*
shows $r =_o r' \vee r <_o r' \vee r' <_o r$
using *assms ordLess-or-ordLeq ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast*

lemmas $ord\text{-}trans = ordIso\text{-}transitive\ ordLeq\text{-}transitive\ ordLess\text{-}transitive$
 $ordIso\text{-}ordLeq\text{-}trans\ ordLeq\text{-}ordIso\text{-}trans$
 $ordIso\text{-}ordLess\text{-}trans\ ordLess\text{-}ordIso\text{-}trans$
 $ordLess\text{-}ordLeq\text{-}trans\ ordLeq\text{-}ordLess\text{-}trans$

lemma *ofilter-ordLeq*:
assumes *Well-order r* **and** *ofilter r A*
shows $Restr\ r\ A \leq_o r$
proof–
 have $A \leq Field\ r$ **using** *assms by (auto simp add: wo-rel-def wo-rel.ofilter-def)*
 thus *?thesis* **using** *assms*
 by (*simp add: ofilter-subset-ordLeq wo-rel.Field-ofilter*
 wo-rel-def Restr-Field)
qed

corollary *under-Restr-ordLeq*:
 $Well\text{-}order\ r \implies Restr\ r\ (under\ r\ a) \leq_o r$
by (*auto simp add: ofilter-ordLeq wo-rel.under-ofilter wo-rel-def*)

8.4 Copy via direct images

lemma *Id-dir-image*: $dir\text{-}image\ Id\ f \leq Id$
unfolding *dir-image-def by auto*

lemma *Un-dir-image*:
 $\text{dir-image } (r1 \cup r2) f = (\text{dir-image } r1 f) \cup (\text{dir-image } r2 f)$
unfolding *dir-image-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *Int-dir-image*:
assumes *inj-on* f (*Field* $r1 \cup \text{Field } r2$)
shows $\text{dir-image } (r1 \text{ Int } r2) f = (\text{dir-image } r1 f) \text{ Int } (\text{dir-image } r2 f)$
proof
show $\text{dir-image } (r1 \text{ Int } r2) f \leq (\text{dir-image } r1 f) \text{ Int } (\text{dir-image } r2 f)$
using *assms* **unfolding** *dir-image-def inj-on-def* **by** *auto*
next
show $(\text{dir-image } r1 f) \text{ Int } (\text{dir-image } r2 f) \leq \text{dir-image } (r1 \text{ Int } r2) f$
proof(*clarify*)
fix $a' b'$
assume $(a', b') \in \text{dir-image } r1 f \wedge (a', b') \in \text{dir-image } r2 f$
then obtain $a1 b1 a2 b2$
where $1: a' = f a1 \wedge b' = f b1 \wedge a' = f a2 \wedge b' = f b2$ **and**
 $2: (a1, b1) \in r1 \wedge (a2, b2) \in r2$ **and**
 $3: \{a1, b1\} \leq \text{Field } r1 \wedge \{a2, b2\} \leq \text{Field } r2$
unfolding *dir-image-def Field-def* **by** *blast*
hence $a1 = a2 \wedge b1 = b2$ **using** *assms* **unfolding** *inj-on-def* **by** *auto*
hence $a' = f a1 \wedge b' = f b1 \wedge (a1, b1) \in r1 \text{ Int } r2 \wedge (a2, b2) \in r1 \text{ Int } r2$
using $1 \ 2$ **by** *auto*
thus $(a', b') \in \text{dir-image } (r1 \cap r2) f$
unfolding *dir-image-def* **by** *blast*
qed
qed

lemma *Osum-embed*:
assumes *FLD*: *Field* $r \text{ Int } \text{Field } r' = \{\}$ **and**
 WELL : *Well-order* r **and** WELL' : *Well-order* r'
shows $\text{embed } r (r \text{ Osum } r') \text{ id}$
proof–
have $1: \text{Well-order } (r \text{ Osum } r')$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: Osum-Well-order*)
moreover
have $\text{compat } r (r \text{ Osum } r') \text{ id}$
unfolding *compat-def Osum-def* **by** *auto*
moreover
have *inj-on* id (*Field* r) **by** *simp*
moreover
have *ofilter* $(r \text{ Osum } r') (\text{Field } r)$
using 1 **proof**(*auto simp add: wo-rel-def wo-rel.ofilter-def*
 $\text{Field-Osum under-def}$)
fix $a b$ **assume** $2: a \in \text{Field } r$ **and** $3: (b, a) \in r \text{ Osum } r'$
moreover
 $\{\text{assume } (b, a) \in r'\}$

hence $a \in \text{Field } r'$ **using** $\text{Field-def}[of\ r']$ **by** blast
 hence False **using** $2\ \text{FLD}$ **by** blast
 }
 moreover
 {assume $a \in \text{Field } r'$
 hence False **using** $2\ \text{FLD}$ **by** blast
 }
 ultimately
 show $b \in \text{Field } r$ **by** $(\text{auto simp add: Osum-def Field-def})$
 qed
 ultimately show $?thesis$
 using assms **by** $(\text{auto simp add: embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter})$
 qed

corollary Osum-ordLeq :
 assumes FLD : $\text{Field } r\ \text{Int Field } r' = \{\}$ **and**
 WELL : $\text{Well-order } r$ **and** WELL' : $\text{Well-order } r'$
 shows $r \leq_o r\ \text{Osum } r'$
 using assms Osum-embed Osum-Well-order
 unfolding ordLeq-def **by** blast

lemma $\text{Well-order-embed-copy}$:
 assumes WELL : $\text{well-order-on } A\ r$ **and**
 INJ : $\text{inj-on } f\ A$ **and** SUB : $f\ ' A \leq B$
 shows $\exists r'. \text{well-order-on } B\ r' \wedge r \leq_o r'$
proof–
 have $\text{bij-betw } f\ A\ (f\ ' A)$
 using $\text{INJ inj-on-imp-bij-betw}$ **by** blast
 then obtain r'' **where** $\text{well-order-on } (f\ ' A)\ r''$ **and** $1: r =_o r''$
 using $\text{WELL Well-order-iso-copy}$ **by** blast
 hence $2: \text{Well-order } r'' \wedge \text{Field } r'' = (f\ ' A)$
 using $\text{well-order-on-Well-order}$ **by** blast

let $?C = B - (f\ ' A)$
 obtain r''' **where** $\text{well-order-on } ?C\ r'''$
 using well-order-on **by** blast
 hence $3: \text{Well-order } r''' \wedge \text{Field } r''' = ?C$
 using $\text{well-order-on-Well-order}$ **by** blast

let $?r' = r''\ \text{Osum } r'''$
 have $\text{Field } r''\ \text{Int Field } r''' = \{\}$
 using $2\ 3$ **by** auto
 hence $r'' \leq_o ?r'$ **using** $\text{Osum-ordLeq}[of\ r''\ r''']\ 2\ 3$ **by** blast
 hence $4: r \leq_o ?r'$ **using** $1\ \text{ordIso-ordLeq-trans}$ **by** blast

hence $\text{Well-order } ?r'$ **unfolding** ordLeq-def **by** auto
 moreover
 have $\text{Field } ?r' = B$ **using** $2\ 3\ \text{SUB}$ **by** $(\text{auto simp add: Field-Osum})$
 ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** 4 **by** blast

qed

8.5 The maxim among a finite set of ordinals

The correct phrasing would be “a maxim of ...”, as $\leq_o$ is only a preorder.

definition $isOmax :: 'a \text{ rel set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$isOmax \ R \ r \equiv r \in R \wedge (\forall r' \in R. r' \leq_o r)$

definition $omax :: 'a \text{ rel set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$

where

$omax \ R == \text{SOME } r. isOmax \ R \ r$

lemma *exists-isOmax*:

assumes *finite* R **and** $R \neq \{\}$ **and** $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$

shows $\exists r. isOmax \ R \ r$

proof –

have $finite \ R \implies R \neq \{\} \longrightarrow (\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r) \longrightarrow (\exists r. isOmax \ R \ r)$

apply(*erule finite-induct*) **apply**(*simp add: isOmax-def*)

proof(*clarsimp*)

fix $r :: ('a \times 'a) \text{ set}$ **and** R **assume** $*$: *finite* R **and** $**$: $r \notin R$

and $***$: *Well-order* r **and** $****$: $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$

and IH : $R \neq \{\} \longrightarrow (\exists p. isOmax \ R \ p)$

let $?R' = insert \ r \ R$

show $\exists p'. (isOmax \ ?R' \ p')$

proof(*cases* $R = \{\}$)

assume $Case1$: $R = \{\}$

thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *isOmax-def* **using** $***$

by (*simp add: ordLeq-reflexive*)

next

assume $Case2$: $R \neq \{\}$

then obtain p **where** $p: isOmax \ R \ p$ **using** IH **by** *auto*

hence 1 : *Well-order* p **using** $****$ **unfolding** *isOmax-def* **by** *simp*

{assume $Case21$: $r \leq_o p$

hence $isOmax \ ?R' \ p$ **using** p **unfolding** *isOmax-def* **by** *simp*

hence *?thesis* **by** *auto*

}

moreover

{assume $Case22$: $p \leq_o r$

{fix r' **assume** $r' \in ?R'$

moreover

{assume $r' \in R$

hence $r' \leq_o p$ **using** p **unfolding** *isOmax-def* **by** *simp*

hence $r' \leq_o r$ **using** $Case22$ **by**(*rule ordLeq-transitive*)

}

moreover have $r \leq_o r$ **using** $***$ **by**(*rule ordLeq-reflexive*)

ultimately have $r' \leq_o r$ **by** *auto*

}

hence $isOmax \ ?R' \ r$ **unfolding** *isOmax-def* **by** *simp*

```

    hence ?thesis by auto
  }
  moreover have  $r \leq_o p \vee p \leq_o r$ 
  using 1 *** ordLeq-total by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
thus ?thesis using assms by auto
qed

```

```

lemma omax-isOmax:
  assumes finite R and  $R \neq \{\}$  and  $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$ 
  shows isOmax R (omax R)
  unfolding omax-def using assms
  by(simp add: exists-isOmax someI-ex)

```

```

lemma omax-in:
  assumes finite R and  $R \neq \{\}$  and  $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$ 
  shows omax R  $\in R$ 
  using assms omax-isOmax unfolding isOmax-def by blast

```

```

lemma Well-order-omax:
  assumes finite R and  $R \neq \{\}$  and  $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$ 
  shows Well-order (omax R)
  using assms apply - apply(drule omax-in) by auto

```

```

lemma omax-maxim:
  assumes finite R and  $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$  and  $r \in R$ 
  shows  $r \leq_o \text{omax } R$ 
  using assms omax-isOmax unfolding isOmax-def by blast

```

```

lemma omax-ordLeq:
  assumes finite R and  $R \neq \{\}$  and *:  $\forall r \in R. r \leq_o p$ 
  shows omax R  $\leq_o p$ 
  proof-
    have  $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$  using * unfolding ordLeq-def by simp
    thus ?thesis using assms omax-in by auto
  qed

```

```

lemma omax-ordLess:
  assumes finite R and  $R \neq \{\}$  and *:  $\forall r \in R. r <_o p$ 
  shows omax R  $<_o p$ 
  proof-
    have  $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$  using * unfolding ordLess-def by simp
    thus ?thesis using assms omax-in by auto
  qed

```

```

lemma omax-ordLeq-elim:
  assumes finite R and  $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$ 

```

and $omax\ R \leq_o p$ and $r \in R$
 shows $r \leq_o p$
 using *assms omax-maxim[of R r]* **apply simp**
 using *ordLeq-transitive* **by blast**

lemma *omax-ordLess-elim*:
 assumes *finite R* and $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$
 and $omax\ R <_o p$ and $r \in R$
 shows $r <_o p$
 using *assms omax-maxim[of R r]* **apply simp**
 using *ordLeq-ordLess-trans* **by blast**

lemma *ordLeq-omax*:
 assumes *finite R* and $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$
 and $r \in R$ and $p \leq_o r$
 shows $p \leq_o omax\ R$
 using *assms omax-maxim[of R r]* **apply simp**
 using *ordLeq-transitive* **by blast**

lemma *ordLess-omax*:
 assumes *finite R* and $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$
 and $r \in R$ and $p <_o r$
 shows $p <_o omax\ R$
 using *assms omax-maxim[of R r]* **apply simp**
 using *ordLess-ordLeq-trans* **by blast**

lemma *omax-ordLeq-mono*:
 assumes *P: finite P* and *R: finite R*
 and *NE-P: P ≠ {}* and *Well-R: $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$*
 and *LEQ: $\forall p \in P. \exists r \in R. p \leq_o r$*
 shows $omax\ P \leq_o omax\ R$
proof–
 let $?mp = omax\ P$ let $?mr = omax\ R$
 {fix p assume $p \in P$
 then obtain r where $r: r \in R$ and $p \leq_o r$
 using *LEQ* **by blast**
 moreover have $r \leq_o ?mr$
 using $r \in R$ *Well-R* *omax-maxim* **by blast**
 ultimately have $p \leq_o ?mr$
 using *ordLeq-transitive* **by blast**
 }
 thus $?mp \leq_o ?mr$
 using *NE-P* *P* **using omax-ordLeq** **by blast**
qed

lemma *omax-ordLess-mono*:
 assumes *P: finite P* and *R: finite R*
 and *NE-P: P ≠ {}* and *Well-R: $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$*
 and *LEQ: $\forall p \in P. \exists r \in R. p <_o r$*

shows $omax\ P <_o\ omax\ R$
proof –
 let $?mp = omax\ P$ let $?mr = omax\ R$
 {**fix** p **assume** $p \in P$
 then **obtain** r **where** $r: r \in R$ **and** $p <_o\ r$
 using LEQ **by** $blast$
 moreover **have** $r \leq_o\ ?mr$
 using $r\ R\ Well\text{-}R\ omax\text{-}maxim$ **by** $blast$
 ultimately **have** $p <_o\ ?mr$
 using $ordLess\text{-}ordLeq\text{-}trans$ **by** $blast$
 }
 thus $?mp <_o\ ?mr$
 using $NE\text{-}P\ P\ omax\text{-}ordLess$ **by** $blast$
qed

8.6 Limit and succesor ordinals

lemma $embed\text{-}underS2$:
assumes r : $Well\text{-}order\ r$ **and** g : $embed\ r\ s\ g$ **and** a : $a \in Field\ r$
shows $g\ 'underS\ r\ a = underS\ s\ (g\ a)$
by ($meson\ a\ bij\text{-}betw\text{-}def\ embed\text{-}underS\ g\ r$)

lemma $bij\text{-}betw\text{-}insert$:
assumes $b \notin A$ **and** $f\ b \notin A'$ **and** $bij\text{-}betw\ f\ A\ A'$
shows $bij\text{-}betw\ f\ (insert\ b\ A)\ (insert\ (f\ b)\ A')$
using $notIn\text{-}Un\text{-}bij\text{-}betw[OF\ assms]$ **by** $auto$

context $wo\text{-}rel$
begin

lemma $underS\text{-}induct$:
assumes $\bigwedge a. (\bigwedge a1. a1 \in underS\ a \implies P\ a1) \implies P\ a$
shows $P\ a$
by ($induct\ rule: well\text{-}order\text{-}induct$) ($rule\ assms, simp\ add: underS\text{-}def$)

lemma $suc\text{-}underS$:
assumes B : $B \subseteq Field\ r$ **and** A : $AboveS\ B \neq \{\}$ **and** b : $b \in B$
shows $b \in underS\ (suc\ B)$
using $suc\text{-}AboveS[OF\ B\ A]\ b$ **unfolding** $underS\text{-}def\ AboveS\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$

lemma $underS\text{-}supr$:
assumes bA : $b \in underS\ (supr\ A)$ **and** A : $A \subseteq Field\ r$
shows $\exists a \in A. b \in underS\ a$
proof($rule\ ccontr, auto$)
 have bb : $b \in Field\ r$ **using** bA **unfolding** $underS\text{-}def\ Field\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$
 assume $\forall a \in A. b \notin underS\ a$
 hence 0 : $\forall a \in A. (a, b) \in r$ **using** $A\ bA$ **unfolding** $underS\text{-}def$
by $simp\ (metis\ REFL\ in\text{-}mono\ max2\text{-}def\ max2\text{-}greater\ refl\text{-}on\text{-}domain)$
 have $(supr\ A, b) \in r$ **apply**($rule\ supr\text{-}least[OF\ A\ bb]$) **using** 0 **by** $auto$

thus *False* **using** *bA* **unfolding** *underS-def* **by** *simp* (*metis ANTISYM anti-symD*)
qed

lemma *underS-suc*:

assumes *bA*: $b \in \text{underS } (\text{succ } A)$ **and** *A*: $A \subseteq \text{Field } r$

shows $\exists a \in A. b \in \text{under } a$

proof(*rule ccontr, auto*)

have *bb*: $b \in \text{Field } r$ **using** *bA* **unfolding** *underS-def* *Field-def* **by** *auto*

assume $\forall a \in A. b \notin \text{under } a$

hence *0*: $\forall a \in A. a \in \text{underS } b$ **using** *A* *bA* **unfolding** *underS-def*

by *simp* (*metis (lifting) bb max2-def max2-greater mem-Collect-eq under-def rev-subsetD*)

have $(\text{succ } A, b) \in r$ **apply**(*rule suc-least[OF A bb]*) **using** *0* **unfolding** *underS-def* **by** *auto*

thus *False* **using** *bA* **unfolding** *underS-def* **by** *simp* (*metis ANTISYM anti-symD*)

qed

lemma (*in wo-rel*) *in-underS-supr*:

assumes *j*: $j \in \text{underS } i$ **and** *i*: $i \in A$ **and** *A*: $A \subseteq \text{Field } r$ **and** *AA*: $\text{Above } A \neq \{\}$

shows $j \in \text{underS } (\text{supr } A)$

proof–

have $(i, \text{supr } A) \in r$ **using** *supr-greater[OF A AA i]* .

thus *?thesis* **using** *j* **unfolding** *underS-def*

by *simp* (*metis REFL TRANS max2-def max2-equals1 refl-on-domain transD*)

qed

lemma *inj-on-Field*:

assumes *A*: $A \subseteq \text{Field } r$ **and** *f*: $\bigwedge a b. \llbracket a \in A; b \in A; a \in \text{underS } b \rrbracket \implies f a \neq f b$

shows *inj-on* *f* *A*

unfolding *inj-on-def* **proof** *safe*

fix *a b* **assume** *a*: $a \in A$ **and** *b*: $b \in A$ **and** *fab*: $f a = f b$

{**assume** $a \in \text{underS } b$

hence *False* **using** *f*[*OF a b*] *fab* **by** *auto*

}

moreover

{**assume** $b \in \text{underS } a$

hence *False* **using** *f*[*OF b a*] *fab* **by** *auto*

}

ultimately show $a = b$ **using** *TOTALS A a b* **unfolding** *underS-def* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *in-notinI*:

assumes $(j, i) \notin r \vee j = i$ **and** *i*: $i \in \text{Field } r$ **and** *j*: $j \in \text{Field } r$

shows $(i, j) \in r$ **by** (*metis assms max2-def max2-greater-among*)

lemma *ofilter-init-seg-of*:
assumes *ofilter F*
shows *Restr r F initial-segment-of r*
using *assms unfolding ofilter-def init-seg-of-def under-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *underS-init-seg-of-Collect*:
assumes $\bigwedge j1\ j2. \llbracket j2 \in \text{underS } i; (j1, j2) \in r \rrbracket \implies R\ j1\ \text{initial-segment-of } R\ j2$
shows $\{R\ j \mid j. j \in \text{underS } i\} \in \text{Chains init-seg-of}$
unfolding *Chains-def* **proof** *safe*
fix $j\ ja$ **assume** $jS: j \in \text{underS } i$ **and** $jaS: ja \in \text{underS } i$
and $\text{init}: (R\ ja, R\ j) \notin \text{init-seg-of}$
hence $jja: \{j, ja\} \subseteq \text{Field } r$ **and** $j: j \in \text{Field } r$ **and** $ja: ja \in \text{Field } r$
and $jjai: (j, i) \in r$ $(ja, i) \in r$
and $i: i \notin \{j, ja\}$ **unfolding** *Field-def underS-def* **by** *auto*
have $jj: (j, j) \in r$ **and** $jaja: (ja, ja) \in r$ **using** $j\ ja$ **by** *(metis in-notinI)+*
show $R\ j\ \text{initial-segment-of } R\ ja$
using $jja\ \text{init } jjai\ i$
by *(elim cases-Total3 disjE) (auto elim: cases-Total3 intro!: assms simp: underS-def)*
qed

lemma *(in wo-rel) Field-init-seg-of-Collect*:
assumes $\bigwedge j1\ j2. \llbracket j2 \in \text{Field } r; (j1, j2) \in r \rrbracket \implies R\ j1\ \text{initial-segment-of } R\ j2$
shows $\{R\ j \mid j. j \in \text{Field } r\} \in \text{Chains init-seg-of}$
unfolding *Chains-def* **proof** *safe*
fix $j\ ja$ **assume** $jS: j \in \text{Field } r$ **and** $jaS: ja \in \text{Field } r$
and $\text{init}: (R\ ja, R\ j) \notin \text{init-seg-of}$
hence $jja: \{j, ja\} \subseteq \text{Field } r$ **and** $j: j \in \text{Field } r$ **and** $ja: ja \in \text{Field } r$
unfolding *Field-def underS-def* **by** *auto*
have $jj: (j, j) \in r$ **and** $jaja: (ja, ja) \in r$ **using** $j\ ja$ **by** *(metis in-notinI)+*
show $R\ j\ \text{initial-segment-of } R\ ja$
using $jja\ \text{init}$
by *(elim cases-Total3 disjE) (auto elim: cases-Total3 intro!: assms simp: Field-def)*
qed

8.6.1 Successor and limit elements of an ordinal

definition $\text{succ } i \equiv \text{suc } \{i\}$

definition $\text{isSucc } i \equiv \exists j. \text{aboveS } j \neq \{\} \wedge i = \text{succ } j$

definition $\text{zero} = \text{minim } (\text{Field } r)$

definition $\text{isLim } i \equiv \neg \text{isSucc } i$

lemma *zero-smallest[simp]*:
assumes $j \in \text{Field } r$ **shows** $(\text{zero}, j) \in r$
unfolding *zero-def*
by *(metis AboveS-Field assms subset-AboveS-UnderS subset-antisym subset-refl suc-def)*

suc-least-AboveS)

lemma *zero-in-Field*: **assumes** $\text{Field } r \neq \{\}$ **shows** $\text{zero} \in \text{Field } r$
using *assms* **unfolding** *zero-def* **by** (*metis Field-ofilter minim-in ofilter-def*)

lemma *leq-zero-imp[simp]*:
 $(x, \text{zero}) \in r \implies x = \text{zero}$
by (*metis ANTISYM WELL antisymD well-order-on-domain zero-smallest*)

lemma *leq-zero[simp]*:
assumes $\text{Field } r \neq \{\}$ **shows** $(x, \text{zero}) \in r \longleftrightarrow x = \text{zero}$
using *zero-in-Field[OF assms]* *in-notinI[of x zero]* **by** *auto*

lemma *under-zero[simp]*:
assumes $\text{Field } r \neq \{\}$ **shows** $\text{under zero} = \{\text{zero}\}$
using *assms* **unfolding** *under-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *underS-zero[simp,intro]*: $\text{underS zero} = \{\}$
unfolding *underS-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *isSucc-succ*: $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\} \implies \text{isSucc } (\text{succ } i)$
unfolding *isSucc-def succ-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *succ-in-diff*:
assumes $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$ **shows** $(i, \text{succ } i) \in r \wedge \text{succ } i \neq i$
using *assms* *suc-greater[of {i}]* **unfolding** *succ-def AboveS-def aboveS-def Field-def*
by *auto*

lemmas *succ-in[simp]* = *succ-in-diff[THEN conjunct1]*
lemmas *succ-diff[simp]* = *succ-in-diff[THEN conjunct2]*

lemma *succ-in-Field[simp]*:
assumes $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$ **shows** $\text{succ } i \in \text{Field } r$
using *succ-in[OF assms]* **unfolding** *Field-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *succ-not-in*:
assumes $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$ **shows** $(\text{succ } i, i) \notin r$
proof

assume *1*: $(\text{succ } i, i) \in r$
 hence $\text{succ } i \in \text{Field } r \wedge i \in \text{Field } r$ **unfolding** *Field-def* **by** *auto*
 hence $(i, \text{succ } i) \in r \wedge \text{succ } i \neq i$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 thus *False* **using** *1* **by** (*metis ANTISYM antisymD*)

qed

lemma *not-isSucc-zero*: $\neg \text{isSucc zero}$
proof

assume ***: isSucc zero
 then obtain *i* **where** $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$ **and** *1*: $\text{minim } (\text{Field } r) = \text{succ } i$
 unfolding *isSucc-def zero-def* **by** *auto*

hence $\text{succ } i \in \text{Field } r$ **by** *auto*
 with * **show** *False*
 by (*metis REFL isSucc-def minim-least refl-on-domain*
 subset-refl succ-in succ-not-in zero-def)
qed

lemma *isLim-zero[simp]: isLim zero*
 by (*metis isLim-def not-isSucc-zero*)

lemma *succ-smallest:*
 assumes $(i, j) \in r$ and $i \neq j$
 shows $(\text{succ } i, j) \in r$
 unfolding *succ-def* **apply**(*rule suc-least*)
 using *assms* **unfolding** *Field-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *isLim-supr:*
 assumes $f: i \in \text{Field } r$ and $l: \text{isLim } i$
 shows $i = \text{supr } (\text{underS } i)$
proof(*rule equals-supr*)
 fix j **assume** $j: j \in \text{Field } r$ and $1: \bigwedge j'. j' \in \text{underS } i \implies (j', j) \in r$
 show $(i, j) \in r$ **proof**(*intro in-notinI[OF - f j], safe*)
 assume $ji: (j, i) \in r$ $j \neq i$
 hence $a: \text{aboveS } j \neq \{\}$ **unfolding** *aboveS-def* **by** *auto*
 hence $i \neq \text{succ } j$ **using** l **unfolding** *isLim-def isSucc-def* **by** *auto*
 moreover **have** $(\text{succ } j, i) \in r$ **using** *succ-smallest[OF ji]* **by** *auto*
 ultimately **have** $\text{succ } j \in \text{underS } i$ **unfolding** *underS-def* **by** *auto*
 hence $(\text{succ } j, j) \in r$ **using** 1 **by** *auto*
 thus *False* **using** *succ-not-in[OF a]* **by** *simp*
qed
qed(*insert f, unfold underS-def Field-def, auto*)

definition $\text{pred } i \equiv \text{SOME } j. j \in \text{Field } r \wedge \text{aboveS } j \neq \{\} \wedge \text{succ } j = i$

lemma *pred-Field-succ:*
 assumes *isSucc* i **shows** $\text{pred } i \in \text{Field } r \wedge \text{aboveS } (\text{pred } i) \neq \{\} \wedge \text{succ } (\text{pred } i) = i$
proof–
 obtain j **where** $a: \text{aboveS } j \neq \{\}$ and $i: i = \text{succ } j$ **using** *assms* **unfolding**
isSucc-def **by** *auto*
 have $1: j \in \text{Field } r$ $j \neq i$ **unfolding** *Field-def* i
using *succ-diff[OF a]* a **unfolding** *aboveS-def* **by** *auto*
 show ?thesis **unfolding** *pred-def* **apply**(*rule someI-ex*) **using** 1 i a **by** *auto*
qed

lemmas *pred-Field[simp]* = *pred-Field-succ[THEN conjunct1]*
lemmas *aboveS-pred[simp]* = *pred-Field-succ[THEN conjunct2, THEN conjunct1]*
lemmas *succ-pred[simp]* = *pred-Field-succ[THEN conjunct2, THEN conjunct2]*

lemma *isSucc-pred-in:*

assumes *isSucc i* **shows** $(\text{pred } i, i) \in r$
proof –
 define *j* **where** $j = \text{pred } i$
 have $i: i = \text{succ } j$ **using** *assms* **unfolding** *j-def* **by** *simp*
 have $a: \text{aboveS } j \neq \{\}$ **unfolding** *j-def* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 show *?thesis* **unfolding** *j-def[symmetric]* **unfolding** *i* **using** *succ-in[OF a]* .
qed

lemma *isSucc-pred-diff*:
assumes *isSucc i* **shows** $\text{pred } i \neq i$
by (*metis aboveS-pred assms succ-diff succ-pred*)

lemma *succ-inj[simp]*:
assumes $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$ **and** $\text{aboveS } j \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{succ } i = \text{succ } j \longleftrightarrow i = j$
proof *safe*
 assume $s: \text{succ } i = \text{succ } j$
 {**assume** $i \neq j$ **and** $(i, j) \in r$
 hence $(\text{succ } i, j) \in r$ **using** *assms* **by** (*metis succ-smallest*)
 hence *False* **using** *s assms* **by** (*metis succ-not-in*)
 }
 moreover
 {**assume** $i \neq j$ **and** $(j, i) \in r$
 hence $(\text{succ } j, i) \in r$ **using** *assms* **by** (*metis succ-smallest*)
 hence *False* **using** *s assms* **by** (*metis succ-not-in*)
 }
 ultimately show $i = j$ **by** (*metis TOTALS WELL assms(1) assms(2) succ-in-diff well-order-on-domain*)
qed

lemma *pred-succ[simp]*:
assumes $\text{aboveS } j \neq \{\}$ **shows** $\text{pred } (\text{succ } j) = j$
unfolding *pred-def* **apply**(*rule some-equality*)
using *assms* **apply**(*force simp: Field-def aboveS-def*)
by (*metis assms succ-inj*)

lemma *less-succ[simp]*:
assumes $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$
shows $(j, \text{succ } i) \in r \longleftrightarrow (j, i) \in r \vee j = \text{succ } i$
apply *safe*
 apply (*metis WELL assms in-notinI well-order-on-domain suc-singl-pred succ-def succ-in-diff*)
 apply (*metis (opaque-lifting, full-types) REFL TRANS assms max2-def max2-equals1 refl-on-domain succ-in-Field succ-not-in transD*)
 apply (*metis assms in-notinI succ-in-Field*)
done

lemma *underS-succ*[simp]:
assumes *aboveS i* $\neq \{\}$
shows *underS (succ i) = under i*
unfolding *underS-def under-def* **by** (*auto simp: assms succ-not-in*)

lemma *succ-mono*:
assumes *aboveS j* $\neq \{\}$ **and** $(i,j) \in r$
shows $(succ\ i, succ\ j) \in r$
by (*metis (full-types) assms less-succ succ-smallest*)

lemma *under-succ*[simp]:
assumes *aboveS i* $\neq \{\}$
shows *under (succ i) = insert (succ i) (under i)*
using *less-succ[OF assms]* **unfolding** *under-def* **by** *auto*

definition *mergeSL* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow (('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$
where
mergeSL S L f i $\equiv$
if isSucc i then S (pred i) (f (pred i))
else L f i

8.6.2 Well-order recursion with (zero), succesor, and limit

definition *worecSL* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow (('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$
where *worecSL S L* $\equiv$ *worec (mergeSL S L)*

definition *adm-woL* *L* $\equiv \forall f\ g\ i. isLim\ i \wedge (\forall j \in underS\ i. f\ j = g\ j) \longrightarrow L\ f\ i = L\ g\ i$

lemma *mergeSL*:
assumes *adm-woL L* **shows** *adm-wo (mergeSL S L)*
unfolding *adm-wo-def* **proof** *safe*
fix *f g* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'b$ **and** *i* :: $'a$
assume *1*: $\forall j \in underS\ i. f\ j = g\ j$
show *mergeSL S L f i = mergeSL S L g i*
proof(*cases isSucc i*)
case *True*
hence *pred i* $\in underS\ i$ **unfolding** *underS-def* **using** *isSucc-pred-in is-Succ-pred-diff* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *True 1* **unfolding** *mergeSL-def* **by** *auto*
next
case *False* **hence** *isLim i* **unfolding** *isLim-def* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *assms False 1* **unfolding** *mergeSL-def adm-woL-def* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *worec-fixpoint1*: *adm-wo H* $\implies$ *worec H i = H (worec H) i*

by (metis worec-fixpoint)

lemma worecSL-isSucc:

assumes a : adm-woL L and i : isSucc i

shows $\text{worecSL } S \ L \ i = S \ (\text{pred } i) \ (\text{worecSL } S \ L \ (\text{pred } i))$

proof–

let $?H = \text{mergeSL } S \ L$

have $\text{worecSL } S \ L \ i = ?H \ (\text{worec } ?H) \ i$

unfolding worecSL-def using worec-fixpoint1[OF mergeSL[OF a]] .

also have $\dots = S \ (\text{pred } i) \ (\text{worecSL } S \ L \ (\text{pred } i))$

unfolding worecSL-def mergeSL-def using i by simp

finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma worecSL-succ:

assumes a : adm-woL L and i : aboveS $j \neq \{\}$

shows $\text{worecSL } S \ L \ (\text{succ } j) = S \ j \ (\text{worecSL } S \ L \ j)$

proof–

define i where $i = \text{succ } j$

have i : isSucc i by (metis i i-def isSucc-succ)

have ij : $j = \text{pred } i$ unfolding i-def using assms by simp

have 0 : $\text{succ } (\text{pred } i) = i$ using i by simp

show ?thesis unfolding ij using worecSL-isSucc[OF a i] unfolding 0 .

qed

lemma worecSL-isLim:

assumes a : adm-woL L and i : isLim i

shows $\text{worecSL } S \ L \ i = L \ (\text{worecSL } S \ L) \ i$

proof–

let $?H = \text{mergeSL } S \ L$

have $\text{worecSL } S \ L \ i = ?H \ (\text{worec } ?H) \ i$

unfolding worecSL-def using worec-fixpoint1[OF mergeSL[OF a]] .

also have $\dots = L \ (\text{worecSL } S \ L) \ i$

using i unfolding worecSL-def mergeSL-def isLim-def by simp

finally show ?thesis .

qed

definition worecZSL :: $'b \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow (('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$

where $\text{worecZSL } Z \ S \ L \equiv \text{worecSL } S \ (\lambda f \ a. \text{ if } a = \text{zero then } Z \text{ else } L \ f \ a)$

lemma worecZSL-zero:

assumes a : adm-woL L

shows $\text{worecZSL } Z \ S \ L \ \text{zero} = Z$

proof–

let $?L = \lambda f \ a. \text{ if } a = \text{zero then } Z \text{ else } L \ f \ a$

have $\text{worecZSL } Z \ S \ L \ \text{zero} = ?L \ (\text{worecZSL } Z \ S \ L) \ \text{zero}$

unfolding worecZSL-def apply(rule worecSL-isLim)

using assms unfolding adm-woL-def by auto

also have $\dots = Z$ by simp

finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma wrecZSL-succ:
assumes a: adm-woL L and i: aboveS j $\neq \{\}$
shows wrecZSL Z S L (succ j) = S j (wrecZSL Z S L j)
unfolding wrecZSL-def apply(rule wrecSL-succ)
using assms unfolding adm-woL-def by auto

lemma wrecZSL-isLim:
assumes a: adm-woL L and isLim i and i $\neq \text{zero}$
shows wrecZSL Z S L i = L (wrecZSL Z S L) i
proof –
let ?L = $\lambda f a.$ if a = zero then Z else L f a
have wrecZSL Z S L i = ?L (wrecZSL Z S L) i
unfolding wrecZSL-def apply(rule wrecSL-isLim)
using assms unfolding adm-woL-def by auto
also have ... = L (wrecZSL Z S L) i using assms by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed

8.6.3 Well-order succ-lim induction

lemma ord-cases:
obtains j where i = succ j and aboveS j $\neq \{\}$ | isLim i
by (metis isLim-def isSucc-def)

lemma well-order-inductSL[case-names Suc Lim]:
assumes SUCC: $\bigwedge i. \llbracket \text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}; P \ i \rrbracket \implies P \ (\text{succ } i)$ and
LIM: $\bigwedge i. \llbracket \text{isLim } i; \bigwedge j. j \in \text{underS } i \implies P \ j \rrbracket \implies P \ i$
shows P i
proof(induction rule: well-order-induct)
fix i assume 0: $\forall j. j \neq i \wedge (j, i) \in r \longrightarrow P \ j$
show P i proof(cases i rule: ord-cases)
fix j assume i: i = succ j and j: aboveS j $\neq \{\}$
hence j $\neq i \wedge (j, i) \in r$ by (metis succ-diff succ-in)
hence 1: P j using 0 by simp
show P i unfolding i apply(rule SUCC) using 1 j by auto
qed(insert 0 LIM, unfold underS-def, auto)
qed

lemma well-order-inductZSL[case-names Zero Suc Lim]:
assumes ZERO: P zero
and SUCC: $\bigwedge i. \llbracket \text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}; P \ i \rrbracket \implies P \ (\text{succ } i)$ and
LIM: $\bigwedge i. \llbracket \text{isLim } i; i \neq \text{zero}; \bigwedge j. j \in \text{underS } i \implies P \ j \rrbracket \implies P \ i$
shows P i
apply(induction rule: well-order-inductSL) using assms by auto

definition $isSuccOrd \equiv \exists j \in Field\ r. \forall i \in Field\ r. (i,j) \in r$
definition $isLimOrd \equiv \neg isSuccOrd$

lemma $isLimOrd-succ$:
assumes $isLimOrd$ **and** $i \in Field\ r$
shows $succ\ i \in Field\ r$
using $assms$ **unfolding** $isLimOrd-def\ isSuccOrd-def$
by ($metis\ REFL\ in-notinI\ refl-on-domain\ succ-smallest$)

lemma $isLimOrd-aboveS$:
assumes $l: isLimOrd$ **and** $i: i \in Field\ r$
shows $aboveS\ i \neq \{\}$
proof–
obtain j **where** $j \in Field\ r$ **and** $(j,i) \notin r$
using $assms$ **unfolding** $isLimOrd-def\ isSuccOrd-def$ **by** $auto$
hence $(i,j) \in r \wedge j \neq i$ **by** ($metis\ i\ max2-def\ max2-greater$)
thus $?thesis$ **unfolding** $aboveS-def$ **by** $auto$
qed

lemma $succ-aboveS-isLimOrd$:
assumes $\forall i \in Field\ r. aboveS\ i \neq \{\} \wedge succ\ i \in Field\ r$
shows $isLimOrd$
unfolding $isLimOrd-def\ isSuccOrd-def$ **proof** $safe$
fix j **assume** $j: j \in Field\ r \wedge \forall i \in Field\ r. (i,j) \in r$
hence $(succ\ j, j) \in r$ **using** $assms$ **by** $auto$
moreover **have** $aboveS\ j \neq \{\}$ **using** $assms\ j$ **unfolding** $aboveS-def$ **by** $auto$
ultimately **show** $False$ **by** ($metis\ succ-not-in$)
qed

lemma $isLim-iff$:
assumes $l: isLim\ i$ **and** $j: j \in underS\ i$
shows $\exists k. k \in underS\ i \wedge j \in underS\ k$
proof–
have $a: aboveS\ j \neq \{\}$ **using** j **unfolding** $underS-def\ aboveS-def$ **by** $auto$
show $?thesis$ **apply**($rule\ exI[of\ -\ succ\ j]$) **apply** $safe$
using $assms\ a$ **unfolding** $underS-def\ isLim-def$
apply ($metis\ (lifting,\ full-types)\ isSucc-def\ mem-Collect-eq\ succ-smallest$)
by ($metis\ (lifting,\ full-types)\ a\ mem-Collect-eq\ succ-diff\ succ-in$)
qed

end

abbreviation $zero \equiv wo-rel.zero$
abbreviation $succ \equiv wo-rel.succ$
abbreviation $pred \equiv wo-rel.pred$
abbreviation $isSucc \equiv wo-rel.isSucc$
abbreviation $isLim \equiv wo-rel.isLim$
abbreviation $isLimOrd \equiv wo-rel.isLimOrd$
abbreviation $isSuccOrd \equiv wo-rel.isSuccOrd$

abbreviation $\text{adm-woL} \equiv \text{wo-rel.adm-woL}$
abbreviation $\text{worecSL} \equiv \text{wo-rel.worecSL}$
abbreviation $\text{worecZSL} \equiv \text{wo-rel.worecZSL}$

8.7 Projections of wellorders

definition $\text{oproj } r \ s \ f \equiv \text{Field } s \subseteq f' (\text{Field } r) \wedge \text{compat } r \ s \ f$

lemma *oproj-in*:
assumes $\text{oproj } r \ s \ f$ **and** $(a, a') \in r$
shows $(f \ a, f \ a') \in s$
using *assms* **unfolding** *oproj-def* *compat-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *oproj-Field*:
assumes $f: \text{oproj } r \ s \ f$ **and** $a: a \in \text{Field } r$
shows $f \ a \in \text{Field } s$
using *oproj-in*[*OF f*] *a* **unfolding** *Field-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *oproj-Field2*:
assumes $f: \text{oproj } r \ s \ f$ **and** $a: b \in \text{Field } s$
shows $\exists a \in \text{Field } r. f \ a = b$
using *assms* **unfolding** *oproj-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *oproj-under*:
assumes $f: \text{oproj } r \ s \ f$ **and** $a: a \in \text{under } r \ a'$
shows $f \ a \in \text{under } s \ (f \ a')$
using *oproj-in*[*OF f*] *a* **unfolding** *under-def* **by** *auto*

theorem *embedI*:
assumes $r: \text{Well-order } r$ **and** $s: \text{Well-order } s$
and $f: \bigwedge a. a \in \text{Field } r \implies f \ a \in \text{Field } s \wedge f' (\text{under } S \ r \ a) \subseteq \text{under } S \ s \ (f \ a)$
shows $\exists g. \text{embed } r \ s \ g$
proof–
interpret $r: \text{wo-rel } r$ **by** *unfold-locales* (*rule r*)
interpret $s: \text{wo-rel } s$ **by** *unfold-locales* (*rule s*)
let $?G = \lambda g \ a. \text{suc } s \ (g' (\text{under } S \ r \ a))$
define g **where** $g = \text{worec } r \ ?G$
have $\text{adm}: \text{adm-wo } r \ ?G$ **unfolding** *r.adm-wo-def* *image-def* **by** *auto*

{fix a **assume** $a \in \text{Field } r$
hence $\text{bij-betw } g \ (\text{under } r \ a) \ (\text{under } s \ (g \ a)) \wedge$
 $g \ a \in \text{under } s \ (f \ a)$
proof(*induction a rule: r.underS-induct*)
case (*1 a*)
hence $a: a \in \text{Field } r$
and *IH1a*: $\bigwedge a1. a1 \in \text{under } S \ r \ a \implies \text{inj-on } g \ (\text{under } r \ a1)$
and *IH1b*: $\bigwedge a1. a1 \in \text{under } S \ r \ a \implies g' (\text{under } r \ a1) = \text{under } s \ (g \ a1)$
and *IH2*: $\bigwedge a1. a1 \in \text{under } S \ r \ a \implies g \ a1 \in \text{under } s \ (f \ a1)$

```

unfolding underS-def Field-def bij-betw-def by auto
have fa:  $f\ a \in \text{Field } s$  using f[OF a] by auto
have g:  $g\ a = \text{succ } s\ (g\ ' \text{underS } r\ a)$ 
  using r.worec-fixpoint[OF adm] unfolding g-def fun-eq-iff by blast
have A0:  $g\ ' \text{underS } r\ a \subseteq \text{Field } s$ 
using IH1b by (metis IH2 image-subsetI in-mono under-Field)
{fix a1 assume a1:  $a1 \in \text{underS } r\ a$ 
  from IH2[OF this] have  $g\ a1 \in \text{under } s\ (f\ a1)$  .
  moreover have  $f\ a1 \in \text{underS } s\ (f\ a)$  using f[OF a] a1 by auto
  ultimately have  $g\ a1 \in \text{underS } s\ (f\ a)$  by (metis s.ANTISYM s.TRANS
under-underS-trans)
}
hence  $f\ a \in \text{AboveS } s\ (g\ ' \text{underS } r\ a)$  unfolding AboveS-def
using fa by simp (metis (lifting, full-types) mem-Collect-eq underS-def)
hence A:  $\text{AboveS } s\ (g\ ' \text{underS } r\ a) \neq \{\}$  by auto
have B:  $\bigwedge a1. a1 \in \text{underS } r\ a \implies g\ a1 \in \text{underS } s\ (g\ a)$ 
unfolding g apply(rule s.suc-underS[OF A0 A]) by auto
{fix a1 a2 assume a2:  $a2 \in \text{underS } r\ a$  and 1:  $a1 \in \text{underS } r\ a2$ 
  hence a12:  $\{a1, a2\} \subseteq \text{under } r\ a2$  and  $a1 \neq a2$  using r.REFL a
  unfolding underS-def under-def refl-on-def Field-def by auto
  hence  $g\ a1 \neq g\ a2$  using IH1a[OF a2] unfolding inj-on-def by auto
  hence  $g\ a1 \in \text{underS } s\ (g\ a2)$  using IH1b[OF a2] a12
  unfolding underS-def under-def by auto
} note C = this
have ga:  $g\ a \in \text{Field } s$  unfolding g using s.suc-inField[OF A0 A] .
have aa:  $a \in \text{under } r\ a$ 
using a r.REFL unfolding under-def underS-def refl-on-def by auto
show ?case proof safe
  show bij-betw g (under r a) (under s (g a)) unfolding bij-betw-def proof
safe
  show inj-on g (under r a) proof(rule r.inj-on-Field)
    fix a1 a2 assume a1:  $a1 \in \text{under } r\ a$  and a2:  $a2 \in \text{under } r\ a$  and a1:  $a1$ 
 $\in \text{underS } r\ a2$ 
    hence a22:  $a2 \in \text{under } r\ a2$  and a12:  $a1 \in \text{under } r\ a2$   $a1 \neq a2$ 
    using a r.REFL unfolding under-def underS-def refl-on-def by auto
    show  $g\ a1 \neq g\ a2$ 
    proof(cases a2 = a)
      case False hence  $a2 \in \text{underS } r\ a$ 
      using a2 unfolding underS-def under-def by auto
      from IH1a[OF this] show ?thesis using a12 a22 unfolding inj-on-def
by auto
      qed(insert B a1, unfold underS-def, auto)
    qed(unfold under-def Field-def, auto)
  next
  fix a1 assume a1:  $a1 \in \text{under } r\ a$ 
  show  $g\ a1 \in \text{under } s\ (g\ a)$ 
  proof(cases a1 = a)
    case True thus ?thesis
    using ga s.REFL unfolding refl-on-def under-def by auto

```

```

      next
      case False
      hence  $a1: a1 \in \text{underS } r \ a$  using  $a1$  unfolding underS-def under-def
by auto
      thus ?thesis using  $B$  unfolding underS-def under-def by auto
    qed
  next
  fix  $b1$  assume  $b1: b1 \in \text{under } s \ (g \ a)$ 
  show  $b1 \in g \text{ 'under } r \ a$ 
  proof(cases  $b1 = g \ a$ )
    case True thus ?thesis using  $aa$  by auto
  next
  case False
  hence  $b1 \in \text{underS } s \ (g \ a)$  using  $b1$  unfolding underS-def under-def
by auto
  from  $s.\text{underS-suc}[OF \ \text{this}[unfolded \ g] \ A0]$ 
  obtain  $a1$  where  $a1: a1 \in \text{underS } r \ a$  and  $b1: b1 \in \text{under } s \ (g \ a1)$  by
auto
  obtain  $a2$  where  $a2 \in \text{under } r \ a1$  and  $b1: b1 = g \ a2$  using  $IH1b[OF$ 
 $a1]$   $b1$  by auto
  hence  $a2 \in \text{under } r \ a$  using  $a1$ 
  by (metis  $r.ANTISYM \ r.TRANS \ \text{in-mono} \ \text{underS-subset-under} \ \text{under-underS-trans}$ )
  thus ?thesis using  $b1$  by auto
    qed
  qed
next
have  $(g \ a, f \ a) \in s$  unfolding  $g$  proof(rule  $s.\text{suc-least}[OF \ A0]$ )
  fix  $b1$  assume  $b1 \in g \text{ 'underS } r \ a$ 
  then obtain  $a1$  where  $a1: b1 = g \ a1$  and  $a1: a1 \in \text{underS } r \ a$  by auto
  hence  $b1 \in \text{underS } s \ (f \ a)$ 
  using  $a$  by (metis  $\langle \bigwedge a1. a1 \in \text{underS } r \ a \implies g \ a1 \in \text{underS } s \ (f \ a) \rangle$ )
  thus  $f \ a \neq b1 \wedge (b1, f \ a) \in s$  unfolding underS-def by auto
qed(insert  $fa$ , auto)
thus  $g \ a \in \text{under } s \ (f \ a)$  unfolding under-def by auto
  qed
qed
}
thus ?thesis unfolding embed-def by auto
qed

corollary ordLeq-def2:

$$r \leq_o s \iff \text{Well-order } r \wedge \text{Well-order } s \wedge$$


$$(\exists f. \forall a \in \text{Field } r. f \ a \in \text{Field } s \wedge f \text{ 'underS } r \ a \subseteq \text{underS } s \ (f \ a))$$

using embed-in-Field[of  $r \ s$ ] embed-underS2[of  $r \ s$ ] embedI[of  $r \ s$ ]
unfolding ordLeq-def by fast

lemma iso-oproj:
  assumes  $r: \text{Well-order } r$  and  $s: \text{Well-order } s$  and  $f: \text{iso } r \ s \ f$ 

```

```

  shows oproj r s f
using assms unfolding oproj-def
by (subst (asm) iso-iff3) (auto simp: bij-betw-def)

theorem oproj-embed:
assumes r: Well-order r and s: Well-order s and f: oproj r s f
shows  $\exists$  g. embed s r g
proof (rule embedI[OF s r, of inv-into (Field r) f], unfold underS-def, safe)
  fix b assume b  $\in$  Field s
  thus inv-into (Field r) f b  $\in$  Field r using oproj-Field2[OF f] by (metis imageI
inv-into-into)
next
  fix a b assume b  $\in$  Field s a  $\neq$  b (a, b)  $\in$  s
    inv-into (Field r) f a = inv-into (Field r) f b
  with f show False by (auto dest!: inv-into-injective simp: Field-def oproj-def)
next
  fix a b assume *: b  $\in$  Field s a  $\neq$  b (a, b)  $\in$  s
  { assume (inv-into (Field r) f a, inv-into (Field r) f b)  $\notin$  r
    moreover
    from *(3) have a  $\in$  Field s unfolding Field-def by auto
    with *(1,2) have
      inv-into (Field r) f a  $\in$  Field r inv-into (Field r) f b  $\in$  Field r
      inv-into (Field r) f a  $\neq$  inv-into (Field r) f b
      by (auto dest!: oproj-Field2[OF f] inv-into-injective intro!: inv-into-into)
    ultimately have (inv-into (Field r) f b, inv-into (Field r) f a)  $\in$  r
      using r by (auto simp: well-order-on-def linear-order-on-def total-on-def)
    with f[unfolded oproj-def compat-def] *(1)  $\langle a \in$  Field s $\rangle$ 
      f-inv-into-f[of b f Field r] f-inv-into-f[of a f Field r]
      have (b, a)  $\in$  s by (metis in-mono)
    with *(2,3) s have False
    by (auto simp: well-order-on-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def anti-
sym-def)
  } thus (inv-into (Field r) f a, inv-into (Field r) f b)  $\in$  r by blast
qed

```

```

corollary oproj-ordLeq:
assumes r: Well-order r and s: Well-order s and f: oproj r s f
shows s  $\leq_o$  r
using oproj-embed[OF assms] r s unfolding ordLeq-def by auto

end

```

9 Ordinal Arithmetic

```

theory Ordinal-Arithmetic
imports Wellorder-Constructions
begin

```

```

definition osum :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'b rel  $\Rightarrow$  ('a + 'b) rel (infixr +o 70)

```

where

$$r +_o r' = \text{map-prod } \text{Inl } \text{Inl } ' r \cup \text{map-prod } \text{Inr } \text{Inr } ' r' \cup \{(\text{Inl } a, \text{Inr } a') \mid a \text{ } a' . a \in \text{Field } r \wedge a' \in \text{Field } r'\}$$

lemma *Field-osum*: $\text{Field}(r +_o r') = \text{Inl } ' \text{Field } r \cup \text{Inr } ' \text{Field } r'$
unfolding *osum-def Field-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *osum-Refl*: $\llbracket \text{Refl } r; \text{Refl } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Refl } (r +_o r')$

unfolding *refl-on-def Field-osum* **unfolding** *osum-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *osum-trans*:

assumes *TRANS*: *trans* *r* **and** *TRANS'*: *trans* *r'*

shows *trans* $(r +_o r')$

proof(*unfold trans-def, safe*)

fix *x y z* **assume** *: $(x, y) \in r +_o r' (y, z) \in r +_o r'$

thus $(x, z) \in r +_o r'$

proof (*cases x y z rule: sum.exhaust[case-product sum.exhaust sum.exhaust]*)

case (*Inl-Inl-Inl a b c*)

with * **have** $(a, b) \in r (b, c) \in r$ **unfolding** *osum-def* **by** *auto*

with *TRANS* **have** $(a, c) \in r$ **unfolding** *trans-def* **by** *blast*

with *Inl-Inl-Inl* **show** ?thesis **unfolding** *osum-def* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Inl-Inl-Inr a b c*)

with * **have** $a \in \text{Field } r c \in \text{Field } r'$ **unfolding** *osum-def Field-def* **by** *auto*

with *Inl-Inl-Inr* **show** ?thesis **unfolding** *osum-def* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Inl-Inr-Inr a b c*)

with * **have** $a \in \text{Field } r c \in \text{Field } r'$ **unfolding** *osum-def Field-def* **by** *auto*

with *Inl-Inr-Inr* **show** ?thesis **unfolding** *osum-def* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Inr-Inr-Inr a b c*)

with * **have** $(a, b) \in r' (b, c) \in r'$ **unfolding** *osum-def* **by** *auto*

with *TRANS'* **have** $(a, c) \in r'$ **unfolding** *trans-def* **by** *blast*

with *Inr-Inr-Inr* **show** ?thesis **unfolding** *osum-def* **by** *auto*

qed (*auto simp: osum-def*)

qed

lemma *osum-Preorder*: $\llbracket \text{Preorder } r; \text{Preorder } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Preorder } (r +_o r')$
unfolding *preorder-on-def* **using** *osum-Refl osum-trans* **by** *blast*

lemma *osum-antisym*: $\llbracket \text{antisym } r; \text{antisym } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{antisym } (r +_o r')$
unfolding *antisym-def osum-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *osum-Partial-order*: $\llbracket \text{Partial-order } r; \text{Partial-order } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Partial-order } (r +_o r')$
unfolding *partial-order-on-def* **using** *osum-Preorder osum-antisym* **by** *blast*

lemma *osum-Total*: $\llbracket \text{Total } r; \text{Total } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Total } (r +_o r')$

unfolding *total-on-def Field-osum* **unfolding** *osum-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *osum-Linear-order*: $\llbracket \text{Linear-order } r; \text{Linear-order } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Linear-order } (r + o \ r')$

unfolding *linear-order-on-def* **using** *osum-Partial-order* *osum-Total* **by** *blast*

lemma *osum-wf*:

assumes *WF*: *wf* *r* **and** *WF'*: *wf* *r'*

shows *wf* (*r* + *o* *r'*)

unfolding *wf-eq-minimal2* **unfolding** *Field-osum*

proof(*intro allI impI, elim conjE*)

fix *A* **assume** *: $A \subseteq \text{Inl} \text{ ' } \text{Field } r \cup \text{Inr} \text{ ' } \text{Field } r'$ **and** **: $A \neq \{\}$

obtain *B* **where** *B-def*: $B = A \text{ Int } \text{Inl} \text{ ' } \text{Field } r$ **by** *blast*

show $\exists a \in A. \forall a' \in A. (a', a) \notin r + o \ r'$

proof(*cases* $B = \{\}$)

case *False*

hence $B \neq \{\}$ $B \leq \text{Inl} \text{ ' } \text{Field } r$ **using** *B-def* **by** *auto*

hence $\text{Inl} \text{ -' } B \neq \{\}$ $\text{Inl} \text{ -' } B \leq \text{Field } r$ **unfolding** *vimage-def* **by** *auto*

then obtain *a* **where** $1: a \in \text{Inl} \text{ -' } B$ **and** $\forall a1 \in \text{Inl} \text{ -' } B. (a1, a) \notin r$

using *WF* **unfolding** *wf-eq-minimal2* **by** *metis*

hence $\forall a1 \in A. (a1, \text{Inl } a) \notin r + o \ r'$

unfolding *osum-def* **using** *B-def* ** **by** (*auto simp: vimage-def Field-def*)

thus *?thesis* **using** *1* **unfolding** *B-def* **by** *auto*

next

case *True*

hence $1: A \leq \text{Inr} \text{ ' } \text{Field } r'$ **using** * *B-def* **by** *auto*

with ** **have** $\text{Inr} \text{ -' } A \neq \{\}$ $\text{Inr} \text{ -' } A \leq \text{Field } r'$ **unfolding** *vimage-def* **by**

auto

with ** **obtain** *a'* **where** $2: a' \in \text{Inr} \text{ -' } A$ **and** $\forall a1' \in \text{Inr} \text{ -' } A. (a1', a') \notin r'$

using *WF'* **unfolding** *wf-eq-minimal2* **by** *metis*

hence $\forall a1' \in A. (a1', \text{Inr } a') \notin r + o \ r'$

unfolding *osum-def* **using** ** *1* **by** (*auto simp: vimage-def Field-def*)

thus *?thesis* **using** *2* **by** *blast*

qed

qed

lemma *osum-minus-Id*:

assumes *r*: $\text{Total } r \neg (r \leq \text{Id})$ **and** *r'*: $\text{Total } r' \neg (r' \leq \text{Id})$

shows $(r + o \ r') - \text{Id} \leq (r - \text{Id}) + o \ (r' - \text{Id})$

unfolding *osum-def* *Total-Id-Field*[*OF* *r*] *Total-Id-Field*[*OF* *r'*] **by** *auto*

lemma *osum-minus-Id1*:

$r \leq \text{Id} \implies (r + o \ r') - \text{Id} \leq (\text{Inl} \text{ ' } \text{Field } r \times \text{Inr} \text{ ' } \text{Field } r') \cup (\text{map-prod } \text{Inr } \text{Inr} \text{ ' } (r' - \text{Id}))$

unfolding *osum-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *osum-minus-Id2*:

$r' \leq \text{Id} \implies (r + o \ r') - \text{Id} \leq (\text{map-prod } \text{Inl } \text{Inl} \text{ ' } (r - \text{Id})) \cup (\text{Inl} \text{ ' } \text{Field } r \times$

```

Inr ‘ Field r’)
  unfolding osum-def by auto

lemma osum-wf-Id:
  assumes TOT: Total r and TOT': Total r' and WF: wf(r - Id) and WF':
wf(r' - Id)
  shows wf ((r +o r') - Id)
proof(cases r ≤ Id ∨ r' ≤ Id)
  case False
  thus ?thesis
  using osum-minus-Id[of r r'] assms osum-wf[of r - Id r' - Id]
    wf-subset[of (r - Id) +o (r' - Id) (r +o r') - Id] by auto
next
  have 1: wf (Inl ‘ Field r × Inr ‘ Field r') by (rule wf-Int-Times) auto
  case True
  thus ?thesis
  proof (elim disjE)
    assume r ⊆ Id
    thus wf ((r +o r') - Id)
    by (rule wf-subset[rotated, OF osum-minus-Id1 wf-Un[OF 1 wf-map-prod-image[OF
WF']]]) auto
  next
    assume r' ⊆ Id
    thus wf ((r +o r') - Id)
    by (rule wf-subset[rotated, OF osum-minus-Id2 wf-Un[OF wf-map-prod-image[OF
WF] 1]]) auto
  qed
qed

lemma osum-Well-order:
  assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
  shows Well-order (r +o r')
proof-
  have Total r ∧ Total r' using WELL WELL' by (auto simp add: order-on-defs)
  thus ?thesis using assms unfolding well-order-on-def
    using osum-Linear-order osum-wf-Id by blast
qed

lemma osum-embedL:
  assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
  shows embed r (r +o r') Inl
proof -
  have 1: Well-order (r +o r') using assms by (auto simp add: osum-Well-order)
  moreover
  have compat r (r +o r') Inl unfolding compat-def osum-def by auto
  moreover
  have ofilter (r +o r') (Inl ‘ Field r)
    unfolding wo-rel.ofilter-def[unfolded wo-rel-def, OF 1] Field-osum under-def
    unfolding osum-def Field-def by auto

```

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter*)
qed

corollary *osum-ordLeqL*:

assumes *WELL*: *Well-order r* **and** *WELL'*: *Well-order r'*

shows $r \leq_o r +_o r'$

using *assms* *osum-embedL* *osum-Well-order* **unfolding** *ordLeq-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *dir-image-alt*: $\text{dir-image } r \ f = \text{map-prod } f \ f \ 'r$

unfolding *dir-image-def* *map-prod-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *map-prod-ordIso*: $\llbracket \text{Well-order } r; \text{inj-on } f \ (\text{Field } r) \rrbracket \implies \text{map-prod } f \ f \ 'r$
 $=_o r$

unfolding *dir-image-alt[symmetric]* **by** (*rule ordIso-symmetric[OF dir-image-ordIso]*)

definition *oprod* :: $'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ rel} \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \text{ rel}$ (**infixr** $*_o$ 80)

where $r *_o r' = \{((x1, y1), (x2, y2)) \cdot$

$((y1, y2) \in r' - \text{Id} \wedge x1 \in \text{Field } r \wedge x2 \in \text{Field } r) \vee$

$((y1, y2) \in \text{Restr } \text{Id} \ (\text{Field } r') \wedge (x1, x2) \in r)\}$

lemma *Field-oprod*: $\text{Field } (r *_o r') = \text{Field } r \times \text{Field } r'$

unfolding *oprod-def* *Field-def* **by** *auto blast+*

lemma *oprod-Refl*: $\llbracket \text{Refl } r; \text{Refl } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Refl } (r *_o r')$

unfolding *refl-on-def* *Field-oprod* **unfolding** *oprod-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *oprod-trans*:

assumes *trans r* *trans r'* *antisym r* *antisym r'*

shows *trans* $(r *_o r')$

proof(*unfold trans-def, safe*)

fix $x \ y \ z$ **assume** $*(x, y) \in r *_o r' \ (y, z) \in r *_o r'$

thus $(x, z) \in r *_o r'$

unfolding *oprod-def*

apply *safe*

apply (*metis assms(2) transE*)

apply (*metis assms(2) transE*)

apply (*metis assms(2) transE*)

apply (*metis assms(4) antisymD*)

apply (*metis assms(4) antisymD*)

apply (*metis assms(2) transE*)

apply (*metis assms(4) antisymD*)

apply (*metis Field-def Range-iff Un-iff*)

apply (*metis Field-def Range-iff Un-iff*)

apply (*metis Field-def Range-iff Un-iff*)

apply (*metis Field-def Domain-iff Un-iff*)

apply (*metis Field-def Domain-iff Un-iff*)

apply (*metis Field-def Domain-iff Un-iff*)

apply (*metis assms(1) transE*)

apply (*metis assms(1) transE*)

apply (*metis* *assms*(1) *transE*)
apply (*metis* *assms*(1) *transE*)
done
qed

lemma *oprod-Preorder*: $\llbracket \text{Preorder } r; \text{Preorder } r'; \text{antisym } r; \text{antisym } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Preorder } (r *o r')$
unfolding *preorder-on-def* **using** *oprod-Refl* *oprod-trans* **by** *blast*

lemma *oprod-antisym*: $\llbracket \text{antisym } r; \text{antisym } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{antisym } (r *o r')$
unfolding *antisym-def* *oprod-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *oprod-Partial-order*: $\llbracket \text{Partial-order } r; \text{Partial-order } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Partial-order } (r *o r')$
unfolding *partial-order-on-def* **using** *oprod-Preorder* *oprod-antisym* **by** *blast*

lemma *oprod-Total*: $\llbracket \text{Total } r; \text{Total } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Total } (r *o r')$
unfolding *total-on-def* *Field-oprod* **unfolding** *oprod-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *oprod-Linear-order*: $\llbracket \text{Linear-order } r; \text{Linear-order } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Linear-order } (r *o r')$
unfolding *linear-order-on-def* **using** *oprod-Partial-order* *oprod-Total* **by** *blast*

lemma *oprod-wf*:
assumes *WF*: *wf* *r* **and** *WF'*: *wf* *r'*
shows *wf* (*r* *o *r'*)
unfolding *wf-eq-minimal2* **unfolding** *Field-oprod*
proof(*intro allI impI, elim conjE*)
fix *A* **assume** *: $A \subseteq \text{Field } r \times \text{Field } r'$ **and** **: $A \neq \{\}$
then obtain *y* **where** *y*: $y \in \text{snd } 'A \ \forall y' \in \text{snd } 'A. (y', y) \notin r'$
using *spec*[*OF* *WF'*[*unfolded wf-eq-minimal2*], *of* *snd* 'A] **by** *auto*
let ?*A* = *fst* 'A $\cap \{(x, y) \in A\}$
from * *y* **have** ?*A* $\neq \{\}$?*A* $\subseteq \text{Field } r$ **by** *auto*
then obtain *x* **where** *x*: $x \in ?A$ **and** $\forall x' \in ?A. (x', x) \notin r$
using *spec*[*OF* *WF*[*unfolded wf-eq-minimal2*], *of* ?*A*] **by** *auto*
with *y* **have** $\forall a' \in A. (a', (x, y)) \notin r *o r'$
unfolding *oprod-def* *mem-Collect-eq* *split-beta* *fst-conv* *snd-conv* *Id-def* **by** *auto*
moreover from *x* **have** $(x, y) \in A$ **by** *auto*
ultimately show $\exists a \in A. \forall a' \in A. (a', a) \notin r *o r'$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *oprod-minus-Id*:
assumes *r*: $\text{Total } r \neg (r \leq \text{Id})$ **and** *r'*: $\text{Total } r' \neg (r' \leq \text{Id})$
shows $(r *o r') - \text{Id} \leq (r - \text{Id}) *o (r' - \text{Id})$
unfolding *oprod-def* *Total-Id-Field*[*OF* *r*] *Total-Id-Field*[*OF* *r'*] **by** *auto*

lemma *oprod-minus-Id1*:
 $r \leq \text{Id} \implies r *o r' - \text{Id} \leq \{(x, y1), (x, y2)). x \in \text{Field } r \wedge (y1, y2) \in (r' - \text{Id})\}$
unfolding *oprod-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *wf-extend-oprod1*:
 assumes *wf r*
 shows *wf* $\{((x,y1), (x,y2)) . x \in A \wedge (y1, y2) \in r\}$
proof (*unfold wf-eq-minimal2, intro allI impI, elim conjE*)
 fix *B*
 assume *: $B \subseteq \text{Field } \{((x,y1), (x,y2)) . x \in A \wedge (y1, y2) \in r\}$ and $B \neq \{\}$
 from *image-mono*[*OF* *, *of snd*] **have** *snd* ' $B \subseteq \text{Field } r$ **unfolding** *Field-def*
by *force*
 with $\langle B \neq \{\} \rangle$ **obtain** *x* **where** *x*: $x \in \text{snd } ' B \forall x' \in \text{snd } ' B. (x', x) \notin r$
 using *spec*[*OF assms*[*unfolded wf-eq-minimal2*], *of snd* ' *B*] **by** *auto*
 then **obtain** *a* **where** $(a, x) \in B$ **by** *auto*
moreover
 from * *x* **have** $\forall a' \in B. (a', (a, x)) \notin \{((x,y1), (x,y2)) . x \in A \wedge (y1, y2) \in r\}$
by *auto*
 ultimately **show** $\exists ax \in B. \forall a' \in B. (a', ax) \notin \{((x,y1), (x,y2)) . x \in A \wedge (y1, y2) \in r\}$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *oprod-minus-Id2*:
 $r' \leq \text{Id} \implies r * o r' - \text{Id} \leq \{((x1,y), (x2,y)). (x1, x2) \in (r - \text{Id}) \wedge y \in \text{Field } r\}$
unfolding *oprod-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *wf-extend-oprod2*:
 assumes *wf r*
 shows *wf* $\{((x1,y), (x2,y)) . (x1, x2) \in r \wedge y \in A\}$
proof (*unfold wf-eq-minimal2, intro allI impI, elim conjE*)
 fix *B*
 assume *: $B \subseteq \text{Field } \{((x1, y), (x2, y)). (x1, x2) \in r \wedge y \in A\}$ and $B \neq \{\}$
 from *image-mono*[*OF* *, *of fst*] **have** *fst* ' $B \subseteq \text{Field } r$ **unfolding** *Field-def* **by** *force*
 with $\langle B \neq \{\} \rangle$ **obtain** *x* **where** *x*: $x \in \text{fst } ' B \forall x' \in \text{fst } ' B. (x', x) \notin r$
 using *spec*[*OF assms*[*unfolded wf-eq-minimal2*], *of fst* ' *B*] **by** *auto*
 then **obtain** *a* **where** $(x, a) \in B$ **by** *auto*
moreover
 from * *x* **have** $\forall a' \in B. (a', (x, a)) \notin \{((x1, y), x2, y). (x1, x2) \in r \wedge y \in A\}$
by *auto*
 ultimately **show** $\exists xa \in B. \forall a' \in B. (a', xa) \notin \{((x1, y), x2, y). (x1, x2) \in r \wedge y \in A\}$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *oprod-wf-Id*:
 assumes *TOT*: *Total r* and *TOT'*: *Total r'* and *WF*: *wf*(*r* - *Id*) and *WF'*:
wf(*r'* - *Id*)
 shows *wf* ((*r* * *o* *r'*) - *Id*)
proof(*cases r ≤ Id ∨ r' ≤ Id*)
 case *False*
 thus ?thesis

```

using oprod-minus-Id[of  $r \ r'$ ] assms oprod-wf[of  $r - Id \ r' - Id$ ]
  wf-subset[of  $(r - Id) * o \ (r' - Id) \ (r * o \ r') - Id$ ] by auto
next
  case True
  thus ?thesis using wf-subset[OF wf-extend-oprod1[OF WF'] oprod-minus-Id1]
    wf-subset[OF wf-extend-oprod2[OF WF] oprod-minus-Id2] by auto
qed

```

```

lemma oprod-Well-order:
assumes WELL: Well-order  $r$  and WELL': Well-order  $r'$ 
shows Well-order  $(r * o \ r')$ 
proof -
  have Total  $r \wedge$  Total  $r'$  using WELL WELL' by (auto simp add: order-on-defs)
  thus ?thesis using assms unfolding well-order-on-def
    using oprod-Linear-order oprod-wf-Id by blast
qed

```

```

lemma oprod-embed:
assumes WELL: Well-order  $r$  and WELL': Well-order  $r'$  and  $r' \neq \{\}$ 
shows embed  $r \ (r * o \ r') \ (\lambda x. (x, \text{minim } r' \ (Field \ r')))$  (is embed - - ?f)
proof -
  from assms(3) have  $r': Field \ r' \neq \{\}$  unfolding Field-def by auto
  have minim[simp]: minim  $r' \ (Field \ r') \in Field \ r'$ 
    using wo-rel.minim-inField[unfolded wo-rel-def, OF WELL' -  $r'$ ] by auto
  { fix  $b$ 
    assume  $b: (b, \text{minim } r' \ (Field \ r')) \in r'$ 
    hence  $b \in Field \ r'$  unfolding Field-def by auto
    hence  $(\text{minim } r' \ (Field \ r'), b) \in r'$ 
    using wo-rel.minim-least[unfolded wo-rel-def, OF WELL' subset-refl]  $r'$  by
    auto
    with  $b$  have  $b = \text{minim } r' \ (Field \ r')$ 
    by (metis WELL' antisym-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def well-order-on-def)
  } note * = this
  have 1: Well-order  $(r * o \ r')$  using assms by (auto simp add: oprod-Well-order)
  moreover
  from  $r'$  have compat  $r \ (r * o \ r') \ ?f$  unfolding compat-def oprod-def by auto
  moreover
  from * have ofilter  $(r * o \ r') \ (?f \ ' Field \ r)$ 
    unfolding wo-rel.ofilter-def[unfolded wo-rel-def, OF 1] Field-oprod under-def
    unfolding oprod-def by auto (auto simp: image-iff Field-def)
  moreover have inj-on  $?f \ (Field \ r)$  unfolding inj-on-def by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using assms by (auto simp add: embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter)
qed

```

```

corollary oprod-ordLeq:  $\llbracket \text{Well-order } r; \text{Well-order } r'; r' \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies r \leq_o r * o \ r'$ 
  using oprod-embed oprod-Well-order unfolding ordLeq-def by blast

```

```

definition support  $z \ A \ f = \{x \in A. f \ x \neq z\}$ 

```

```

lemma support-Un[simp]: support z (A ∪ B) f = support z A f ∪ support z B f
  unfolding support-def by auto

lemma support-upd[simp]: support z A (f(x := z)) = support z A f - {x}
  unfolding support-def by auto

lemma support-upd-subset[simp]: support z A (f(x := y)) ⊆ support z A f ∪ {x}
  unfolding support-def by auto

lemma fun-unequal-in-support:
  assumes f ≠ g f ∈ Func A B g ∈ Func A C
  shows (support z A f ∪ support z A g) ∩ {a. f a ≠ g a} ≠ {} (is ?L ∩ ?R ≠ {})
proof -
  from assms(1) obtain x where x: f x ≠ g x by blast
  hence x ∈ ?R by simp
  moreover from assms(2-3) x have x ∈ A unfolding Func-def by fastforce
  with x have x ∈ ?L unfolding support-def by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

definition fin-support where
  fin-support z A = {f. finite (support z A f)}

lemma finite-support: f ∈ fin-support z A ⟹ finite (support z A f)
  unfolding support-def fin-support-def by auto

lemma fin-support-Field-osum:
  f ∈ fin-support z (Inl ' A ∪ Inr ' B) ⟷
  (f o Inl) ∈ fin-support z A ∧ (f o Inr) ∈ fin-support z B (is ?L ⟷ ?R1 ∧ ?R2)
proof safe
  assume ?L
  from ⟨?L⟩ show ?R1 unfolding fin-support-def support-def
    by (fastforce simp: image-iff elim: finite-surj[of - - case-sum id undefined])
  from ⟨?L⟩ show ?R2 unfolding fin-support-def support-def
    by (fastforce simp: image-iff elim: finite-surj[of - - case-sum undefined id])
next
  assume ?R1 ?R2
  thus ?L unfolding fin-support-def support-Un
    by (auto simp: support-def elim: finite-surj[of - - Inl] finite-surj[of - - Inr])
qed

lemma Func-upd: [f ∈ Func A B; x ∈ A; y ∈ B] ⟹ f(x := y) ∈ Func A B
  unfolding Func-def by auto

context wo-rel
begin

definition isMaxim :: 'a set ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
where isMaxim A b ≡ b ∈ A ∧ (∀ a ∈ A. (a, b) ∈ r)

```

definition *maxim* :: 'a set $\Rightarrow$ 'a
where *maxim* A $\equiv$ THE b. isMaxim A b

lemma *isMaxim-unique*[intro]: $\llbracket \text{isMaxim } A \ x; \text{isMaxim } A \ y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x = y$
unfolding *isMaxim-def* **using** *antisymD*[OF ANTISYM, of x y] **by** auto

lemma *maxim-isMaxim*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{isMaxim } A \ (\text{maxim } A)$
unfolding *maxim-def*
proof (rule theI', rule ex-ex1I[OF - isMaxim-unique, rotated], assumption+,
induct A rule: finite-induct)
case (insert x A)
thus ?case
proof (cases A = {})
case True
moreover have isMaxim {x} x **unfolding** *isMaxim-def* **using** refl-onD[OF
REFL] insert(5) **by** auto
ultimately show ?thesis **by** blast
next
case False
with insert(3,5) **obtain** y **where** isMaxim A y **by** blast
with insert(2,5) **have** if (y, x) $\in$ r then isMaxim (insert x A) x else isMaxim
(insert x A) y
unfolding *isMaxim-def* subset-eq **by** (metis insert-iff max2-def max2-equals1
max2-iff)
thus ?thesis **by** metis
qed
qed simp

lemma *maxim-in*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{maxim } A \in A$
using *maxim-isMaxim* **unfolding** *isMaxim-def* **by** auto

lemma *maxim-greatest*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; x \in A; A \subseteq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (x, \text{maxim } A) \in r$
using *maxim-isMaxim* **unfolding** *isMaxim-def* **by** auto

lemma *isMaxim-zero*: isMaxim A zero $\Longrightarrow A = \{\text{zero}\}$
unfolding *isMaxim-def* **by** auto

lemma *maxim-insert*:
assumes finite A A $\neq \{\}$ A $\subseteq$ Field r x $\in$ Field r
shows maxim (insert x A) = max2 x (maxim A)
proof –
from asms **have** *: isMaxim (insert x A) (maxim (insert x A)) isMaxim A
(maxim A)
using *maxim-isMaxim* **by** auto
show ?thesis
proof (cases (x, maxim A) $\in$ r)
case True

with $*(2)$ **have** $isMaxim (insert\ x\ A) (maxim\ A)$ **unfolding** $isMaxim-def$
using $transD[OF\ TRANS, of - x\ maxim\ A]$ **by** $blast$
with $*(1)$ $True$ **show** $?thesis$ **unfolding** $max2-def$ **by** $(metis\ isMaxim-unique)$
next
case $False$
hence $(maxim\ A, x) \in r$ **by** $(metis\ *(2)\ assms(3,4)\ in-mono\ in-notinI\ is-$
 $Maxim-def)$
with $*(2)$ $assms(4)$ **have** $isMaxim (insert\ x\ A) x$ **unfolding** $isMaxim-def$
using $transD[OF\ TRANS, of - maxim\ A\ x]$ $refl-onD[OF\ REFL, of\ x]$ **by** $blast$
with $*(1)$ $False$ **show** $?thesis$ **unfolding** $max2-def$ **by** $(metis\ isMaxim-unique)$
qed
qed

lemma $maxim-Un$:

assumes $finite\ A\ A \neq \{\}$ $A \subseteq Field\ r$ $finite\ B\ B \neq \{\}$ $B \subseteq Field\ r$
shows $maxim\ (A \cup B) = max2\ (maxim\ A) (maxim\ B)$
proof $-$
from $assms$ **have** $*$: $isMaxim\ (A \cup B) (maxim\ (A \cup B))\ isMaxim\ A (maxim\ A)$
 $isMaxim\ B (maxim\ B)$
using $maxim-isMaxim$ **by** $auto$
show $?thesis$
proof $(cases\ (maxim\ A, maxim\ B) \in r)$
case $True$
with $*(2,3)$ **have** $isMaxim\ (A \cup B) (maxim\ B)$ **unfolding** $isMaxim-def$
using $transD[OF\ TRANS, of - maxim\ A\ maxim\ B]$ **by** $blast$
with $*(1)$ $True$ **show** $?thesis$ **unfolding** $max2-def$ **by** $(metis\ isMaxim-unique)$
next
case $False$
hence $(maxim\ B, maxim\ A) \in r$ **by** $(metis\ *(2,3)\ assms(3,6)\ in-mono\ in-notinI\ is-$
 $Maxim-def)$
with $*(2,3)$ **have** $isMaxim\ (A \cup B) (maxim\ A)$ **unfolding** $isMaxim-def$
using $transD[OF\ TRANS, of - maxim\ B\ maxim\ A]$ **by** $blast$
with $*(1)$ $False$ **show** $?thesis$ **unfolding** $max2-def$ **by** $(metis\ isMaxim-unique)$
qed
qed

lemma $maxim-insert-zero$:

assumes $finite\ A\ A \neq \{\}$ $A \subseteq Field\ r$
shows $maxim\ (insert\ zero\ A) = maxim\ A$
using $assms\ zero-in-Field\ maxim-in[OF\ assms]$ **by** $(subst\ maxim-insert[unfolded\ max2-def])\ auto$

lemma $maxim-equality$: $isMaxim\ A\ x \implies maxim\ A = x$

unfolding $maxim-def$ **by** $(rule\ the-equality)\ auto$

lemma $maxim-singleton$:

$x \in Field\ r \implies maxim\ \{x\} = x$

using $refl-onD[OF\ REFL]$ **by** $(intro\ maxim-equality)\ (simp\ add: isMaxim-def)$

lemma *maxim-Int*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq \text{Field } r; \text{maxim } A \in B \rrbracket \implies \text{maxim } (A \cap B) = \text{maxim } A$
by (*rule* *maxim-equality*) (*auto simp: isMaxim-def intro: maxim-in maxim-greatest*)

lemma *maxim-mono*: $\llbracket X \subseteq Y; \text{finite } Y; X \neq \{\}; Y \subseteq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies (\text{maxim } X, \text{maxim } Y) \in r$
using *maxim-in*[*OF finite-subset, of X Y*] **by** (*auto intro: maxim-greatest*)

definition *max-fun-diff* $f\ g \equiv \text{maxim } (\{a \in \text{Field } r. f\ a \neq g\ a\})$

lemma *max-fun-diff-commute*: $\text{max-fun-diff } f\ g = \text{max-fun-diff } g\ f$
unfolding *max-fun-diff-def* **by** *metis*

lemma *zero-under*: $x \in \text{Field } r \implies \text{zero} \in \text{under } x$
unfolding *under-def* **by** (*auto intro: zero-smallest*)

end

definition *FinFunc* $r\ s = \text{Func } (\text{Field } s) (\text{Field } r) \cap \text{fin-support } (\text{zero } r) (\text{Field } s)$

lemma *FinFuncD*: $\llbracket f \in \text{FinFunc } r\ s; x \in \text{Field } s \rrbracket \implies f\ x \in \text{Field } r$
unfolding *FinFunc-def Func-def* **by** (*fastforce split: option.splits*)

locale *wo-rel2* =
fixes $r\ s$
assumes $r\text{WELL}$: *Well-order* r
and $s\text{WELL}$: *Well-order* s
begin

interpretation r : *wo-rel* r **by** *unfold-locales (rule rWELL)*
interpretation s : *wo-rel* s **by** *unfold-locales (rule sWELL)*

abbreviation *SUPP* $\equiv \text{support } r.\text{zero } (\text{Field } s)$
abbreviation *FINFUNC* $\equiv \text{FinFunc } r\ s$
lemmas *FINFUNCD* $= \text{FinFuncD}[of\ -\ r\ s]$

lemma *fun-diff-alt*: $\{a \in \text{Field } s. f\ a \neq g\ a\} = (\text{SUPP } f \cup \text{SUPP } g) \cap \{a. f\ a \neq g\ a\}$
by (*auto simp: support-def*)

lemma *max-fun-diff-alt*:
 $s.\text{max-fun-diff } f\ g = s.\text{maxim } ((\text{SUPP } f \cup \text{SUPP } g) \cap \{a. f\ a \neq g\ a\})$
unfolding *s.max-fun-diff-def fun-diff-alt* **..**

lemma *isMaxim-max-fun-diff*: $\llbracket f \neq g; f \in \text{FINFUNC}; g \in \text{FINFUNC} \rrbracket \implies$
 $s.\text{isMaxim } \{a \in \text{Field } s. f\ a \neq g\ a\} (s.\text{max-fun-diff } f\ g)$
using *fun-unequal-in-support*[*of f g*] **unfolding** *max-fun-diff-alt fun-diff-alt fun-eq-iff*
by (*intro s.maxim-isMaxim*) (*auto simp: FinFunc-def fin-support-def support-def*)

lemma *max-fun-diff-in*: $\llbracket f \neq g; f \in \text{FINFUNC}; g \in \text{FINFUNC} \rrbracket \implies$
 $s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g \in \{a \in \text{Field } s. f a \neq g a\}$
using *isMaxim-max-fun-diff* **unfolding** *s.isMaxim-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *max-fun-diff-max*: $\llbracket f \neq g; f \in \text{FINFUNC}; g \in \text{FINFUNC}; x \in \{a \in \text{Field}$
 $s. f a \neq g a\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(x, s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g) \in s$
using *isMaxim-max-fun-diff* **unfolding** *s.isMaxim-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *max-fun-diff*:
 $\llbracket f \neq g; f \in \text{FINFUNC}; g \in \text{FINFUNC} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\exists a b. a \neq b \wedge a \in \text{Field } r \wedge b \in \text{Field } r \wedge$
 $f (s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g) = a \wedge g (s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g) = b)$
using *isMaxim-max-fun-diff* **unfolding** *s.isMaxim-def* *FinFunc-def* *Func-def*
by *auto*

lemma *max-fun-diff-le-eq*:
 $\llbracket (s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g, x) \in s; f \neq g; f \in \text{FINFUNC}; g \in \text{FINFUNC}; x \neq s.\text{max-fun-diff}$
 $f g \rrbracket \implies$
 $f x = g x$
using *max-fun-diff-max* **unfolding** *antisymD* *OF s.ANTISYM*, *of s.max-fun-diff f*
 $g x]$
by *(auto simp: Field-def)*

lemma *max-fun-diff-max2*:
assumes *ineq*: $s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g = s.\text{max-fun-diff } g h \longrightarrow$
 $f (s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g) \neq h (s.\text{max-fun-diff } g h)$ **and**
 $fg: f \neq g$ **and** $gh: g \neq h$ **and** $fh: f \neq h$ **and**
 $f: f \in \text{FINFUNC}$ **and** $g: g \in \text{FINFUNC}$ **and** $h: h \in \text{FINFUNC}$
shows $s.\text{max-fun-diff } f h = s.\text{max2 } (s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g) (s.\text{max-fun-diff } g h)$
(is $?fh = s.\text{max2 } ?fg ?gh)$
proof *(cases ?fg = ?gh)*
case *True*
with *ineq* **have** $f ?fg \neq h ?fg$ **by** *simp*
moreover
{ fix x **assume** $x \in \{a \in \text{Field } s. f a \neq h a\}$
hence $(x, ?fg) \in s$
proof *(cases x = ?fg)*
case *False* **show** *?thesis*
proof *(rule ccontr)*
assume $(x, ?fg) \notin s$
with *max-fun-diff-in* **unfolding** *OF fg f g* x *False* **have** $*$: $(?fg, x) \in s$ **by** *(blast intro: s.in-notinI)*
hence $f x = g x$ **by** *(rule max-fun-diff-le-eq[OF - fg f g False])*
moreover **have** $g x = h x$ **using** *max-fun-diff-le-eq[OF - gh g h] False True*
 $*$ **by** *simp*
ultimately **show** *False* **using** x **by** *simp*
qed
qed *(simp add: refl-onD[OF s.REFL])*

```

}
ultimately have  $s.isMaxim \{a \in Field \ s. f \ a \neq h \ a\} \ ?fg$ 
  unfolding  $s.isMaxim-def$  using  $max-fun-diff-in[OF \ fg \ f \ g]$  by simp
  hence  $?fh = ?fg$  using  $isMaxim-max-fun-diff[OF \ fh \ f \ h]$  by blast
  thus  $?thesis$  unfolding  $True \ s.max2-def$  by simp
next
case False note  $* = this$ 
show  $?thesis$ 
proof (cases  $(?fg, ?gh) \in s$ )
  case True
    hence  $*: f \ ?gh = g \ ?gh$  by (rule  $max-fun-diff-le-eq[OF \ - \ fg \ f \ g \ *[symmetric]]$ )
    hence  $s.isMaxim \{a \in Field \ s. f \ a \neq h \ a\} \ ?gh$  using  $isMaxim-max-fun-diff[OF \ gh \ g \ h]$ 
    isMaxim-max-fun-diff[OF  $fg \ f \ g$ ]  $transD[OF \ s.TRANS - True]$ 
    unfolding  $s.isMaxim-def$  by auto
    hence  $?fh = ?gh$  using  $isMaxim-max-fun-diff[OF \ fh \ f \ h]$  by blast
    thus  $?thesis$  using  $True \ s.max2-def$  by simp
  next
  case False
    with  $max-fun-diff-in[OF \ fg \ f \ g] \ max-fun-diff-in[OF \ gh \ g \ h]$  have  $True: (?gh, ?fg) \in s$ 
    by (blast intro:  $s.in-notinI$ )
    hence  $*: g \ ?fg = h \ ?fg$  by (rule  $max-fun-diff-le-eq[OF \ - \ gh \ g \ h \ *]$ )
    hence  $s.isMaxim \{a \in Field \ s. f \ a \neq h \ a\} \ ?fg$  using  $isMaxim-max-fun-diff[OF \ gh \ g \ h]$ 
    isMaxim-max-fun-diff[OF  $fg \ f \ g$ ]  $True \ transD[OF \ s.TRANS, of \ - \ - \ ?fg]$ 
    unfolding  $s.isMaxim-def$  by auto
    hence  $?fh = ?fg$  using  $isMaxim-max-fun-diff[OF \ fh \ f \ h]$  by blast
    thus  $?thesis$  using  $False \ s.max2-def$  by simp
qed
qed

definition oexp where
   $oexp = \{(f, g) \cdot f \in FINFUNC \wedge g \in FINFUNC \wedge ((let \ m = s.max-fun-diff \ f \ g \ in \ (f \ m, g \ m) \in r) \vee f = g)\}$ 

lemma Field-oexp:  $Field \ oexp = FINFUNC$ 
  unfolding  $oexp-def \ FinFunc-def$  by (auto simp: Let-def \ Field-def)

lemma oexp-Refl:  $Refl \ oexp$ 
  unfolding  $refl-on-def \ Field-oexp$  unfolding  $oexp-def$  by (auto simp: Let-def)

lemma oexp-trans:  $trans \ oexp$ 
proof (unfold  $trans-def$ , safe)
  fix  $f \ g \ h :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a$ 
  let  $?fg = s.max-fun-diff \ f \ g$ 
  and  $?gh = s.max-fun-diff \ g \ h$ 
  and  $?fh = s.max-fun-diff \ f \ h$ 
  assume  $oexp: (f, g) \in oexp \ (g, h) \in oexp$ 

```

```

thus  $(f, h) \in \text{oexp}$ 
proof  $(\text{cases } f = g \vee g = h)$ 
  case False
    with oexp have  $f \in \text{FINFUNC } g \in \text{FINFUNC } h \in \text{FINFUNC}$ 
       $(f \text{ ?fg}, g \text{ ?fg}) \in r \ (g \text{ ?gh}, h \text{ ?gh}) \in r$  unfolding oexp-def Let-def by auto
    note  $*$  = this False
    show ?thesis
    proof  $(\text{cases } f \neq h)$ 
      case True
        show ?thesis
        proof  $(\text{cases } ?fg = ?gh \longrightarrow f \text{ ?fg} \neq h \text{ ?gh})$ 
          case True
            show ?thesis using max-fun-diff-max2[of f g h, OF True] * ⟨f ≠ h⟩
max-fun-diff-in
             $r.\text{max2-iff}[OF \text{ FINFUNCD } \text{ FINFUNCD}] \ r.\text{max2-equals1}[OF \text{ FINFUNCD } \text{ FINFUNCD}]$ 
max-fun-diff-le-eq
             $s.\text{in-notinI}[OF \text{ disjI1}]$  unfolding oexp-def Let-def s.max2-def mem-Collect-eq
by safe metis
          next
            case False with  $*$  show ?thesis unfolding oexp-def Let-def
              using antisymD[OF r.ANTISYM, of g ?gh h ?gh] max-fun-diff-in[of g h]
by auto
            qed
          qed  $(\text{auto simp: oexp-def } *(\exists))$ 
          qed auto
        qed
      qed
    qed

```

```

lemma oexp-Preorder: Preorder oexp
  unfolding preorder-on-def using oexp-Refl oexp-trans by blast

```

```

lemma oexp-antisym: antisym oexp
proof  $(\text{unfold antisym-def, safe, rule ccontr})$ 
  fix  $f \ g$  assume  $(f, g) \in \text{oexp} \ (g, f) \in \text{oexp} \ g \neq f$ 
  thus False using refl-onD[OF r.REFL FINFUNCD] max-fun-diff-in unfolding
oexp-def Let-def
  by  $(\text{auto dest!: antisymD}[OF \text{ r.ANTISYM}] \text{ simp: } s.\text{max-fun-diff-commute})$ 
qed

```

```

lemma oexp-Partial-order: Partial-order oexp
  unfolding partial-order-on-def using oexp-Preorder oexp-antisym by blast

```

```

lemma oexp-Total: Total oexp
  unfolding total-on-def Field-oexp unfolding oexp-def using FINFUNCD max-fun-diff-in
  by  $(\text{auto simp: Let-def } s.\text{max-fun-diff-commute intro!: } r.\text{in-notinI})$ 

```

```

lemma oexp-Linear-order: Linear-order oexp
  unfolding linear-order-on-def using oexp-Partial-order oexp-Total by blast

```

```

definition const =  $(\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Field } s \text{ then } r.\text{zero} \text{ else undefined})$ 

```

```

lemma const-in[simp]:  $x \in \text{Field } s \implies \text{const } x = r.\text{zero}$ 
  unfolding const-def by auto

lemma const-notin[simp]:  $x \notin \text{Field } s \implies \text{const } x = \text{undefined}$ 
  unfolding const-def by auto

lemma const-Int-Field[simp]:  $\text{Field } s \cap - \{x. \text{const } x = r.\text{zero}\} = \{\}$ 
  by auto

lemma const-FINFUNC[simp]:  $\text{Field } r \neq \{\} \implies \text{const} \in \text{FINFUNC}$ 
  unfolding FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def support-def const-def Int-iff mem-Collect-eq
  using r.zero-in-Field by (metis (lifting) Collect-empty-eq finite.emptyI)

lemma const-least:
  assumes  $\text{Field } r \neq \{\}$   $f \in \text{FINFUNC}$ 
  shows  $(\text{const}, f) \in \text{oexp}$ 
proof (cases  $f = \text{const}$ )
  case True thus ?thesis using refl-onD[OF oexp-Refl] assms(2) unfolding Field-oexp
by auto
next
  case False
  with assms show ?thesis using max-fun-diff-in[of f const]
  unfolding oexp-def Let-def by (auto intro: r.zero-smallest FinFuncD simp: s.max-fun-diff-commute)
qed

lemma support-not-const:
  assumes  $F \subseteq \text{FINFUNC}$  and  $\text{const} \notin F$ 
  shows  $\forall f \in F. \text{finite } (\text{SUPP } f) \wedge \text{SUPP } f \neq \{\} \wedge \text{SUPP } f \subseteq \text{Field } s$ 
proof (intro ballI conjI)
  fix  $f$  assume  $f \in F$ 
  thus  $\text{finite } (\text{SUPP } f)$   $\text{SUPP } f \subseteq \text{Field } s$ 
  using assms(1) unfolding FinFunc-def fin-support-def support-def by auto
  show  $\text{SUPP } f \neq \{\}$ 
proof (rule ccontr, unfold not-not)
  assume  $\text{SUPP } f = \{\}$ 
  moreover from  $\langle f \in F \rangle$  assms(1) have  $f \in \text{FINFUNC}$  by blast
  ultimately have  $f = \text{const}$ 
  by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff support-def FinFunc-def Func-def const-def)
  with assms(2)  $\langle f \in F \rangle$  show False by blast
qed
qed

lemma maxim-isMaxim-support:
  assumes  $f: F \subseteq \text{FINFUNC}$  and  $\text{const} \notin F$ 
  shows  $\forall f \in F. s.\text{isMaxim } (\text{SUPP } f) (s.\text{maxim } (\text{SUPP } f))$ 
  using support-not-const[OF assms] by (auto intro!: s.maxim-isMaxim)

```

lemma *oexp-empty2*: $\text{Field } s = \{\} \implies \text{oexp} = \{(\lambda x. \text{undefined}, \lambda x. \text{undefined})\}$
unfolding *oexp-def FinFunc-def fin-support-def support-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *oexp-empty*: $\llbracket \text{Field } r = \{\}; \text{Field } s \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \text{oexp} = \{\}$
unfolding *oexp-def FinFunc-def Let-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *fun-upd-FINFUNC*: $\llbracket f \in \text{FINFUNC}; x \in \text{Field } s; y \in \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies f(x := y) \in \text{FINFUNC}$
unfolding *FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def*
by (*auto intro: finite-subset[OF support-upd-subset]*)

lemma *fun-upd-same-oexp*:
assumes $(f, g) \in \text{oexp}$ $f x = g x$ $x \in \text{Field } s$ $y \in \text{Field } r$
shows $(f(x := y), g(x := y)) \in \text{oexp}$
proof –
from *assms(1) fun-upd-FINFUNC[OF - assms(3,4)]* **have** $fg: f(x := y) \in \text{FINFUNC}$ $g(x := y) \in \text{FINFUNC}$
unfolding *oexp-def* **by** *auto*
moreover from *assms(2)* **have** $s.\text{max-fun-diff } (f(x := y)) (g(x := y)) = s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g$
unfolding *s.max-fun-diff-def* **by** *auto metis*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *assms refl-onD[OF r.REFL]* **unfolding** *oexp-def Let-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *fun-upd-smaller-oexp*:
assumes $f \in \text{FINFUNC}$ $x \in \text{Field } s$ $y \in \text{Field } r$ $(y, f x) \in r$
shows $(f(x := y), f) \in \text{oexp}$
using *assms fun-upd-FINFUNC[OF assms(1-3)] s.maxim-singleton[of x]*
unfolding *oexp-def FinFunc-def Let-def fin-support-def s.max-fun-diff-def* **by** (*auto simp: fun-eq-iff*)

lemma *oexp-wf-Id*: $\text{wf } (\text{oexp} - \text{Id})$
proof (*cases Field r = \{\} \vee Field s = \{\}*)
case *True* **thus** *?thesis* **using** *oexp-empty oexp-empty2* **by** *fastforce*
next
case *False*
hence *Fields*: $\text{Field } s \neq \{\}$ $\text{Field } r \neq \{\}$ **by** *simp-all*
hence [*simp*]: $r.\text{zero} \in \text{Field } r$ **by** (*intro r.zero-in-Field*)
have *const[simp]*: $\bigwedge F. \llbracket \text{const} \in F; F \subseteq \text{FINFUNC} \rrbracket \implies \exists f0 \in F. \forall f \in F. (f0, f) \in \text{oexp}$
using *const-least[OF Fields(2)]* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
unfolding *Linear-order-wf-diff-Id[OF oexp-Linear-order]* *Field-oexp*
proof (*intro allI impI*)
fix *A* **assume** $A: A \subseteq \text{FINFUNC}$ $A \neq \{\}$
{ fix *y F*
have $F \subseteq \text{FINFUNC} \wedge (\exists f \in F. y = s.\text{maxim } (\text{SUPP } f)) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists f0 \in F. \forall f \in F. (f0, f) \in \text{oexp})$ **(is ?P F y)**

```

proof (induct y arbitrary: F rule: s.well-order-induct)
  case (1 y)
  show ?case
proof (intro impI, elim conjE bexE)
  fix f assume F: F  $\subseteq$  FINFUNC f  $\in$  F y = s.maxim (SUPP f)
  thus  $\exists f_0 \in F. \forall f \in F. (f_0, f) \in \text{oexp}$ 
proof (cases const  $\in$  F)
  case False
  with F have maxF:  $\forall f \in F. s.\text{isMaxim} (SUPP f) (s.\text{maxim} (SUPP f))$ 
    and SUPPF:  $\forall f \in F. \text{finite} (SUPP f) \wedge SUPP f \neq \{\} \wedge SUPP f \subseteq$ 
Field s
    using maxim-isMaxim-support support-not-const by auto
  define z where z = s.minim {s.maxim (SUPP f) | f. f  $\in$  F}
  from F SUPPF maxF have zmin: s.isMinim {s.maxim (SUPP f) | f. f
 $\in$  F} z
  unfolding z-def by (intro s.minim-isMinim) (auto simp: s.isMaxim-def)
  with F have zy: (z, y)  $\in$  s unfolding s.isMinim-def by auto
  hence zField: z  $\in$  Field s unfolding Field-def by auto
  define x0 where x0 = r.minim {f z | f. f  $\in$  F  $\wedge$  z = s.maxim (SUPP
f)}
  from F(1,2) maxF(1) SUPPF zmin
  have x0min: r.isMinim {f z | f. f  $\in$  F  $\wedge$  z = s.maxim (SUPP f)} x0
  unfolding x0-def s.isMaxim-def s.isMinim-def
  by (blast intro!: r.minim-isMinim FinFuncD[of - r s])
  with maxF(1) SUPPF F(1) have x0Field: x0  $\in$  Field r
  unfolding r.isMinim-def s.isMaxim-def by (auto intro!: FINFUNC D)
  from x0min maxF(1) SUPPF F(1) have x0notzero: x0  $\neq$  r.zero
  unfolding r.isMinim-def s.isMaxim-def FinFunc-def Func-def support-def
  by fastforce
  define G where G = {f(z := r.zero) | f. f  $\in$  F  $\wedge$  z = s.maxim (SUPP
f)  $\wedge$  f z = x0}
  from zmin x0min have G  $\neq$  {} unfolding G-def z-def s.isMinim-def
r.isMinim-def by blast
  have GF: G  $\subseteq$  ( $\lambda f. f(z := r.zero)$ ) ' F unfolding G-def by auto
  have G  $\subseteq$  fin-support r.zero (Field s)
  unfolding FinFunc-def fin-support-def proof safe
  fix g assume g  $\in$  G
  with GF obtain f where f: f  $\in$  F g = f(z := r.zero) by auto
  with SUPPF have finite (SUPP f) by blast
  with f show finite (SUPP g)
  by (elim finite-subset[rotated]) (auto simp: support-def)
qed
moreover from F GF zField have G  $\subseteq$  Func (Field s) (Field r)
  using Func-upd[of - Field s Field r z r.zero] unfolding FinFunc-def
by auto
  ultimately have G: G  $\subseteq$  FINFUNC unfolding FinFunc-def by blast
  hence  $\exists g_0 \in G. \forall g \in G. (g_0, g) \in \text{oexp}$ 
proof (cases const  $\in$  G)
  case False

```

with G have $\text{max}G: \forall g \in G. s.\text{isMaxim} (SUPP\ g) (s.\text{maxim} (SUPP\ g))$
 and $SUPPG: \forall g \in G. \text{finite} (SUPP\ g) \wedge SUPP\ g \neq \{\} \wedge SUPP\ g \subseteq$
Field s
 using *maxim-isMaxim-support support-not-const* by *auto*
 define y' where $y' = s.\text{minim} \{s.\text{maxim} (SUPP\ f) \mid f. f \in G\}$
 from $G\ SUPPG\ \text{max}G\ \langle G \neq \{\} \rangle$ have $y'_{\text{min}}: s.\text{isMinim} \{s.\text{maxim}$
 $(SUPP\ f) \mid f. f \in G\}\ y'$
 unfolding $y'_{\text{-def}}$ by (intro *s.minim-isMinim*) (auto simp: *s.isMaxim-def*)
 moreover
 have $\forall g \in G. z \notin SUPP\ g$ unfolding *support-def* $G_{\text{-def}}$ by *auto*
 moreover
 { fix g assume $g: g \in G$
 then obtain f where $f \in F\ g = f(z := r.\text{zero})$ and $z: z = s.\text{maxim}$
 $(SUPP\ f)$
 unfolding $G_{\text{-def}}$ by *auto*
 with $SUPPF\ \text{bspec}[OF\ SUPPG\ g]$ have $(s.\text{maxim} (SUPP\ g), z) \in s$
 unfolding z by (intro *s.maxim-mono*) *auto*
 }
 moreover from y'_{min} have $\bigwedge g. g \in G \implies (y', s.\text{maxim} (SUPP\ g))$
 $\in s$
 unfolding *s.isMinim-def* by *auto*
 ultimately have $y' \neq z\ (y', z) \in s$ using *maxG*
 unfolding *s.isMinim-def* *s.isMaxim-def* by *auto*
 with zy have $y' \neq y\ (y', y) \in s$ using *antisymD*[*OF* *s.ANTISYM*]
transD[*OF* *s.TRANS*]
 by *blast+*
 moreover from $\langle G \neq \{\} \rangle$ have $\exists g \in G. y' = \text{wo-rel.maxim}\ s\ (SUPP\ g)$ using y'_{min}
 by (auto simp: $G_{\text{-def}}\ s.\text{isMinim-def}$)
 ultimately show *?thesis* using *mp*[*OF* *spec*[*OF* *mp*[*OF* *spec*[*OF* 1]]],
of $y'\ G]$ G by *auto*
 qed simp
 then obtain $g0$ where $g0: g0 \in G\ \forall g \in G. (g0, g) \in \text{oexp}$ by *blast*
 hence $g0z: g0\ z = r.\text{zero}$ unfolding $G_{\text{-def}}$ by *auto*
 define $f0$ where $f0 = g0(z := x0)$
 with $x0\text{notzero}\ z\text{Field}$ have $SUPP\ f0 = SUPP\ g0 \cup \{z\}$ unfolding
support-def by *auto*
 from $g0z$ have $f0z: f0(z := r.\text{zero}) = g0$ unfolding $f0_{\text{-def}}\ \text{fun-upd-upd}$
 by *auto*
 have $f0: f0 \in F$ using $x0\text{min}\ g0(1)$
Func-elim[*OF* *subsetD*[*OF* *subset-trans*[*OF* $F(1)$ [*unfolded* *FinFunc-def*]
Int-lower1]]] $z\text{Field}$
 unfolding $f0_{\text{-def}}\ r.\text{isMinim-def}\ G_{\text{-def}}$ by (force simp: *fun-upd-idem*)
 from $g0(1)\ \text{max}F(1)$ have $\text{max}f0: s.\text{maxim} (SUPP\ f0) = z$ unfolding
 $SUPP\ G_{\text{-def}}$
 by (intro *s.maxim-equality*) (auto simp: *s.isMaxim-def*)
 show *?thesis*
 proof (intro *bexI*[*OF* - $f0$] *ballI*)

```

fix f assume f: f ∈ F
show (f0, f) ∈ oexp
proof (cases f0 = f)
case True thus ?thesis by (metis F(1) Field-oexp f0 in-mono oexp-Refl
refl-onD)
next
case False
thus ?thesis
proof (cases s.maxim (SUPP f) = z ∧ f z = x0)
case True
with f have f(z := r.zero) ∈ G unfolding G-def by blast
with g0(2) f0z have (f0(z := r.zero), f(z := r.zero)) ∈ oexp by
auto
hence oexp: (f0(z := r.zero, z := x0), f(z := r.zero, z := x0)) ∈
oexp
by (elim fun-upd-same-oexp[OF - zField x0Field]) simp
with f F(1) x0min True
have (f(z := x0), f) ∈ oexp unfolding G-def r.isMinim-def
by (intro fun-upd-smaller-oexp[OF - zField x0Field]) auto
with oexp show ?thesis using transD[OF oexp-trans, of f0 f(z :=
x0) f]
unfolding f0-def by auto
next
case False note notG = this
thus ?thesis
proof (cases s.maxim (SUPP f) = z)
case True
with notG have f0 z ≠ f z unfolding f0-def by auto
hence f0 z ≠ f z by metis
with True maxf0 f0 f SUPPF have s.max-fun-diff f0 f = z
using s.maxim-Un[of SUPP f0 SUPP f, unfolded s.max2-def]
unfolding max-fun-diff-alt by (intro trans[OF s.maxim-Int])
auto
moreover
from x0min True f have (x0, f z) ∈ r unfolding r.isMinim-def
by auto
ultimately show ?thesis using f f0 F(1) unfolding oexp-def
f0-def by auto
next
case False
with notG have *: (z, s.maxim (SUPP f)) ∈ s z ≠ s.maxim
(SUPP f)
using zmin f unfolding s.isMinim-def G-def by auto
have f0f: f0 (s.maxim (SUPP f)) = r.zero
proof (rule ccontr)
assume f0 (s.maxim (SUPP f)) ≠ r.zero
with f SUPPF maxF(1) have s.maxim (SUPP f) ∈ SUPP f0
unfolding support-def[of - f0] s.isMaxim-def by auto
with SUPPF f0 have (s.maxim (SUPP f), z) ∈ s unfolding

```

```

maxf0[symmetric]
  by (auto intro: s.maxim-greatest)
  with * antisymD[OF s.ANTISYM] show False by simp
qed
moreover
  have f (s.maxim (SUPP f))  $\neq$  r.zero
    using bspec[OF maxF(1) f, unfolded s.isMaxim-def] by (auto
simp: support-def)
  with f0f * f f0 maxf0 SUPPF
  have s.max-fun-diff f0 f = s.maxim (SUPP f0  $\cup$  SUPP f)
  unfolding max-fun-diff-alt using s.maxim-Un[of SUPP f0 SUPP
f]
  by (intro s.maxim-Int) (auto simp: s.max2-def)
  moreover have s.maxim (SUPP f0  $\cup$  SUPP f) = s.maxim (SUPP
f)
    using s.maxim-Un[of SUPP f0 SUPP f] * maxf0 SUPPF f0 f
    by (auto simp: s.max2-def)
    ultimately show ?thesis using f f0 F(1) maxF(1) SUPPF
unfolding oexp-def Let-def
  by (fastforce simp: s.isMaxim-def intro!: r.zero-smallest
FINFUNC)
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed simp
  qed
  qed
} note * = mp[OF this]
from A(2) obtain f where f: f  $\in$  A by blast
with A(1) show  $\exists a \in A. \forall a' \in A. (a, a') \in oexp$ 
proof (cases f = const)
  case False with f A(1) show ?thesis using maxim-isMaxim-support[of {f}]
  by (intro *[of - s.maxim (SUPP f)]) (auto simp: s.isMaxim-def support-def)
  qed simp
  qed
  qed
qed
lemma oexp-Well-order: Well-order oexp
  unfolding well-order-on-def using oexp-Linear-order oexp-wf-Id by blast
interpretation o: wo-rel oexp by unfold-locales (rule oexp-Well-order)
lemma zero-oexp: Field r  $\neq$  {}  $\implies$  o.zero = const
  by (rule sym[OF o.leq-zero-imp[OF const-least]])
  (auto intro!: o.zero-in-Field[unfolded Field-oexp] dest!: const-FINFUNC)
end

```

notation $wo\text{-}rel2.oexp$ (**infixl** $\hat{o}$ 90)
lemmas $oexp\text{-}def = wo\text{-}rel2.oexp\text{-}def[unfolding\ wo\text{-}rel2\text{-}def, OF\ conjI]$
lemmas $oexp\text{-}Well\text{-}order = wo\text{-}rel2.oexp\text{-}Well\text{-}order[unfolding\ wo\text{-}rel2\text{-}def, OF\ conjI]$
lemmas $Field\text{-}oexp = wo\text{-}rel2.Field\text{-}oexp[unfolding\ wo\text{-}rel2\text{-}def, OF\ conjI]$

definition $ozero = \{\}$

lemma $ozero\text{-}Well\text{-}order[simp]: Well\text{-}order\ ozero$
unfolding $ozero\text{-}def$ **by** $simp$

lemma $ozero\text{-}ordIso[simp]: ozero =_o ozero$
unfolding $ozero\text{-}def\ ordIso\text{-}def\ iso\text{-}def[abs\text{-}def]\ embed\text{-}def\ bij\text{-}betw\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$

lemma $Field\text{-}ozero[simp]: Field\ ozero = \{\}$
unfolding $ozero\text{-}def$ **by** $simp$

lemma $iso\text{-}ozero\text{-}empty[simp]: r =_o ozero = (r = \{\})$
unfolding $ozero\text{-}def\ ordIso\text{-}def\ iso\text{-}def[abs\text{-}def]\ embed\text{-}def\ bij\text{-}betw\text{-}def$
by $(auto\ dest: well\text{-}order\text{-}on\text{-}domain)$

lemma $ozero\text{-}ordLeq$:
assumes $Well\text{-}order\ r$ **shows** $ozero \leq_o r$
using $assms$ **unfolding** $ozero\text{-}def\ ordLeq\text{-}def\ embed\text{-}def[abs\text{-}def]\ under\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$

definition $oone = \{(), ()\}$

lemma $oone\text{-}Well\text{-}order[simp]: Well\text{-}order\ oone$
unfolding $oone\text{-}def$ **unfolding** $well\text{-}order\text{-}on\text{-}def\ linear\text{-}order\text{-}on\text{-}def\ partial\text{-}order\text{-}on\text{-}def$
 $preorder\text{-}on\text{-}def\ total\text{-}on\text{-}def\ refl\text{-}on\text{-}def\ trans\text{-}def\ antisym\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$

lemma $Field\text{-}oone[simp]: Field\ oone = \{()\}$
unfolding $oone\text{-}def$ **by** $simp$

lemma $oone\text{-}ordIso: oone =_o \{(x, x)\}$
unfolding $ordIso\text{-}def\ oone\text{-}def\ well\text{-}order\text{-}on\text{-}def\ linear\text{-}order\text{-}on\text{-}def\ partial\text{-}order\text{-}on\text{-}def$
 $preorder\text{-}on\text{-}def\ total\text{-}on\text{-}def\ refl\text{-}on\text{-}def\ trans\text{-}def\ antisym\text{-}def$
by $(auto\ simp: iso\text{-}def\ embed\text{-}def\ bij\text{-}betw\text{-}def\ under\text{-}def\ inj\text{-}on\text{-}def\ intro!: exI[of - \lambda\text{-}. x])$

lemma $osum\text{-}ordLeqR: Well\text{-}order\ r \implies Well\text{-}order\ s \implies s \leq_o r +_o s$
unfolding $ordLeq\text{-}def2\ underS\text{-}def$
by $(auto\ intro!: exI[of - Inr]\ osum\text{-}Well\text{-}order)\ (auto\ simp\ add: osum\text{-}def\ Field\text{-}def)$

lemma $osum\text{-}congL$:
assumes $r =_o s$ **and** $t: Well\text{-}order\ t$
shows $r +_o t =_o s +_o t$ **(is** $?L =_o ?R$ **)**
proof –
from $assms(1)$ **obtain** f **where** $r: Well\text{-}order\ r$ **and** $s: Well\text{-}order\ s$ **and** $f: iso\ r\ s\ f$

```

  unfolding ordIso-def by blast
let ?f = map-sum f id
from f have inj-on ?f (Field ?L)
  unfolding Field-osum iso-def bij-betw-def inj-on-def by fastforce
with f have bij-betw ?f (Field ?L) (Field ?R)
  unfolding Field-osum iso-def bij-betw-def image-image image-Un by auto
moreover from f have compat ?L ?R ?f
  unfolding osum-def iso-iff3[OF r s] compat-def bij-betw-def
  by (auto simp: map-prod-imageI)
ultimately have iso ?L ?R ?f by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro: osum-Well-order
r s t)
thus ?thesis unfolding ordIso-def by (auto intro: osum-Well-order r s t)
qed

```

```

lemma osum-congR:
  assumes  $r =_o s$  and  $t$ : Well-order  $t$ 
  shows  $t +_o r =_o t +_o s$  (is ?L =o ?R)
proof -
  from assms(1) obtain f where  $r$ : Well-order  $r$  and  $s$ : Well-order  $s$  and  $f$ : iso
  r s f
  unfolding ordIso-def by blast
let ?f = map-sum id f
from f have inj-on ?f (Field ?L)
  unfolding Field-osum iso-def bij-betw-def inj-on-def by fastforce
with f have bij-betw ?f (Field ?L) (Field ?R)
  unfolding Field-osum iso-def bij-betw-def image-image image-Un by auto
moreover from f have compat ?L ?R ?f
  unfolding osum-def iso-iff3[OF r s] compat-def bij-betw-def
  by (auto simp: map-prod-imageI)
ultimately have iso ?L ?R ?f by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro: osum-Well-order
r s t)
thus ?thesis unfolding ordIso-def by (auto intro: osum-Well-order r s t)
qed

```

```

lemma osum-cong:
  assumes  $t =_o u$  and  $r =_o s$ 
  shows  $t +_o r =_o u +_o s$ 
using ordIso-transitive[OF osum-congL[OF assms(1)] osum-congR[OF assms(2)]]
  assms[unfolded ordIso-def] by auto

```

```

lemma Well-order-empty[simp]: Well-order {}
  unfolding Field-empty by (rule well-order-on-empty)

```

```

lemma well-order-on-singleton[simp]: well-order-on {x} {(x, x)}
  unfolding well-order-on-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def
  total-on-def
  Field-def refl-on-def trans-def antisym-def by auto

```

```

lemma oexp-empty[simp]:

```

assumes *Well-order* *r*
shows $r \hat{=} \{\} = \{(\lambda x. \text{undefined}, \lambda x. \text{undefined})\}$
unfolding *oexp-def*[*OF* *assms* *Well-order-empty*] *FinFunc-def* *fin-support-def*
support-def **by** *auto*

lemma *oexp-empty2*[*simp*]:
assumes *Well-order* *r* *r* $\neq \{\}$
shows $\{\} \hat{=} r = \{\}$
proof –
from *assms*(2) **have** *Field* *r* $\neq \{\}$ **unfolding** *Field-def* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *oexp-def*[*OF* *Well-order-empty* *assms*(1)] *FinFunc-def*
fin-support-def *support-def*
by *auto*
qed

lemma *oprod-zero*[*simp*]: $\{\} * o r = \{\} r * o \{\} = \{\}$
unfolding *oprod-def* **by** *simp-all*

lemma *oprod-congL*:
assumes *r =o s* **and** *t: Well-order t*
shows *r *o t =o s *o t* (**is** *?L =o ?R*)
proof –
from *assms*(1) **obtain** *f* **where** *r: Well-order r* **and** *s: Well-order s* **and** *f: iso*
r s f
unfolding *ordIso-def* **by** *blast*
let *?f = map-prod f id*
from *f* **have** *inj-on ?f (Field ?L)*
unfolding *Field-oprod iso-def bij-betw-def inj-on-def* **by** *fastforce*
with *f* **have** *bij-betw ?f (Field ?L) (Field ?R)*
unfolding *Field-oprod iso-def bij-betw-def* **by** (*auto intro!: map-prod-surj-on*)
moreover from *f* **have** *compat ?L ?R ?f*
unfolding *iso-iff3*[*OF* *r s*] *compat-def* *oprod-def* *bij-betw-def*
by (*auto simp: map-prod-imageI*)
ultimately have *iso ?L ?R ?f* **by** (*subst iso-iff3*) (*auto intro: oprod-Well-order*
r s t)
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *ordIso-def* **by** (*auto intro: oprod-Well-order r s t*)
qed

lemma *oprod-congR*:
assumes *r =o s* **and** *t: Well-order t*
shows *t *o r =o t *o s* (**is** *?L =o ?R*)
proof –
from *assms*(1) **obtain** *f* **where** *r: Well-order r* **and** *s: Well-order s* **and** *f: iso*
r s f
unfolding *ordIso-def* **by** *blast*
let *?f = map-prod id f*
from *f* **have** *inj-on ?f (Field ?L)*
unfolding *Field-oprod iso-def bij-betw-def inj-on-def* **by** *fastforce*
with *f* **have** *bij-betw ?f (Field ?L) (Field ?R)*

unfolding *Field-oprod iso-def bij-betw-def* **by** (*auto intro!*: *map-prod-surj-on*)
moreover from *f well-order-on-domain*[*OF r*] **have** *compat ?L ?R ?f*
unfolding *iso-iff3*[*OF r s*] *compat-def oprod-def bij-betw-def*
by (*auto simp*: *map-prod-imageI dest: inj-onD*)
ultimately have *iso ?L ?R ?f* **by** (*subst iso-iff3*) (*auto intro*: *oprod-Well-order*
r s t)
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *ordIso-def* **by** (*auto intro*: *oprod-Well-order r s t*)
qed

lemma *oprod-cong*:
assumes *t =o u* **and** *r =o s*
shows *t *o r =o u *o s*
using *ordIso-transitive*[*OF oprod-congL*[*OF assms(1)*] *oprod-congR*[*OF assms(2)*]]
assms[*unfolded ordIso-def*] **by** *auto*

lemma *Field-singleton*[*simp*]: *Field* $\{(z,z)\} = \{z\}$
by (*metis well-order-on-Field well-order-on-singleton*)

lemma *zero-singleton*[*simp*]: *zero* $\{(z,z)\} = z$
using *wo-rel.zero-in-Field*[*unfolded wo-rel-def, of* $\{(z,z)\}$] *well-order-on-singleton*[*of*
z]
by *auto*

lemma *FinFunc-singleton*: *FinFunc* $\{(z,z)\} s = \{\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Field } s \text{ then } z \text{ else undefined}\}$
unfolding *FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def support-def*
by (*auto simp*: *fun-eq-iff split: if-split-asm intro!*: *finite-subset*[*of* - $\{\}$])

lemma *oone-ordIso-oezp*:
assumes *r =o oone* **and** *s: Well-order s*
shows *r $\hat{=}$ o s =o oone* (**is** *?L =o ?R*)
proof –
from $\langle r =o oone \rangle$ **obtain** *f* **where** $*$: $\forall x \in \text{Field } r. \forall y \in \text{Field } r. x = y$ **and** *f* ‘
Field r = \{\}’
and *r: Well-order r*
unfolding *ordIso-def oone-def* **by** (*auto simp add: iso-def [abs-def] bij-betw-def*
inj-on-def)
then obtain *x* **where** $x \in \text{Field } r$ **by** *auto*
with $*$ **have** *Fr: Field r = \{x\}* **by** *auto*
interpret *r*: *wo-rel r* **by** *unfold-locales (rule r)*
from *Fr well-order-on-domain*[*OF r*] *refl-onD*[*OF r.REFL, of x*] **have** *r-def: r*
 $= \{(x, x)\}$ **by** *fast*
interpret *wo-rel2 r s* **by** *unfold-locales (rule r, rule s)*
have *bij-betw* $(\lambda x. ())$ (*Field ?L*) (*Field ?R*)
unfolding *bij-betw-def Field-oezp* **by** (*auto simp: r-def FinFunc-singleton*)
moreover have *compat ?L ?R* $(\lambda x. ())$ **unfolding** *compat-def oone-def* **by** *auto*
ultimately have *iso ?L ?R* $(\lambda x. ())$ **using** *s oone-Well-order*
by (*subst iso-iff3*) (*auto intro: oezip-Well-order*)
thus *?thesis* **using** *s oone-Well-order* **unfolding** *ordIso-def* **by** (*auto intro*:

oexp-Well-order)
qed

context

fixes $r\ s\ t$
assumes r : *Well-order* r
assumes s : *Well-order* s
assumes t : *Well-order* t

begin

lemma *osum-ozeroL*: $ozero +_o r =_o r$
using r **unfolding** *osum-def* *ozero-def* **by** (*auto intro: map-prod-ordIso*)

lemma *osum-ozeroR*: $r +_o ozero =_o r$
using r **unfolding** *osum-def* *ozero-def* **by** (*auto intro: map-prod-ordIso*)

lemma *osum-assoc*: $(r +_o s) +_o t =_o r +_o s +_o t$ (**is** $?L =_o ?R$)

proof –

let $?f =$

$\lambda rst. \text{case } rst \text{ of } Inl\ (Inl\ r) \Rightarrow Inl\ r \mid Inl\ (Inr\ s) \Rightarrow Inr\ (Inl\ s) \mid Inr\ t \Rightarrow Inr$

$(Inr\ t)$

have *bij-betw* $?f$ (*Field* $?L$) (*Field* $?R$)

unfolding *Field-osum* *bij-betw-def* *inj-on-def* **by** (*auto simp: image-Un image-iff*)

moreover

have *compat* $?L\ ?R\ ?f$

proof (*unfold compat-def, safe*)

fix $a\ b$

assume $(a, b) \in ?L$

thus $(?f\ a, ?f\ b) \in ?R$

unfolding *osum-def*[*of* $r +_o s\ t$] *osum-def*[*of* $r\ s +_o t$] *Field-osum*

unfolding *osum-def* *Field-osum* *image-iff* *image-Un* *map-prod-def*

by *fastforce*

qed

ultimately have *iso* $?L\ ?R\ ?f$ **using** $r\ s\ t$ **by** (*subst iso-iff3*) (*auto intro: osum-Well-order*)

thus *?thesis* **using** $r\ s\ t$ **unfolding** *ordIso-def* **by** (*auto intro: osum-Well-order*)

qed

lemma *osum-monoR*:

assumes $s <_o t$

shows $r +_o s <_o r +_o t$ (**is** $?L <_o ?R$)

proof –

from *assms* **obtain** f **where** s : *Well-order* s **and** t : *Well-order* t **and** *embedS* $s\ t\ f$

unfolding *ordLess-def* **by** *blast*

hence $*$: *inj-on* f (*Field* s) *compat* $s\ t\ f$ *ofilter* t ($f \text{ ‘ } Field\ s$) $f \text{ ‘ } Field\ s \subset Field\ t$

using *embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter*[*OF* $s\ t$, *of* f] *embedS-iff*[*OF* s , *of* $t\ f$]

unfolding *embedS-def* **by** *auto*
let $?f = \text{map-sum id } f$
from $*(1)$ **have** *inj-on* $?f$ (*Field* $?L$) **unfolding** *Field-osum inj-on-def* **by** *fastforce*
moreover
from $*(2,4)$ **have** *compat* $?L$ $?R$ $?f$ **unfolding** *compat-def osum-def map-prod-def*
by *fastforce*
moreover
interpret *t: wo-rel* t **by** *unfold-locales* (*rule* t)
interpret *rt: wo-rel* $?R$ **by** *unfold-locales* (*rule* *osum-Well-order*[*OF* r t])
from $*(3)$ **have** *ofilter* $?R$ ($?f$ ‘ *Field* $?L$)
unfolding *t.ofilter-def rt.ofilter-def Field-osum image-Un image-image un-*
der-def
by (*auto simp: osum-def intro!: imageI*) (*auto simp: Field-def*)
ultimately have *embed* $?L$ $?R$ $?f$ **using** *embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter*[*of* $?L$ $?R$
 $?f$]
by (*auto intro: osum-Well-order r s t*)
moreover
from $*(4)$ **have** $?f$ ‘ *Field* $?L \subset \text{Field } ?R$ **unfolding** *Field-osum image-Un*
image-image **by** *auto*
ultimately have *embedS* $?L$ $?R$ $?f$ **using** *embedS-iff*[*OF osum-Well-order*[*OF* r
 s], *of* $?R$ $?f$] **by** *auto*
thus $?thesis$ **unfolding** *ordLess-def* **by** (*auto intro: osum-Well-order r s t*)
qed

lemma *osum-monoL*:

assumes $r \leq_o s$
shows $r +_o t \leq_o s +_o t$
proof –
from *assms* **obtain** f **where** $f: \forall a \in \text{Field } r. f \ a \in \text{Field } s \wedge f$ ‘ *underS* r $a \subseteq$
underS s ($f \ a$)
unfolding *ordLeq-def2* **by** *blast*
let $?f = \text{map-sum } f \text{ id}$
from f **have** $\forall a \in \text{Field } (r +_o t).$
 $?f \ a \in \text{Field } (s +_o t) \wedge ?f$ ‘ *underS* $(r +_o t)$ $a \subseteq \text{underS } (s +_o t)$ ($?f \ a$)
unfolding *Field-osum underS-def* **by** (*fastforce simp: osum-def*)
thus $?thesis$ **unfolding** *ordLeq-def2* **by** (*auto intro: osum-Well-order r s t*)
qed

lemma *oprod-zeroL*: $\text{ozero} * o \ r = o \ \text{ozero}$

using *zero-ordIso* **unfolding** *zero-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *oprod-zeroR*: $r * o \ \text{ozero} = o \ \text{ozero}$

using *zero-ordIso* **unfolding** *zero-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *oprod-ooneR*: $r * o \ \text{oone} = o \ r$ (*is* $?L = o \ ?R$)

proof –

have *bij-betw fst* (*Field* $?L$) (*Field* $?R$) **unfolding** *Field-oprod bij-betw-def inj-on-def*
by *simp*

moreover have *compat* ?L ?R *fst* **unfolding** *compat-def* *oprod-def* **by** *auto*
ultimately have *iso* ?L ?R *fst* **using** *r oone-Well-order*
by (*subst iso-iff3*) (*auto intro: oprod-Well-order*)
thus ?thesis **using** *r oone-Well-order* **unfolding** *ordIso-def* **by** (*auto intro: oprod-Well-order*)
qed

lemma *oprod-ooneL*: *oone *o r =o r* (**is** ?L =o ?R)
proof –
have *bij-betw snd* (*Field* ?L) (*Field* ?R) **unfolding** *Field-oprod bij-betw-def inj-on-def* **by** *simp*
moreover have *Refl r* **by** (*rule wo-rel.REFL*[*unfolded wo-rel-def, OF r*])
hence *compat* ?L ?R *snd* **unfolding** *compat-def* *oprod-def refl-on-def* **by** *auto*
ultimately have *iso* ?L ?R *snd* **using** *r oone-Well-order*
by (*subst iso-iff3*) (*auto intro: oprod-Well-order*)
thus ?thesis **using** *r oone-Well-order* **unfolding** *ordIso-def* **by** (*auto intro: oprod-Well-order*)
qed

lemma *oprod-monoR*:
assumes *ozero <o r s <o t*
shows *r *o s <o r *o t* (**is** ?L <o ?R)
proof –
from *assms* **obtain** *f* **where** *s: Well-order s* **and** *t: Well-order t* **and** *embedS s t f*
unfolding *ordLess-def* **by** *blast*
hence *: *inj-on f* (*Field* *s*) *compat s t f ofilter t* (*f* ‘ *Field* *s*) *f* ‘ *Field* *s* $\subset$ *Field* *t*
using *embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter*[*OF s t, of f*] *embedS-iff*[*OF s, of t f*]
unfolding *embedS-def* **by** *auto*
let ?f = *map-prod id f*
from *(1) **have** *inj-on ?f* (*Field* ?L) **unfolding** *Field-oprod inj-on-def* **by** *fast-force*
moreover
from *(2,4) *the-inv-into-f.f*[*OF* *(1)] **have** *compat* ?L ?R ?f **unfolding** *compat-def* *oprod-def*
by *auto* (*metis well-order-on-domain t, metis well-order-on-domain s*)
moreover
interpret *t: wo-rel t* **by** *unfold-locales* (*rule t*)
interpret *rt: wo-rel ?R* **by** *unfold-locales* (*rule oprod-Well-order*[*OF r t*])
from *(3) **have** *ofilter ?R* (?f ‘ *Field* ?L)
unfolding *t.ofilter-def rt.ofilter-def Field-oprod under-def*
by (*auto simp: oprod-def image-iff*) (*fast* | *metis r well-order-on-domain*) +
ultimately have *embed* ?L ?R ?f **using** *embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter*[*of ?L ?R ?f*]
by (*auto intro: oprod-Well-order r s t*)
moreover
from *not-ordLess-ordIso*[*OF assms*(1)] **have** *r* $\neq$ {} **by** (*metis ozero-def ozero-ordIso*)
hence *Field* *r* $\neq$ {} **unfolding** *Field-def* **by** *auto*
with *(4) **have** ?f ‘ *Field* ?L $\subset$ *Field* ?R **unfolding** *Field-oprod*

by auto (metis SigmaD2 SigmaI map-prod-surj-on)
ultimately have embedS ?L ?R ?f using embedS-iff[OF oprod-Well-order[OF
r s], of ?R ?f] by auto
thus ?thesis unfolding ordLess-def by (auto intro: oprod-Well-order r s t)
qed

lemma oprod-monoL:

assumes $r \leq_o s$

shows $r * o t \leq_o s * o t$

proof –

from assms obtain f where f: $\forall a \in \text{Field } r. f a \in \text{Field } s \wedge f ' \text{underS } r a \subseteq \text{underS } s (f a)$

unfolding ordLeq-def2 by blast

let ?f = map-prod f id

from f have $\forall a \in \text{Field } (r * o t).$

$?f a \in \text{Field } (s * o t) \wedge ?f ' \text{underS } (r * o t) a \subseteq \text{underS } (s * o t) (?f a)$

unfolding Field-oprod underS-def unfolding map-prod-def oprod-def by auto

thus ?thesis unfolding ordLeq-def2 by (auto intro: oprod-Well-order r s t)

qed

lemma oprod-assoc: $(r * o s) * o t =_o r * o s * o t$ (is ?L =o ?R)

proof –

let ?f = $\lambda((a,b),c). (a,b,c)$

have bij-betw ?f (Field ?L) (Field ?R)

unfolding Field-oprod bij-betw-def inj-on-def by (auto simp: image-Un image-iff)

moreover

have compat ?L ?R ?f

proof (unfold compat-def, safe)

fix a1 a2 a3 b1 b2 b3

assume $((a1, a2), a3), ((b1, b2), b3) \in ?L$

thus $((a1, a2, a3), (b1, b2, b3)) \in ?R$

unfolding oprod-def[of r * o s t] oprod-def[of r s * o t] Field-oprod

unfolding oprod-def Field-oprod image-iff image-Un by fast

qed

ultimately have iso ?L ?R ?f using r s t by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro: oprod-Well-order)

thus ?thesis using r s t unfolding ordIso-def by (auto intro: oprod-Well-order)

qed

lemma oprod-osum: $r * o (s + o t) =_o r * o s + o r * o t$ (is ?L =o ?R)

proof –

let ?f = $\lambda(a,bc). \text{case } bc \text{ of } \text{Inl } b \Rightarrow \text{Inl } (a, b) \mid \text{Inr } c \Rightarrow \text{Inr } (a, c)$

have bij-betw ?f (Field ?L) (Field ?R) unfolding Field-oprod Field-osum bij-betw-def inj-on-def

by (fastforce simp: image-Un image-iff split: sum.splits)

moreover

have compat ?L ?R ?f

proof (unfold compat-def, intro allI impI)

```

fix a b
assume (a, b) ∈ ?L
thus (?f a, ?f b) ∈ ?R
  unfolding oprod-def[of r s +o t] osum-def[of r *o s r *o t] Field-oprod
Field-osum
  unfolding oprod-def osum-def Field-oprod Field-osum image-iff image-Un by
auto
qed
ultimately have iso ?L ?R ?f using r s t
  by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro: oprod-Well-order osum-Well-order)
thus ?thesis using r s t unfolding ordIso-def by (auto intro: oprod-Well-order
osum-Well-order)
qed

lemma ozero-oexp: ¬ (s =o ozero) ⇒ ozero ^o s =o ozero
  unfolding oexp-def[OF ozero-Well-order s] FinFunc-def
  by simp (metis Func-emp2 bot.extremum-uniqueI emptyE well-order-on-domain
s subrelI)

lemma oone-oexp: oone ^o s =o oone (is ?L =o ?R)
  by (rule oone-ordIso-oexp[OF ordIso-reflexive[OF oone-Well-order] s])

lemma oexp-monoR:
  assumes oone <o r s <o t
  shows r ^o s <o r ^o t (is ?L <o ?R)
proof –
  interpret rs: wo-rel2 r s by unfold-locales (rule r, rule s)
  interpret rt: wo-rel2 r t by unfold-locales (rule r, rule t)
  interpret rexpt: wo-rel r ^o t by unfold-locales (rule rt.oexp-Well-order)
  interpret r: wo-rel r by unfold-locales (rule r)
  interpret s: wo-rel s by unfold-locales (rule s)
  interpret t: wo-rel t by unfold-locales (rule t)
  have Field r ≠ {} by (metis assms(1) internalize-ordLess not-psubset-empty)
  moreover
  { assume Field r = {r.zero}
    hence r = {(r.zero, r.zero)} using refl-onD[OF r.REFL, of r.zero] unfolding
Field-def by auto
    hence r =o oone by (metis oone-ordIso ordIso-symmetric)
    with not-ordLess-ordIso[OF assms(1)] have False by (metis ordIso-symmetric)
  }
  ultimately obtain x where x: x ∈ Field r r.zero ∈ Field r x ≠ r.zero
  by (metis insert-iff r.zero-in-Field subsetI subset-singletonD)
  moreover from assms(2) obtain f where embedS s t f unfolding ordLess-def
by blast
  hence *: inj-on f (Field s) compat s t f ofilter t (f ‘ Field s) f ‘ Field s ⊂ Field t
  using embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter[OF s t, of f] embedS-iff[OF s, of t f]
  unfolding embedS-def by auto
  note invff = the-inv-into-f-f[OF *(1)] and injfD = inj-onD[OF *(1)]
  define F where [abs-def]: F g z =

```

```

    (if z ∈ f ‘ Field s then g (the-inv-into (Field s) f z)
     else if z ∈ Field t then r.zero else undefined) for g z
  from *(4) x(2) the-inv-into-f-eq[OF *(1)] have FLR: F ‘ Field ?L ⊆ Field ?R
    unfolding rt.Field-oexp rs.Field-oexp FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def sup-
port-def F-def
    by (fastforce split: option.splits if-split-asm elim!: finite-surj[of - - f])
  have inj-on F (Field ?L) unfolding rs.Field-oexp inj-on-def fun-eq-iff
  proof safe
    fix g h x assume g ∈ FinFunc r s h ∈ FinFunc r s ∀ y. F g y = F h y
    with invff show g x = h x unfolding F-def fun-eq-iff FinFunc-def Func-def
    by auto (metis image-eqI)
  qed
  moreover
  have compat ?L ?R F unfolding compat-def rs.oexp-def rt.oexp-def
  proof (safe elim!: bspec[OF iffD1[OF image-subset-iff FLR[unfolded rs.Field-oexp
rt.Field-oexp]]])
    fix g h assume gh: g ∈ FinFunc r s h ∈ FinFunc r s F g ≠ F h
    let m = s.max-fun-diff g h in (g m, h m) ∈ r
    hence g ≠ h by auto
    note max-fun-diff-in = rs.max-fun-diff-in[OF ⟨g ≠ h⟩ gh(1,2)]
    and max-fun-diff-max = rs.max-fun-diff-max[OF ⟨g ≠ h⟩ gh(1,2)]
    with *(4) invff *(2) have t.max-fun-diff (F g) (F h) = f (s.max-fun-diff g h)
      unfolding t.max-fun-diff-def compat-def
      by (intro t.maxim-equality) (auto simp: t.isMaxim-def F-def dest: injfD)
    with gh invff max-fun-diff-in
      show let m = t.max-fun-diff (F g) (F h) in (F g m, F h m) ∈ r
      unfolding F-def Let-def by (auto simp: dest: injfD)
  qed
  moreover
  from FLR have ofilter ?R (F ‘ Field ?L)
  unfolding rexpt.ofilter-def under-def rs.Field-oexp rt.Field-oexp unfolding rt.oexp-def
  proof (safe elim!: imageI)
    fix g h assume gh: g ∈ FinFunc r s h ∈ FinFunc r t F g ∈ FinFunc r t
    let m = t.max-fun-diff h (F g) in (h m, F g m) ∈ r
    thus h ∈ F ‘ FinFunc r s
  proof (cases h = F g)
    case False
      hence max-Field: t.max-fun-diff h (F g) ∈ {a ∈ Field t. h a ≠ F g a}
        by (rule rt.max-fun-diff-in[OF - gh(2,3)])
      { assume *: t.max-fun-diff h (F g) ∉ f ‘ Field s
        with max-Field have **: F g (t.max-fun-diff h (F g)) = r.zero unfolding
F-def by auto
        with * gh(4) have h (t.max-fun-diff h (F g)) = r.zero unfolding Let-def
by auto
        with ** have False using max-Field gh(2,3) unfolding FinFunc-def
Func-def by auto
      }
      hence max-f-Field: t.max-fun-diff h (F g) ∈ f ‘ Field s by blast
    { fix z assume z: z ∈ Field t - f ‘ Field s

```

```

    have (t.max-fun-diff h (F g), z) ∈ t
    proof (rule ccontr)
      assume (t.max-fun-diff h (F g), z) ∉ t
      hence (z, t.max-fun-diff h (F g)) ∈ t using t.in-notinI[of t.max-fun-diff
h (F g) z]
      z max-Field by auto
      hence z ∈ f ‘ Field s using *(3) max-f-Field unfolding t.ofilter-def
under-def
      by fastforce
      with z show False by blast
    qed
    hence h z = r.zero using rt.max-fun-diff-le-eq[OF - False gh(2,3), of z]
      z max-f-Field unfolding F-def by auto
  } note ** = this
  with *(3) gh(2) have h = F (λx. if x ∈ Field s then h (f x) else undefined)
using invff
  unfolding F-def fun-eq-iff FinFunc-def Func-def Let-def t.ofilter-def under-def
by auto
  moreover from gh(2) *(1,3) have (λx. if x ∈ Field s then h (f x) else
undefined) ∈ FinFunc r s
  unfolding FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def support-def t.ofilter-def
under-def
  by (auto intro: subset-inj-on elim!: finite-imageD[OF finite-subset[rotated]])
  ultimately show ?thesis by (rule image-eqI)
  qed simp
  qed
  ultimately have embed ?L ?R F using embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter[of ?L ?R
F]
  by (auto intro: oexp-Well-order r s t)
  moreover
  from FLR have F ‘ Field ?L ⊂ Field ?R
  proof (intro psubsetI)
    from *(4) obtain z where z: z ∈ Field t z ∉ f ‘ Field s by auto
    define h where [abs-def]: h z' =
      (if z' ∈ Field t then if z' = z then x else r.zero else undefined) for z'
    from z x(3) have rt.SUPP h = {z} unfolding support-def h-def by simp
    with x have h ∈ Field ?R unfolding h-def rt.Field-oexp FinFunc-def Func-def
fin-support-def
    by auto
    moreover
    { fix g
      from z have F g z = r.zero h z = x unfolding support-def h-def F-def by
auto
      with x(3) have F g ≠ h unfolding fun-eq-iff by fastforce
    }
    hence h ∉ F ‘ Field ?L by blast
    ultimately show F ‘ Field ?L ≠ Field ?R by blast
  qed
  ultimately have embedS ?L ?R F using embedS-iff[OF rs.oexp-Well-order, of

```

```

?R F] by auto
thus ?thesis unfolding ordLess-def using r s t by (auto intro: oexp-Well-order)
qed

lemma oexp-monoL:
  assumes  $r \leq_o s$ 
  shows  $r \hat{\circ} t \leq_o s \hat{\circ} t$ 
proof -
  interpret rt: wo-rel2 r t by unfold-locales (rule r, rule t)
  interpret st: wo-rel2 s t by unfold-locales (rule s, rule t)
  interpret r: wo-rel r by unfold-locales (rule r)
  interpret s: wo-rel s by unfold-locales (rule s)
  interpret t: wo-rel t by unfold-locales (rule t)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases t = {})
    case True thus ?thesis using r s unfolding ordLeq-def2 underS-def by auto
  next
    case False thus ?thesis
    proof (cases r = {})
      case True thus ?thesis using t <math>t \neq \{\}</math> st.oexp-Well-order ozero-ordLeq[unfolded
zero-def]
      by auto
    next
      case False
      from assms obtain f where f: embed r s f unfolding ordLeq-def by blast
      hence f-underS:  $\forall a \in \text{Field } r. f a \in \text{Field } s \wedge f \text{ ' underS } r a \subseteq \text{underS } s (f a)$ 
      using embed-in-Field embed-underS2 rt.rWELL by fastforce
      from f <math>t \neq \{\}</math> False have *:  $\text{Field } r \neq \{\} \text{Field } s \neq \{\} \text{Field } t \neq \{\}$ 
      unfolding Field-def embed-def under-def bij-betw-def by auto
      with f obtain x where s.zero = f x x  $\in \text{Field } r$  unfolding embed-def
      bij-betw-def
      using s.zero-under subsetD[OF under-Field[of r]]
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) f-inv-into-f f-underS inv-into-into r.zero-in-Field)
      with f have fz: f r.zero = s.zero and inj: inj-on f (Field r) and compat:
      compat r s f
      unfolding embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter[OF r s] compat-def
      by (fastforce intro: s.leq-zero-imp)+
      let ?f =  $\lambda g x. \text{if } x \in \text{Field } t \text{ then } f (g x) \text{ else undefined}$ 
      { fix g assume g:  $g \in \text{Field } (r \hat{\circ} t)$ 
      with fz f-underS have Field-fg:  $?f g \in \text{Field } (s \hat{\circ} t)$ 
      unfolding st.Field-oexp rt.Field-oexp FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def
      support-def
      by (auto elim!: finite-subset[rotated])
      moreover
      have ?f ' underS (r  $\hat{\circ} t$ ) g  $\subseteq$  underS (s  $\hat{\circ} t$ ) (?f g)
      proof safe
        fix h
        assume h-underS:  $h \in \text{underS } (r \hat{\circ} t) g$ 
        hence h  $\in \text{Field } (r \hat{\circ} t)$  unfolding underS-def Field-def by auto

```

```

    with fz f-underS have Field-fh: ?f h ∈ Field (s  $\hat{\circ}$  t)
    unfolding st.Field-oexp rt.Field-oexp FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def
support-def
    by (auto elim!: finite-subset[rotated])
    from h-underS have h ≠ g and hg: (h, g) ∈ rt.oexp unfolding underS-def
by auto
    with f inj have neg: ?f h ≠ ?f g
    unfolding fun-eq-iff inj-on-def rt.oexp-def map-option-case FinFunc-def
Func-def Let-def
    by simp metis
    with hg have t.max-fun-diff (?f h) (?f g) = t.max-fun-diff h g unfolding
rt.oexp-def
    using rt.max-fun-diff[OF <h ≠ g>] rt.max-fun-diff-in[OF <h ≠ g>]
    by (subst t.max-fun-diff-def, intro t.maxim-equality)
    (auto simp: t.isMaxim-def intro: inj-onD[OF inj] intro!: rt.max-fun-diff-max)
    with Field-fg Field-fh hg fz f-underS compat neg have (?f h, ?f g) ∈ st.oexp
    using rt.max-fun-diff[OF <h ≠ g>] rt.max-fun-diff-in[OF <h ≠ g>]
unfolding st.Field-oexp
    unfolding rt.oexp-def st.oexp-def Let-def compat-def by auto
    with neg show ?f h ∈ underS (s  $\hat{\circ}$  t) (?f g) unfolding underS-def by
auto
    qed
    ultimately have ?f g ∈ Field (s  $\hat{\circ}$  t) ∧ ?f ‘ underS (r  $\hat{\circ}$  t) g ⊆ underS
(s  $\hat{\circ}$  t) (?f g)
    by blast
  }
  thus ?thesis unfolding ordLeq-def2 by (fastforce intro: oexp-Well-order r s
t)
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma ordLeq-oexp2:
  assumes oone < o r
  shows s ≤ o r  $\hat{\circ}$  s
proof -
  interpret rs: wo-rel2 r s by unfold-locales (rule r, rule s)
  interpret r: wo-rel r by unfold-locales (rule r)
  interpret s: wo-rel s by unfold-locales (rule s)
  from assms well-order-on-domain[OF r] obtain x where
    x: x ∈ Field r r.zero ∈ Field r x ≠ r.zero
  unfolding ordLess-def oone-def embedS-def[abs-def] bij-betw-def embed-def un-
der-def
  by (auto simp: image-def)
  (metis (lifting) equals0D mem-Collect-eq r.zero-in-Field singletonI)
  let ?f = λa b. if b ∈ Field s then if b = a then x else r.zero else undefined
  from x(3) have SUPP: ∧y. y ∈ Field s ⇒ rs.SUPP (?f y) = {y} unfolding
support-def by auto
  { fix y assume y: y ∈ Field s

```

with $x(1,2)$ *SUPP* **have** $?f y \in \text{Field } (r \hat{\circ} s)$ **unfolding** *rs.Field-oe xp*
by (*auto simp: FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def*)
moreover
have $?f ' \text{underS } s y \subseteq \text{underS } (r \hat{\circ} s) (?f y)$
proof safe
fix z
assume $z \in \text{underS } s y$
hence $z: z \neq y \ (z, y) \in s \ z \in \text{Field } s$ **unfolding** *underS-def Field-def* **by**
auto
from $x(3) \ y \ z(1,3)$ **have** $?f z \neq ?f y$ **unfolding** *fun-eq-iff* **by** *auto*
moreover
{ from $x(1,2)$ **have** $?f z \in \text{FinFunc } r \ s \ ?f y \in \text{FinFunc } r \ s$
unfolding *FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def* **by** (*auto simp: SUPP[OF*
 $z(3)] \ SUPP[OF y]$)
moreover
from $x(3) \ y \ z(1,2)$ *refl-onD[OF s.REFL]* **have** $s.\text{max-fun-diff } (?f z) (?f y)$
 $= y$
unfolding *rs.max-fun-diff-alt SUPP[OF z(3)] SUPP[OF y]*
by (*intro s.maxim-equality*) (*auto simp: s.isMaxim-def*)
ultimately have $(?f z, ?f y) \in \text{rs.oe xp}$ **using** $y \ x(1)$
unfolding *rs.oe xp-def Let-def* **by** *auto*
}
ultimately show $?f z \in \text{underS } (r \hat{\circ} s) (?f y)$ **unfolding** *underS-def* **by**
blast
qed
ultimately have $?f y \in \text{Field } (r \hat{\circ} s) \wedge ?f ' \text{underS } s y \subseteq \text{underS } (r \hat{\circ} s) (?f$
 $y)$ **by** *blast*
}
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *ordLeq-def2* **by** (*fast intro: oe xp-Well-order r s*)
qed

lemma *FinFunc-osum*:
 $fg \in \text{FinFunc } r \ (s + o \ t) = (fg \ o \ \text{Inl} \in \text{FinFunc } r \ s \wedge fg \ o \ \text{Inr} \in \text{FinFunc } r \ t)$
(is $?L = (?R1 \wedge ?R2)$ **)**
proof safe
assume $?L$
from $\langle ?L \rangle$ **show** $?R1$ **unfolding** *FinFunc-def Field-osum Func-def Int-iff fin-support-Field-osum*
o-def
by (*auto split: sum.splits*)
from $\langle ?L \rangle$ **show** $?R2$ **unfolding** *FinFunc-def Field-osum Func-def Int-iff fin-support-Field-osum*
o-def
by (*auto split: sum.splits*)
next
assume $?R1 \ ?R2$
thus $?L$ **unfolding** *FinFunc-def Field-osum Func-def*
by (*auto simp: fin-support-Field-osum o-def image-iff split: sum.splits*) (*metis*
 sumE)
qed

lemma *max-fun-diff-eq-Inl*:
assumes *wo-rel.max-fun-diff* ($s + o\ t$) (*case-sum* $f1\ g1$) (*case-sum* $f2\ g2$) = *Inl x*
case-sum $f1\ g1 \neq \text{case-sum } f2\ g2$
case-sum $f1\ g1 \in \text{FinFunc } r\ (s + o\ t)$ *case-sum* $f2\ g2 \in \text{FinFunc } r\ (s + o\ t)$
shows *wo-rel.max-fun-diff* $s\ f1\ f2 = x$ (**is** $?P$) $g1 = g2$ (**is** $?Q$)
proof –
interpret *st*: *wo-rel* $s + o\ t$ **by** *unfold-locales* (*rule* *osum-Well-order*[*OF s t*])
interpret *s*: *wo-rel* s **by** *unfold-locales* (*rule s*)
interpret *rst*: *wo-rel2* $r\ s + o\ t$ **by** *unfold-locales* (*rule r*, *rule* *osum-Well-order*[*OF s t*])
from *assms*(1) **have** *: *st.isMaxim* $\{a \in \text{Field } (s + o\ t). \text{case-sum } f1\ g1\ a \neq \text{case-sum } f2\ g2\ a\}$ (*Inl x*)
using *rst.isMaxim-max-fun-diff*[*OF assms*(2–4)] **by** *simp*
hence *s.isMaxim* $\{a \in \text{Field } s. f1\ a \neq f2\ a\}$ x
unfolding *st.isMaxim-def s.isMaxim-def Field-osum* **by** (*auto simp: osum-def*)
thus $?P$ **unfolding** *s.max-fun-diff-def* **by** (*rule s.maxim-equality*)
from *assms*(3,4) **have** **: $g1 \in \text{FinFunc } r\ t\ g2 \in \text{FinFunc } r\ t$ **unfolding** *FinFunc-osum*
by (*auto simp: o-def*)
show $?Q$
proof
fix x
from * ** **show** $g1\ x = g2\ x$ **unfolding** *st.isMaxim-def Field-osum FinFunc-def Func-def fun-eq-iff*
unfolding *osum-def* **by** (*case-tac* $x \in \text{Field } t$) *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *max-fun-diff-eq-Inr*:
assumes *wo-rel.max-fun-diff* ($s + o\ t$) (*case-sum* $f1\ g1$) (*case-sum* $f2\ g2$) = *Inr x*
case-sum $f1\ g1 \neq \text{case-sum } f2\ g2$
case-sum $f1\ g1 \in \text{FinFunc } r\ (s + o\ t)$ *case-sum* $f2\ g2 \in \text{FinFunc } r\ (s + o\ t)$
shows *wo-rel.max-fun-diff* $t\ g1\ g2 = x$ (**is** $?P$) $g1 \neq g2$ (**is** $?Q$)
proof –
interpret *st*: *wo-rel* $s + o\ t$ **by** *unfold-locales* (*rule* *osum-Well-order*[*OF s t*])
interpret *t*: *wo-rel* t **by** *unfold-locales* (*rule t*)
interpret *rst*: *wo-rel2* $r\ s + o\ t$ **by** *unfold-locales* (*rule r*, *rule* *osum-Well-order*[*OF s t*])
from *assms*(1) **have** *: *st.isMaxim* $\{a \in \text{Field } (s + o\ t). \text{case-sum } f1\ g1\ a \neq \text{case-sum } f2\ g2\ a\}$ (*Inr x*)
using *rst.isMaxim-max-fun-diff*[*OF assms*(2–4)] **by** *simp*
hence *t.isMaxim* $\{a \in \text{Field } t. g1\ a \neq g2\ a\}$ x
unfolding *st.isMaxim-def t.isMaxim-def Field-osum* **by** (*auto simp: osum-def*)
thus $?P\ ?Q$ **unfolding** *t.max-fun-diff-def fun-eq-iff*
by (*auto intro: t.maxim-equality simp: t.isMaxim-def*)
qed

lemma *oexp-osum*: $r \hat{o}\ (s + o\ t) = o\ (r \hat{o}\ s) * o\ (r \hat{o}\ t)$ (**is** $?R = o\ ?L$)

```

proof (rule ordIso-symmetric)
  interpret rst: wo-rel2 r s + o t by unfold-locales (rule r, rule osum-Well-order[OF
s t])
  interpret rs: wo-rel2 r s by unfold-locales (rule r, rule s)
  interpret rt: wo-rel2 r t by unfold-locales (rule r, rule t)
  let ?f =  $\lambda(f, g).$  case-sum f g
  have bij-betw ?f (Field ?L) (Field ?R)
  unfolding bij-betw-def rst.Field-oexp rs.Field-oexp rt.Field-oexp Field-oprod proof
(intro conjI)
    show inj-on ?f (FinFunc r s  $\times$  FinFunc r t) unfolding inj-on-def
      by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff split: sum.splits)
    show ?f ' (FinFunc r s  $\times$  FinFunc r t) = FinFunc r (s + o t)
    proof safe
      fix fg assume fg  $\in$  FinFunc r (s + o t)
      thus fg  $\in$  ?f ' (FinFunc r s  $\times$  FinFunc r t)
        by (intro image-eqI[of - - (fg o Inl, fg o Inr)])
          (auto simp: FinFunc-osum fun-eq-iff split: sum.splits)
      qed (auto simp: FinFunc-osum o-def)
    qed
  moreover have compat ?L ?R ?f
    unfolding compat-def rst.Field-oexp rs.Field-oexp rt.Field-oexp oprod-def
    unfolding rst.oexp-def Let-def rs.oexp-def rt.oexp-def
      by (fastforce simp: Field-osum FinFunc-osum o-def split: sum.splits
dest: max-fun-diff-eq-Inl max-fun-diff-eq-Inr)
  ultimately have iso ?L ?R ?f using r s t
    by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro: oexp-Well-order oprod-Well-order osum-Well-order)
  thus ?L = o ?R using r s t unfolding ordIso-def
    by (auto intro: oexp-Well-order oprod-Well-order osum-Well-order)
qed

```

definition rev-curr f b = (if b $\in$ Field t then $\lambda a. f(a, b)$ else undefined)

lemma rev-curr-FinFunc:

```

  assumes Field: Field r  $\neq$  {}
  shows rev-curr ' (FinFunc r (s * o t)) = FinFunc (r  $\hat{\circ}$  s) t
proof safe
  interpret rs: wo-rel2 r s by unfold-locales (rule r, rule s)
  interpret rst: wo-rel2 r  $\hat{\circ}$  s t by unfold-locales (rule oexp-Well-order[OF r s],
rule t)
  fix g assume g: g  $\in$  FinFunc r (s * o t)
  hence finite (rst.SUPP (rev-curr g))  $\forall x \in$  Field t. finite (rs.SUPP (rev-curr g
x))
  unfolding FinFunc-def Field-oprod rs.Field-oexp Func-def fin-support-def sup-
port-def
    rs.zero-oexp[OF Field] rev-curr-def by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff rs.const-def elim!:
finite-surj)
  with g show rev-curr g  $\in$  FinFunc (r  $\hat{\circ}$  s) t
    unfolding FinFunc-def Field-oprod rs.Field-oexp Func-def
    by (auto simp: rev-curr-def fin-support-def)

```

```

next
  interpret rs: wo-rel2 r s by unfold-locales (rule r, rule s)
  interpret rst: wo-rel2 r  $\hat{o}$  s t by unfold-locales (rule oexp-Well-order[OF r s],
rule t)
  fix fg assume *: fg  $\in$  FinFunc (r  $\hat{o}$  s) t
  let ?g =  $\lambda(a, b).$  if (a, b)  $\in$  Field (s * o t) then fg b a else undefined
  show fg  $\in$  rev-curr ' FinFunc r (s * o t)
  proof (rule image-eqI[of - - ?g])
    show fg = rev-curr ?g
  proof
    fix x
    from * show fg x = rev-curr ?g x
    unfolding FinFunc-def rs.Field-oexp Func-def rev-curr-def Field-oprod by
auto
  qed
next
  have **: ( $\bigcup g \in fg$  ' Field t. rs.SUPP g) =
    ( $\bigcup g \in fg$  ' Field t - {rs.const}. rs.SUPP g)
    unfolding support-def by auto
  from * have ***:  $\forall g \in fg$  ' Field t. finite (rs.SUPP g) finite (rst.SUPP fg)
  unfolding rs.Field-oexp FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def Option.these-def
by force+
  hence finite (fg ' Field t - {rs.const}) using *
  unfolding support-def rs.zero-oexp[OF Field] FinFunc-def Func-def
  by (elim finite-surj[of - - fg]) (fastforce simp: image-iff Option.these-def)
  with *** have finite (( $\bigcup g \in fg$  ' Field t. rs.SUPP g)  $\times$  rst.SUPP fg)
  by (subst **) (auto intro!: finite-cartesian-product)
  with * show ?g  $\in$  FinFunc r (s * o t)
  unfolding Field-oprod rs.Field-oexp FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def
Option.these-def
  support-def rs.zero-oexp[OF Field] by (auto elim!: finite-subset[rotated])
  qed
qed

lemma rev-curr-app-FinFunc[elim!]:
   $\llbracket f \in \text{FinFunc } r (s * o t); z \in \text{Field } t \rrbracket \implies \text{rev-curr } f z \in \text{FinFunc } r s$ 
  unfolding rev-curr-def FinFunc-def Func-def Field-oprod fin-support-def sup-
port-def
  by (auto elim: finite-surj)

lemma max-fun-diff-oprod:
  assumes Field: Field r  $\neq$  {} and f  $\neq$  g f  $\in$  FinFunc r (s * o t) g  $\in$  FinFunc r
(s * o t)
  defines m  $\equiv$  wo-rel.max-fun-diff t (rev-curr f) (rev-curr g)
  shows wo-rel.max-fun-diff (s * o t) f g =
    (wo-rel.max-fun-diff s (rev-curr f m) (rev-curr g m), m)
  proof -
    interpret st: wo-rel s * o t by unfold-locales (rule oprod-Well-order[OF s t])
    interpret s: wo-rel s by unfold-locales (rule s)

```

```

interpret  $t$ :  $wo\text{-}rel\ t$  by  $unfold\text{-}locales\ (rule\ t)$ 
interpret  $r\text{-}st$ :  $wo\text{-}rel2\ r\ s\ *o\ t$  by  $unfold\text{-}locales\ (rule\ r,\ rule\ oprod\text{-}Well\text{-}order[OF\ s\ t])$ 
interpret  $rs$ :  $wo\text{-}rel2\ r\ s$  by  $unfold\text{-}locales\ (rule\ r,\ rule\ s)$ 
interpret  $rst$ :  $wo\text{-}rel2\ r\ \hat{o}\ s\ t$  by  $unfold\text{-}locales\ (rule\ oexp\text{-}Well\text{-}order[OF\ r\ s],\ rule\ t)$ 
from  $fun\text{-}unequal\text{-}in\text{-}support[OF\ assms(2),\ of\ Field\ (s\ *o\ t)\ Field\ r\ Field\ r]$ 
 $assms(3,4)$ 
have  $diff1$ :  $rev\text{-}curr\ f \neq rev\text{-}curr\ g$ 
 $rev\text{-}curr\ f \in FinFunc\ (r\ \hat{o}\ s)\ t\ rev\text{-}curr\ g \in FinFunc\ (r\ \hat{o}\ s)\ t$  using
 $rev\text{-}curr\text{-}FinFunc[OF\ Field]$ 
unfolding  $fun\text{-}eq\text{-}iff\ rev\text{-}curr\text{-}def[abs\text{-}def]\ FinFunc\text{-}def\ support\text{-}def\ Field\text{-}oprod$ 
by  $auto\ fast$ 
hence  $diff2$ :  $rev\text{-}curr\ f\ m \neq rev\text{-}curr\ g\ m\ rev\text{-}curr\ f\ m \in FinFunc\ r\ s\ rev\text{-}curr\ g\ m \in FinFunc\ r\ s$ 
using  $rst.\max\text{-}fun\text{-}diff[OF\ diff1]\ assms(3,4)\ rst.\max\text{-}fun\text{-}diff\text{-}in$  unfolding
 $m\text{-}def$  by  $auto$ 
show  $?thesis$  unfolding  $st.\max\text{-}fun\text{-}diff\text{-}def$ 
proof ( $intro\ st.\maxim\text{-}equality,\ unfold\ st.isMaxim\text{-}def\ Field\text{-}oprod,\ safe$ )
show  $s.\max\text{-}fun\text{-}diff\ (rev\text{-}curr\ f\ m)\ (rev\text{-}curr\ g\ m) \in Field\ s$ 
using  $rs.\max\text{-}fun\text{-}diff\text{-}in[OF\ diff2]$  by  $auto$ 
next
show  $m \in Field\ t$  using  $rst.\max\text{-}fun\text{-}diff\text{-}in[OF\ diff1]$  unfolding  $m\text{-}def$  by
 $auto$ 
next
assume  $f\ (s.\max\text{-}fun\text{-}diff\ (rev\text{-}curr\ f\ m)\ (rev\text{-}curr\ g\ m),\ m) =$ 
 $g\ (s.\max\text{-}fun\text{-}diff\ (rev\text{-}curr\ f\ m)\ (rev\text{-}curr\ g\ m),\ m)$ 
 $(is\ f\ (?x,\ m) = g\ (?x,\ m))$ 
hence  $rev\text{-}curr\ f\ m\ ?x = rev\text{-}curr\ g\ m\ ?x$  unfolding  $rev\text{-}curr\text{-}def$  by  $auto$ 
with  $rs.\max\text{-}fun\text{-}diff[OF\ diff2]$  show  $False$  by  $auto$ 
next
fix  $x\ y$  assume  $f\ (x,\ y) \neq g\ (x,\ y)\ x \in Field\ s\ y \in Field\ t$ 
thus  $((x,\ y),\ (s.\max\text{-}fun\text{-}diff\ (rev\text{-}curr\ f\ m)\ (rev\text{-}curr\ g\ m),\ m)) \in s\ *o\ t$ 
using  $rst.\max\text{-}fun\text{-}diff\text{-}in[OF\ diff1]\ rs.\max\text{-}fun\text{-}diff\text{-}in[OF\ diff2]\ diff1\ diff2$ 
 $rst.\max\text{-}fun\text{-}diff\text{-}max[OF\ diff1,\ of\ y]\ rs.\max\text{-}fun\text{-}diff\text{-}le\text{-}eq[OF\ \text{-}\ diff2,\ of\ x]$ 
unfolding  $oprod\text{-}def\ m\text{-}def\ rev\text{-}curr\text{-}def\ fun\text{-}eq\text{-}iff$  by ( $auto\ intro: s.in\text{-}notinI$ )
qed
qed

lemma  $oexp\text{-}oexp$ :  $(r\ \hat{o}\ s)\ \hat{o}\ t = o\ r\ \hat{o}\ (s\ *o\ t)$  (is  $?R = o\ ?L$ )
proof ( $cases\ r = \{\}$ )
case  $True$ 
interpret  $rs$ :  $wo\text{-}rel2\ r\ s$  by  $unfold\text{-}locales\ (rule\ r,\ rule\ s)$ 
interpret  $rst$ :  $wo\text{-}rel2\ r\ \hat{o}\ s\ t$  by  $unfold\text{-}locales\ (rule\ oexp\text{-}Well\text{-}order[OF\ r\ s],\ rule\ t)$ 
show  $?thesis$ 
proof ( $cases\ s = \{\} \vee t = \{\}$ )
case  $True$  with  $\langle r = \{\} \rangle$  show  $?thesis$ 
by ( $auto\ simp: oexp\text{-}empty[OF\ oexp\text{-}Well\text{-}order[OF\ Well\text{-}order\text{-}empty\ s]]$ )

```

```

      intro!: ordIso-transitive[OF ordIso-symmetric[OF oone-ordIso] oone-ordIso]
      ordIso-transitive[OF oone-ordIso-oxp[OF ordIso-symmetric[OF oone-ordIso]
t] oone-ordIso])
    next
      case False
      hence  $s * o t \neq \{\}$  unfolding oprod-def Field-def by fastforce
      with False show ?thesis
        using  $\langle r = \{\} \rangle$  ozero-ordIso
        by (auto simp add: s t oprod-Well-order ozero-def)
    qed
  next
    case False
    hence Field: Field  $r \neq \{\}$  by (metis Field-def Range-empty-iff Un-empty)
    show ?thesis
    proof (rule ordIso-symmetric)
      interpret r-st: wo-rel2  $r s * o t$  by unfold-locales (rule r, rule oprod-Well-order[OF
s t])
      interpret rs: wo-rel2  $r s$  by unfold-locales (rule r, rule s)
      interpret rst: wo-rel2  $r \hat{o} s t$  by unfold-locales (rule oexp-Well-order[OF r s],
rule t)
      have bij: bij-betw rev-curr (Field ?L) (Field ?R)
      unfolding bij-betw-def r-st.Field-oxp rst.Field-oxp Field-oprod proof (intro
conjI)
        show inj-on rev-curr (FinFunc  $r (s * o t)$ )
        unfolding inj-on-def FinFunc-def Func-def Field-oprod rs.Field-oxp rev-curr-def[abs-def]
        by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff) metis
        show rev-curr ‘  $(\text{FinFunc } r (s * o t)) = \text{FinFunc } (r \hat{o} s) t$  by (rule
rev-curr-FinFunc[OF Field])
      qed
      moreover
      have compat ?L ?R rev-curr
      unfolding compat-def proof safe
        fix fg1 fg2 assume fg:  $(fg1, fg2) \in r \hat{o} (s * o t)$ 
        show  $(\text{rev-curr } fg1, \text{rev-curr } fg2) \in r \hat{o} s \hat{o} t$ 
        proof (cases fg1 = fg2)
          assume fg1 = fg2
          with fg show ?thesis
          using rst.max-fun-diff-in[of rev-curr fg1 rev-curr fg2]
          max-fun-diff-oprod[OF Field, of fg1 fg2] rev-curr-FinFunc[OF Field,
symmetric]
        unfolding r-st.Field-oxp rs.Field-oxp rst.Field-oxp unfolding r-st.oxp-def
rst.oxp-def
        by (auto simp: rs.oxp-def Let-def) (auto simp: rev-curr-def[abs-def])
      next
        assume fg1 = fg2
        with fg bij show ?thesis unfolding r-st.Field-oxp rs.Field-oxp rst.Field-oxp
bij-betw-def
        by (auto simp: r-st.oxp-def rst.oxp-def)
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

qed
ultimately have iso ?L ?R rev-curr using r s t
  by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro: oexp-Well-order oprod-Well-order)
thus ?L =o ?R using r s t unfolding ordIso-def
  by (auto intro: oexp-Well-order oprod-Well-order)
qed
qed
end
end

```

10 Cardinal-Order Relations

```

theory Cardinal-Order-Relation
imports Wellorder-Constructions
begin

```

```

declare
  card-order-on-well-order-on[simp]
  card-of-card-order-on[simp]
  card-of-well-order-on[simp]
  Field-card-of[simp]
  card-of-Card-order[simp]
  card-of-Well-order[simp]
  card-of-least[simp]
  card-of-unique[simp]
  card-of-mono1[simp]
  card-of-mono2[simp]
  card-of-cong[simp]
  card-of-Field-ordLess[simp]
  card-of-Field-ordIso[simp]
  card-of-underS[simp]
  ordLess-Field[simp]
  card-of-empty[simp]
  card-of-empty1[simp]
  card-of-image[simp]
  card-of-singl-ordLeq[simp]
  Card-order-singl-ordLeq[simp]
  card-of-Pow[simp]
  Card-order-Pow[simp]
  card-of-Plus1[simp]
  Card-order-Plus1[simp]
  card-of-Plus2[simp]
  Card-order-Plus2[simp]
  card-of-Plus-mono1[simp]
  card-of-Plus-mono2[simp]
  card-of-Plus-mono[simp]
  card-of-Plus-cong2[simp]

```

card-of-Plus-cong[simp]
card-of-Un-Plus-ordLeq[simp]
card-of-Times1[simp]
card-of-Times2[simp]
card-of-Times3[simp]
card-of-Times-mono1[simp]
card-of-Times-mono2[simp]
card-of-ordIso-finite[simp]
card-of-Times-same-infinite[simp]
card-of-Times-infinite-simps[simp]
card-of-Plus-infinite1[simp]
card-of-Plus-infinite2[simp]
card-of-Plus-ordLess-infinite[simp]
card-of-Plus-ordLess-infinite-Field[simp]
infinite-cartesian-product[simp]
cardSuc-Card-order[simp]
cardSuc-greater[simp]
cardSuc-ordLeq[simp]
cardSuc-ordLeq-ordLess[simp]
cardSuc-mono-ordLeq[simp]
cardSuc-invar-ordIso[simp]
card-of-cardSuc-finite[simp]
cardSuc-finite[simp]
card-of-Plus-ordLeq-infinite-Field[simp]
curr-in[intro, simp]

10.1 Cardinal of a set

lemma *card-of-inj-rel*: **assumes** *INJ*: $\bigwedge x y y'. \llbracket (x, y) \in R; (x, y') \in R \rrbracket \implies y = y'$
shows $|\{y. \exists x. (x, y) \in R\}| \leq_o |\{x. \exists y. (x, y) \in R\}|$

proof –

let $?Y = \{y. \exists x. (x, y) \in R\}$ **let** $?X = \{x. \exists y. (x, y) \in R\}$
let $?f = \lambda y. \text{SOME } x. (x, y) \in R$
have $?f ' ?Y \leq ?X$ **by** (*auto dest: someI*)
moreover have *inj-on* $?f ?Y$
unfolding *inj-on-def* **proof**(*auto*)
fix $y1 x1 y2 x2$
assume $*(x1, y1) \in R (x2, y2) \in R$ **and** $** : ?f y1 = ?f y2$
hence $(?f y1, y1) \in R$ **using** *someI*[*of* $\lambda x. (x, y1) \in R$] **by** *auto*
moreover have $(?f y2, y2) \in R$ **using** $*$ *someI*[*of* $\lambda x. (x, y2) \in R$] **by** *auto*
ultimately show $y1 = y2$ **using** $**$ *INJ* **by** *auto*
qed
ultimately show $?Y \leq_o ?X$ **using** *card-of-ordLeq* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *card-of-unique2*: $\llbracket \text{card-order-on } B \text{ } r; \text{bij-betw } f \text{ } A \text{ } B \rrbracket \implies r =_o |A|$
using *card-of-ordIso card-of-unique ordIso-equivalence* **by** *blast*

lemma *internalize-card-of-ordLess*:

$(|A| <_o r) = (\exists B < \text{Field } r. |A| =_o |B| \wedge |B| <_o r)$
proof
 assume $|A| <_o r$
 then obtain p where $1: \text{Field } p < \text{Field } r \wedge |A| =_o p \wedge p <_o r$
 using *internalize-ordLess*[of $|A|$ r] **by** *blast*
 hence *Card-order* p **using** *card-of-Card-order* *Card-order-ordIso2* **by** *blast*
 hence $|\text{Field } p| =_o p$ **using** *card-of-Field-ordIso* **by** *blast*
 hence $|A| =_o |\text{Field } p| \wedge |\text{Field } p| <_o r$
 using 1 *ordIso-equivalence* *ordIso-ordLess-trans* **by** *blast*
 thus $\exists B < \text{Field } r. |A| =_o |B| \wedge |B| <_o r$ **using** 1 **by** *blast*
next
 assume $\exists B < \text{Field } r. |A| =_o |B| \wedge |B| <_o r$
 thus $|A| <_o r$ **using** *ordIso-ordLess-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *internalize-card-of-ordLess2*:
 $(|A| <_o |C|) = (\exists B < C. |A| =_o |B| \wedge |B| <_o |C|)$
using *internalize-card-of-ordLess*[of A $|C|$] *Field-card-of*[of C] **by** *auto*

lemma *Card-order-omax*:
 assumes *finite* R and $R \neq \{\}$ and $\forall r \in R. \text{Card-order } r$
 shows *Card-order* (*omax* R)
proof–
 have $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$
 using *assms* **unfolding** *card-order-on-def* **by** *simp*
 thus *?thesis* **using** *assms* **apply** – **apply**(*drule omax-in*) **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *Card-order-omax2*:
 assumes *finite* I and $I \neq \{\}$
 shows *Card-order* (*omax* $\{|A \ i| \mid i. i \in I\}$)
proof–
 let $?R = \{|A \ i| \mid i. i \in I\}$
 have *finite* $?R$ and $?R \neq \{\}$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 moreover have $\forall r \in ?R. \text{Card-order } r$
 using *card-of-Card-order* **by** *auto*
 ultimately show *?thesis* **by**(*rule Card-order-omax*)
qed

10.2 Cardinals versus set operations on arbitrary sets

lemma *card-of-set-type*[*simp*]: $|\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}| <_o |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set set}|$
using *card-of-Pow*[of $\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}$] **by** *simp*

lemma *card-of-Un1*[*simp*]:
 shows $|A| \leq_o |A \cup B|$
using *inj-on-id*[of A] *card-of-ordLeq*[of A $-$] **by** *fastforce*

lemma *card-of-diff*[*simp*]:

shows $|A - B| \leq_o |A|$
using *inj-on-id*[of $A - B$] *card-of-ordLeq*[of $A - B$] **by** *fastforce*

lemma *subset-ordLeq-strict*:
assumes $A \leq B$ **and** $|A| <_o |B|$
shows $A < B$
proof –
 {**assume** $\neg(A < B)$
 hence $A = B$ **using** *assms(1)* **by** *blast*
 hence *False* **using** *assms(2)* *not-ordLess-ordIso* *card-of-refl* **by** *blast*
 }
 thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

corollary *subset-ordLeq-diff*:
assumes $A \leq B$ **and** $|A| <_o |B|$
shows $B - A \neq \{\}$
using *assms* *subset-ordLeq-strict* **by** *blast*

lemma *card-of-empty4*:
 $|\{\}::'b \text{ set}| <_o |A::'a \text{ set}| = (A \neq \{\})$
proof(*intro iffI notI*)
 assume *: $|\{\}::'b \text{ set}| <_o |A|$ **and** $A = \{\}$
 hence $|A| =_o |\{\}::'b \text{ set}|$
 using *card-of-ordIso* **unfolding** *bij-betw-def inj-on-def* **by** *blast*
 hence $|\{\}::'b \text{ set}| =_o |A|$ **using** *ordIso-symmetric* **by** *blast*
 with * **show** *False* **using** *not-ordLess-ordIso*[of $|\{\}::'b \text{ set}| |A|$] **by** *blast*
next
 assume $A \neq \{\}$
 hence $(\neg (\exists f. \text{inj-on } f \ A \wedge f^{-1} \ A \subseteq \{\}))$
 unfolding *inj-on-def* **by** *blast*
 thus $|\{\}| <_o |A|$
 using *card-of-ordLess* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *card-of-empty5*:
 $|A| <_o |B| \implies B \neq \{\}$
using *card-of-empty* *not-ordLess-ordLeq* **by** *blast*

lemma *Well-order-card-of-empty*:
 $\text{Well-order } r \implies |\{\}| \leq_o r$ **by** *simp*

lemma *card-of-UNIV[simp]*:
 $|A::'a \text{ set}| \leq_o |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|$
using *card-of-mono1*[of A] **by** *simp*

lemma *card-of-UNIV2[simp]*:
 $\text{Card-order } r \implies (r::'a \text{ rel}) \leq_o |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|$
using *card-of-UNIV*[of *Field* r] *card-of-Field-ordIso*

ordIso-symmetric ordIso-ordLeq-trans **by** *blast*

lemma *card-of-Pow-mono[simp]*:

assumes $|A| \leq_o |B|$

shows $|Pow\ A| \leq_o |Pow\ B|$

proof–

obtain f **where** $inj\text{-}on\ f\ A \wedge f\ 'A \leq B$

using *assms card-of-ordLeq[of A B]* **by** *auto*

hence $inj\text{-}on\ (image\ f)\ (Pow\ A) \wedge (image\ f)\ ' (Pow\ A) \leq (Pow\ B)$

by (*auto simp add: inj-on-image-Pow image-Pow-mono*)

thus *?thesis* **using** *card-of-ordLeq[of Pow A]* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *ordIso-Pow-mono[simp]*:

assumes $r \leq_o r'$

shows $|Pow(Field\ r)| \leq_o |Pow(Field\ r')|$

using *assms card-of-mono2 card-of-Pow-mono* **by** *blast*

lemma *card-of-Pow-cong[simp]*:

assumes $|A| =_o |B|$

shows $|Pow\ A| =_o |Pow\ B|$

proof–

obtain f **where** $bij\text{-}betw\ f\ A\ B$

using *assms card-of-ordIso[of A B]* **by** *auto*

hence $bij\text{-}betw\ (image\ f)\ (Pow\ A)\ (Pow\ B)$

by (*auto simp add: bij-betw-image-Pow*)

thus *?thesis* **using** *card-of-ordIso[of Pow A]* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *ordIso-Pow-cong[simp]*:

assumes $r =_o r'$

shows $|Pow(Field\ r)| =_o |Pow(Field\ r')|$

using *assms card-of-cong card-of-Pow-cong* **by** *blast*

corollary *Card-order-Plus-empty1*:

$Card\text{-}order\ r \implies r =_o |(Field\ r) <+> \{\}|$

using *card-of-Plus-empty1 card-of-Field-ordIso ordIso-equivalence* **by** *blast*

corollary *Card-order-Plus-empty2*:

$Card\text{-}order\ r \implies r =_o |\{\} <+> (Field\ r)|$

using *card-of-Plus-empty2 card-of-Field-ordIso ordIso-equivalence* **by** *blast*

lemma *Card-order-Un1*:

shows $Card\text{-}order\ r \implies |Field\ r| \leq_o |(Field\ r) \cup B|$

using *card-of-Un1 card-of-Field-ordIso ordIso-symmetric ordIso-ordLeq-trans* **by** *auto*

lemma *card-of-Un2[simp]*:

shows $|A| \leq_o |B \cup A|$

using *inj-on-id*[of A] *card-of-ordLeq*[of A -] **by** *fastforce*

lemma *Card-order-Un2*:

shows *Card-order* $r \implies |Field\ r| \leq_o |A \cup (Field\ r)|$

using *card-of-Un2* *card-of-Field-ordIso* *ordIso-symmetric* *ordIso-ordLeq-trans* **by** *auto*

lemma *Un-Plus-bij-betw*:

assumes $A\ Int\ B = \{\}$

shows $\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f\ (A \cup B)\ (A <+> B)$

proof–

let $?f = \lambda\ c. \text{if } c \in A \text{ then } Inl\ c \text{ else } Inr\ c$

have *bij-betw* $?f\ (A \cup B)\ (A <+> B)$

using *assms* **by** (*unfold* *bij-betw-def* *inj-on-def*, *auto*)

thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *card-of-Un-Plus-ordIso*:

assumes $A\ Int\ B = \{\}$

shows $|A \cup B| =_o |A <+> B|$

using *assms* *card-of-ordIso*[of $A \cup B$] *Un-Plus-bij-betw*[of $A\ B$] **by** *auto*

lemma *card-of-Un-Plus-ordIso1*:

$|A \cup B| =_o |A <+> (B - A)|$

using *card-of-Un-Plus-ordIso*[of $A\ B - A$] **by** *auto*

lemma *card-of-Un-Plus-ordIso2*:

$|A \cup B| =_o |(A - B) <+> B|$

using *card-of-Un-Plus-ordIso*[of $A - B\ B$] **by** *auto*

lemma *card-of-Times-singl1*: $|A| =_o |A \times \{b\}|$

proof–

have *bij-betw* *fst* $(A \times \{b\})\ A$ **unfolding** *bij-betw-def* *inj-on-def* **by** *force*

thus *?thesis* **using** *card-of-ordIso* *ordIso-symmetric* **by** *blast*

qed

corollary *Card-order-Times-singl1*:

Card-order $r \implies r =_o |(Field\ r) \times \{b\}|$

using *card-of-Times-singl1*[of - b] *card-of-Field-ordIso* *ordIso-equivalence* **by** *blast*

lemma *card-of-Times-singl2*: $|A| =_o |\{b\} \times A|$

proof–

have *bij-betw* *snd* $(\{b\} \times A)\ A$ **unfolding** *bij-betw-def* *inj-on-def* **by** *force*

thus *?thesis* **using** *card-of-ordIso* *ordIso-symmetric* **by** *blast*

qed

corollary *Card-order-Times-singl2*:

Card-order $r \implies r =_o |\{a\} \times (Field\ r)|$

using *card-of-Times-singl2*[of - a] *card-of-Field-ordIso* *ordIso-equivalence* **by** *blast*

lemma *card-of-Times-assoc*: $|(A \times B) \times C| =_o |A \times B \times C|$
proof –
 let $?f = \lambda((a,b),c). (a,(b,c))$
 have $A \times B \times C \subseteq ?f' ((A \times B) \times C)$
proof
 fix x **assume** $x \in A \times B \times C$
 then **obtain** $a\ b\ c$ **where** $*: a \in A\ b \in B\ c \in C\ x = (a, b, c)$ **by** *blast*
 let $?x = ((a, b), c)$
 from $*$ **have** $?x \in (A \times B) \times C\ x = ?f\ ?x$ **by** *auto*
 thus $x \in ?f' ((A \times B) \times C)$ **by** *blast*
qed
 hence *bij-betw* $?f ((A \times B) \times C) (A \times B \times C)$
unfolding *bij-betw-def inj-on-def* **by** *auto*
 thus *?thesis* **using** *card-of-ordIso* **by** *blast*
qed

corollary *Card-order-Times3*:
 $Card\text{-}order\ r \implies |Field\ r| \leq_o |(Field\ r) \times (Field\ r)|$
by (*rule card-of-Times3*)

lemma *card-of-Times-cong1[simp]*:
assumes $|A| =_o |B|$
shows $|A \times C| =_o |B \times C|$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: ordIso-iff-ordLeq*)

lemma *card-of-Times-cong2[simp]*:
assumes $|A| =_o |B|$
shows $|C \times A| =_o |C \times B|$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: ordIso-iff-ordLeq*)

lemma *card-of-Times-mono[simp]*:
assumes $|A| \leq_o |B|$ **and** $|C| \leq_o |D|$
shows $|A \times C| \leq_o |B \times D|$
using *assms card-of-Times-mono1[of A B C] card-of-Times-mono2[of C D B]*
ordLeq-transitive[of |A × C|] **by** *blast*

corollary *ordLeq-Times-mono*:
assumes $r \leq_o r'$ **and** $p \leq_o p'$
shows $|(Field\ r) \times (Field\ p)| \leq_o |(Field\ r') \times (Field\ p')|$
using *assms card-of-mono2[of r r'] card-of-mono2[of p p'] card-of-Times-mono* **by** *blast*

corollary *ordIso-Times-cong1[simp]*:
assumes $r =_o r'$
shows $|(Field\ r) \times C| =_o |(Field\ r') \times C|$
using *assms card-of-cong card-of-Times-cong1* **by** *blast*

corollary *ordIso-Times-cong2*:

assumes $r =_o r'$
shows $|A \times (\text{Field } r)| =_o |A \times (\text{Field } r')|$
using *assms card-of-cong card-of-Times-cong2* **by** *blast*

lemma *card-of-Times-cong[simp]*:
assumes $|A| =_o |B|$ **and** $|C| =_o |D|$
shows $|A \times C| =_o |B \times D|$
using *assms*
by (*auto simp add: ordIso-iff-ordLeq*)

corollary *ordIso-Times-cong*:
assumes $r =_o r'$ **and** $p =_o p'$
shows $|(\text{Field } r) \times (\text{Field } p)| =_o |(\text{Field } r') \times (\text{Field } p')|$
using *assms card-of-cong[of r r'] card-of-cong[of p p'] card-of-Times-cong* **by** *blast*

lemma *card-of-Sigma-mono2*:
assumes *inj-on* f ($I::'i$ set) **and** $f' I \leq (J::'j$ set)
shows $|\text{SIGMA } i : I. (A::'j \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) (f i)| \leq_o |\text{SIGMA } j : J. A j|$
proof–
 let $?LEFT = \text{SIGMA } i : I. A (f i)$
 let $?RIGHT = \text{SIGMA } j : J. A j$
 obtain u **where** $u\text{-def}: u = (\lambda(i::'i, a::'a). (f i, a))$ **by** *blast*
 have *inj-on* u $?LEFT \wedge u$ $'?LEFT \leq ?RIGHT$
 using *assms unfolding u-def inj-on-def* **by** *auto*
 thus $?thesis$ **using** *card-of-ordLeq* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *card-of-Sigma-mono*:
assumes *INJ*: *inj-on* f I **and** *IM*: $f' I \leq J$ **and**
 $LEQ: \forall j \in J. |A j| \leq_o |B j|$
shows $|\text{SIGMA } i : I. A (f i)| \leq_o |\text{SIGMA } j : J. B j|$
proof–
 have $\forall i \in I. |A(f i)| \leq_o |B(f i)|$
 using *IM LEQ* **by** *blast*
 hence $|\text{SIGMA } i : I. A (f i)| \leq_o |\text{SIGMA } i : I. B (f i)|$
 using *card-of-Sigma-mono1[of I]* **by** *metis*
 moreover **have** $|\text{SIGMA } i : I. B (f i)| \leq_o |\text{SIGMA } j : J. B j|$
 using *INJ IM card-of-Sigma-mono2* **by** *blast*
 ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** *ordLeq-transitive* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ordLeq-Sigma-mono1*:
assumes $\forall i \in I. p i \leq_o r i$
shows $|\text{SIGMA } i : I. \text{Field}(p i)| \leq_o |\text{SIGMA } i : I. \text{Field}(r i)|$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: card-of-Sigma-mono1*)

lemma *ordLeq-Sigma-mono*:
assumes *inj-on* f I **and** $f' I \leq J$ **and**
 $\forall j \in J. p j \leq_o r j$

shows $|\text{SIGMA } i : I. \text{Field}(p(f\ i))| \leq_o |\text{SIGMA } j : J. \text{Field}(r\ j)|$
using *assms card-of-mono2 card-of-Sigma-mono*
 $[of\ f\ I\ J\ \lambda\ i. \text{Field}(p\ i)\ \lambda\ j. \text{Field}(r\ j)]$ **by** *metis*

lemma *card-of-Sigma-cong1*:
assumes $\forall i \in I. |A\ i| =_o |B\ i|$
shows $|\text{SIGMA } i : I. A\ i| =_o |\text{SIGMA } i : I. B\ i|$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: card-of-Sigma-mono1 ordIso-iff-ordLeq*)

lemma *card-of-Sigma-cong2*:
assumes *bij-betw* $f\ (I::'i\ set)\ (J::'j\ set)$
shows $|\text{SIGMA } i : I. (A::'j \Rightarrow 'a\ set)\ (f\ i)| =_o |\text{SIGMA } j : J. A\ j|$
proof–
let $?LEFT = \text{SIGMA } i : I. A\ (f\ i)$
let $?RIGHT = \text{SIGMA } j : J. A\ j$
obtain u **where** $u\text{-def}: u = (\lambda(i::'i, a::'a). (f\ i, a))$ **by** *blast*
have *bij-betw* $u\ ?LEFT\ ?RIGHT$
using *assms* **unfolding** $u\text{-def}\ \text{bij-betw-def}\ \text{inj-on-def}$ **by** *auto*
thus $?thesis$ **using** *card-of-ordIso* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *card-of-Sigma-cong*:
assumes *BIJ*: *bij-betw* $f\ I\ J$ **and**
 $ISO: \forall j \in J. |A\ j| =_o |B\ j|$
shows $|\text{SIGMA } i : I. A\ (f\ i)| =_o |\text{SIGMA } j : J. B\ j|$
proof–
have $\forall i \in I. |A(f\ i)| =_o |B(f\ i)|$
using *ISO BIJ* **unfolding** *bij-betw-def* **by** *blast*
hence $|\text{SIGMA } i : I. A\ (f\ i)| =_o |\text{SIGMA } i : I. B\ (f\ i)|$ **by** (*rule card-of-Sigma-cong1*)
moreover **have** $|\text{SIGMA } i : I. B\ (f\ i)| =_o |\text{SIGMA } j : J. B\ j|$
using *BIJ card-of-Sigma-cong2* **by** *blast*
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** *ordIso-transitive* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ordIso-Sigma-cong1*:
assumes $\forall i \in I. p\ i =_o r\ i$
shows $|\text{SIGMA } i : I. \text{Field}(p\ i)| =_o |\text{SIGMA } i : I. \text{Field}(r\ i)|$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: card-of-Sigma-cong1*)

lemma *ordLeq-Sigma-cong*:
assumes *bij-betw* $f\ I\ J$ **and**
 $\forall j \in J. p\ j =_o r\ j$
shows $|\text{SIGMA } i : I. \text{Field}(p(f\ i))| =_o |\text{SIGMA } j : J. \text{Field}(r\ j)|$
using *assms card-of-cong card-of-Sigma-cong*
 $[of\ f\ I\ J\ \lambda\ j. \text{Field}(p\ j)\ \lambda\ j. \text{Field}(r\ j)]$ **by** *blast*

lemma *card-of-UNION-Sigma2*:
assumes
 $!!\ i\ j. [\{i, j\} \leq I; i \sim j] \implies A\ i\ \text{Int}\ A\ j = \{\}$

shows
 $|\bigcup_{i \in I}. A \ i| =_o |\text{Sigma } I \ A|$
proof –
 let $?L = \bigcup_{i \in I}. A \ i$ let $?R = \text{Sigma } I \ A$
 have $|?L| \leq_o |?R|$ **using** *card-of-UNION-Sigma* .
 moreover have $|?R| \leq_o |?L|$
proof –
 have *inj-on snd ?R*
 unfolding *inj-on-def* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 moreover have *snd ‘ ?R ≤ ?L* **by** *auto*
 ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *card-of-ordLeq* **by** *blast*
qed
 ultimately show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: ordIso-iff-ordLeq*)
qed

corollary *Plus-into-Times*:
 assumes $A2: a1 \neq a2 \wedge \{a1, a2\} \leq A$ **and**
 $B2: b1 \neq b2 \wedge \{b1, b2\} \leq B$
shows $\exists f. \text{inj-on } f \ (A <+> B) \wedge f \ ' (A <+> B) \leq A \times B$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: card-of-Plus-Times card-of-ordLeq*)

corollary *Plus-into-Times-types*:
 assumes $A2: (a1::'a) \neq a2$ **and** $B2: (b1::'b) \neq b2$
shows $\exists (f::'a + 'b \Rightarrow 'a * 'b). \text{inj } f$
using *assms Plus-into-Times[of a1 a2 UNIV b1 b2 UNIV]*
by *auto*

corollary *Times-same-infinite-bij-betw*:
 assumes $\neg \text{finite } A$
shows $\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f \ (A \times A) \ A$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: card-of-ordIso*)

corollary *Times-same-infinite-bij-betw-types*:
 assumes $INF: \neg \text{finite}(UNIV::'a \text{ set})$
shows $\exists (f::('a * 'a) \Rightarrow 'a). \text{bij } f$
using *assms Times-same-infinite-bij-betw[of UNIV::'a set]*
by *auto*

corollary *Times-infinite-bij-betw*:
 assumes $INF: \neg \text{finite } A$ **and** $NE: B \neq \{\}$ **and** $INJ: \text{inj-on } g \ B \wedge g \ ' B \leq A$
shows $(\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f \ (A \times B) \ A) \wedge (\exists h. \text{bij-betw } h \ (B \times A) \ A)$
proof –
 have $|B| \leq_o |A|$ **using** *INJ card-of-ordLeq* **by** *blast*
 thus *?thesis* **using** *INF NE*
by (*auto simp add: card-of-ordIso card-of-Times-infinite*)
qed

corollary *Times-infinite-bij-betw-types*:
 assumes $INF: \neg \text{finite}(UNIV::'a \text{ set})$ **and**

$BIJ: inj(g::'b \Rightarrow 'a)$
shows $(\exists (f::('b * 'a) \Rightarrow 'a). bij f) \wedge (\exists (h::('a * 'b) \Rightarrow 'a). bij h)$
using *assms Times-infinite-bij-betw*[of *UNIV::'a set UNIV::'b set g*]
by *auto*

lemma *card-of-Times-ordLeq-infinite*:
 $\llbracket \neg finite\ C; |A| \leq_o |C|; |B| \leq_o |C| \rrbracket$
 $\implies |A \times B| \leq_o |C|$
by (*simp add: card-of-Sigma-ordLeq-infinite*)

corollary *Plus-infinite-bij-betw*:
assumes *INF*: $\neg finite\ A$ **and** *INJ*: $inj_on\ g\ B \wedge g\ 'B \leq A$
shows $(\exists f. bij_betw\ f\ (A <+> B)\ A) \wedge (\exists h. bij_betw\ h\ (B <+> A)\ A)$
proof –
 have $|B| \leq_o |A|$ **using** *INJ card-of-ordLeq* **by** *blast*
 thus *?thesis* **using** *INF*
 by (*auto simp add: card-of-ordIso*)
qed

corollary *Plus-infinite-bij-betw-types*:
assumes *INF*: $\neg finite(UNIV::'a\ set)$ **and**
 $BIJ: inj(g::'b \Rightarrow 'a)$
shows $(\exists (f::('b + 'a) \Rightarrow 'a). bij f) \wedge (\exists (h::('a + 'b) \Rightarrow 'a). bij h)$
using *assms Plus-infinite-bij-betw*[of *UNIV::'a set g UNIV::'b set*]
by *auto*

lemma *card-of-Un-infinite*:
assumes *INF*: $\neg finite\ A$ **and** *LEQ*: $|B| \leq_o |A|$
shows $|A \cup B| =_o |A| \wedge |B \cup A| =_o |A|$
proof –
 have $|A \cup B| \leq_o |A <+> B|$ **by** (*rule card-of-Un-Plus-ordLeq*)
 moreover **have** $|A <+> B| =_o |A|$
 using *assms* **by** (*metis card-of-Plus-infinite*)
 ultimately **have** $|A \cup B| \leq_o |A|$ **using** *ordLeq-ordIso-trans* **by** *blast*
 hence $|A \cup B| =_o |A|$ **using** *card-of-Un1 ordIso-iff-ordLeq* **by** *blast*
 thus *?thesis* **using** *Un-commute*[of *B A*] **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *card-of-Un-infinite-simps*[*simp*]:
 $\llbracket \neg finite\ A; |B| \leq_o |A| \rrbracket \implies |A \cup B| =_o |A|$
 $\llbracket \neg finite\ A; |B| \leq_o |A| \rrbracket \implies |B \cup A| =_o |A|$
using *card-of-Un-infinite* **by** *auto*

lemma *card-of-Un-diff-infinite*:
assumes *INF*: $\neg finite\ A$ **and** *LESS*: $|B| <_o |A|$
shows $|A - B| =_o |A|$
proof –
 obtain *C* **where** *C-def*: $C = A - B$ **by** *blast*
 have $|A \cup B| =_o |A|$

using *assms* *ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso* *card-of-Un-infinite* **by** *blast*
 moreover have $C \cup B = A \cup B$ **unfolding** *C-def* **by** *auto*
 ultimately have $1: |C \cup B| =_o |A|$ **by** *auto*

{assume *: $|C| \leq_o |B|$
 moreover
 {assume **: *finite* *B*
 hence *finite* *C*
 using *card-of-ordLeq-finite* * **by** *blast*
 hence *False* using ** *INF* *card-of-ordIso-finite* 1 **by** *blast*
 }
 hence $\neg$ *finite* *B* **by** *auto*
 ultimately have *False*
 using *card-of-Un-infinite* 1 *ordIso-equivalence*(1,3) *LESS* *not-ordLess-ordIso* **by**
metis
 }
 hence 2: $|B| \leq_o |C|$ **using** *card-of-Well-order* *ordLeq-total* **by** *blast*
 {assume *: *finite* *C*
 hence *finite* *B* **using** *card-of-ordLeq-finite* 2 **by** *blast*
 hence *False* using * *INF* *card-of-ordIso-finite* 1 **by** *blast*
 }
 hence $\neg$ *finite* *C* **by** *auto*
 hence $|C| =_o |A|$
 using *card-of-Un-infinite* 1 2 *ordIso-equivalence*(1,3) **by** *metis*
 thus ?thesis **unfolding** *C-def* .
 qed

corollary *Card-order-Un-infinite*:
 assumes *INF*: $\neg$ *finite*(*Field* *r*) **and** *CARD*: *Card-order* *r* **and**
LEQ: $p \leq_o r$
 shows $|(\text{Field } r) \cup (\text{Field } p)| =_o r \wedge |(\text{Field } p) \cup (\text{Field } r)| =_o r$
proof–
 have $| \text{Field } r \cup \text{Field } p | =_o | \text{Field } r | \wedge$
 $| \text{Field } p \cup \text{Field } r | =_o | \text{Field } r |$
 using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: card-of-Un-infinite*)
 thus ?thesis
 using *assms* *card-of-Field-ordIso*[*of* *r*]
ordIso-transitive[*of* $| \text{Field } r \cup \text{Field } p |$]
ordIso-transitive[*of* $| \text{Field } r |$] **by** *blast*
 qed

corollary *subset-ordLeq-diff-infinite*:
 assumes *INF*: $\neg$ *finite* *B* **and** *SUB*: $A \leq B$ **and** *LESS*: $|A| <_o |B|$
 shows $\neg$ *finite* (*B* – *A*)
 using *assms* *card-of-Un-diff-infinite* *card-of-ordIso-finite* **by** *blast*

lemma *card-of-Times-ordLess-infinite[simp]*:
 assumes *INF*: $\neg$ *finite* *C* **and**
LESS1: $|A| <_o |C|$ **and** *LESS2*: $|B| <_o |C|$

shows $|A \times B| <_o |C|$
proof(*cases* $A = \{\} \vee B = \{\}$)
 assume *Case1*: $A = \{\} \vee B = \{\}$
 hence $A \times B = \{\}$ **by** *blast*
 moreover have $C \neq \{\}$ **using**
 LESS1 card-of-empty5 **by** *blast*
 ultimately show *?thesis* **by**(*auto simp add: card-of-empty4*)
next
 assume *Case2*: $\neg(A = \{\} \vee B = \{\})$
 {**assume** *: $|C| \leq_o |A \times B|$
 hence $\neg \text{finite } (A \times B)$ **using** *INF card-of-ordLeq-finite* **by** *blast*
 hence $1: \neg \text{finite } A \vee \neg \text{finite } B$ **using** *finite-cartesian-product* **by** *blast*
 {**assume** *Case21*: $|A| \leq_o |B|$
 hence $\neg \text{finite } B$ **using** 1 *card-of-ordLeq-finite* **by** *blast*
 hence $|A \times B| =_o |B|$ **using** *Case2 Case21*
 by (*auto simp add: card-of-Times-infinite*)
 hence *False* **using** *LESS2 not-ordLess-ordLeq * ordLeq-ordIso-trans* **by** *blast*
 }
 moreover
 {**assume** *Case22*: $|B| \leq_o |A|$
 hence $\neg \text{finite } A$ **using** 1 *card-of-ordLeq-finite* **by** *blast*
 hence $|A \times B| =_o |A|$ **using** *Case2 Case22*
 by (*auto simp add: card-of-Times-infinite*)
 hence *False* **using** *LESS1 not-ordLess-ordLeq * ordLeq-ordIso-trans* **by** *blast*
 }
 ultimately have *False* **using** *ordLeq-total card-of-Well-order[of A]*
 card-of-Well-order[of B] **by** *blast*
 }
 thus *?thesis* **using** *ordLess-or-ordLeq[of |A × B| |C|]*
 card-of-Well-order[of A × B] card-of-Well-order[of C] **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *card-of-Times-ordLess-infinite-Field[simp]*:
assumes *INF*: $\neg \text{finite } (\text{Field } r)$ **and** r : *Card-order* r **and**
 LESS1: $|A| <_o r$ **and** *LESS2*: $|B| <_o r$
shows $|A \times B| <_o r$

proof–
 let $?C = \text{Field } r$
 have $1: r =_o |?C| \wedge |?C| =_o r$ **using** r *card-of-Field-ordIso*
 ordIso-symmetric **by** *blast*
 hence $|A| <_o |?C|$ $|B| <_o |?C|$
 using *LESS1 LESS2 ordLess-ordIso-trans* **by** *blast* +
 hence $|A \times B| <_o |?C|$ **using** *INF*
 card-of-Times-ordLess-infinite **by** *blast*
 thus *?thesis* **using** 1 *ordLess-ordIso-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *card-of-Un-ordLess-infinite[simp]*:
assumes *INF*: $\neg \text{finite } C$ **and**

$LESS1: |A| <_o |C|$ and $LESS2: |B| <_o |C|$
shows $|A \cup B| <_o |C|$
using *assms card-of-Plus-ordLess-infinite card-of-Un-Plus-ordLeq*
ordLeq-ordLess-trans **by** *blast*

lemma *card-of-Un-ordLess-infinite-Field[simp]*:
assumes $INF: \neg \text{finite } (Field\ r)$ and $r: Card\text{-}order\ r$ and
 $LESS1: |A| <_o r$ and $LESS2: |B| <_o r$
shows $|A \cup B| <_o r$
proof–
let $?C = Field\ r$
have $1: r =_o |?C| \wedge |?C| =_o r$ **using** r *card-of-Field-ordIso*
ordIso-symmetric **by** *blast*
hence $|A| <_o |?C|$ $|B| <_o |?C|$
using $LESS1\ LESS2\ ordLess-ordIso-trans$ **by** *blast* +
hence $|A \cup B| <_o |?C|$ **using** INF
card-of-Un-ordLess-infinite **by** *blast*
thus $?thesis$ **using** $1\ ordLess-ordIso-trans$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ordLeq-finite-Field*:
assumes $r \leq_o s$ and $\text{finite } (Field\ s)$
shows $\text{finite } (Field\ r)$
by (*metis assms card-of-mono2 card-of-ordLeq-infinite*)

lemma *ordIso-finite-Field*:
assumes $r =_o s$
shows $\text{finite } (Field\ r) \longleftrightarrow \text{finite } (Field\ s)$
by (*metis assms ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-finite-Field*)

10.3 Cardinals versus set operations involving infinite sets

lemma *finite-iff-cardOf-nat*:
 $\text{finite } A = (|A| <_o |UNIV :: nat\ set|)$
using *infinite-iff-card-of-nat[of A]*
not-ordLeq-iff-ordLess[of |A| |UNIV :: nat set|]
by *fastforce*

lemma *finite-ordLess-infinite2[simp]*:
assumes $\text{finite } A$ and $\neg \text{finite } B$
shows $|A| <_o |B|$
using *assms*
finite-ordLess-infinite[of |A| |B|]
card-of-Well-order[of A] card-of-Well-order[of B]
Field-card-of[of A] Field-card-of[of B] **by** *auto*

lemma *infinite-card-of-insert*:
assumes $\neg \text{finite } A$
shows $|\text{insert } a\ A| =_o |A|$

proof–
 have iA : $\text{insert } a \ A = A \cup \{a\}$ **by** *simp*
 show *?thesis*
 using *infinite-imp-bij-betw2*[*OF assms*] **unfolding** iA
by (*metis bij-betw-inv card-of-ordIso*)
qed

lemma *card-of-Un-singl-ordLess-infinite1*:
 assumes $\neg \text{finite } B$ **and** $|A| <_o |B|$
 shows $|\{a\} \cup A| <_o |B|$
proof–
 have $|\{a\}| <_o |B|$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 thus *?thesis* **using** *assms card-of-Un-ordLess-infinite*[*of B*] **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *card-of-Un-singl-ordLess-infinite*:
 assumes $\neg \text{finite } B$
 shows $(|A| <_o |B|) = (|\{a\} \cup A| <_o |B|)$
 using *assms card-of-Un-singl-ordLess-infinite1* [*of B A*]
proof(*auto*)
 assume $|\text{insert } a \ A| <_o |B|$
 moreover have $|A| <=_o |\text{insert } a \ A|$ **using** *card-of-mono1* [*of A insert a A*] **by**
blast
 ultimately show $|A| <_o |B|$ **using** *ordLeq-ordLess-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

10.4 Cardinals versus lists

The next is an auxiliary operator, which shall be used for inductive proofs of facts concerning the cardinality of *List* :

definition *nlists* :: '*a set* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ '*a list set*
where *nlists A n* $\equiv \{l. \text{set } l \leq A \wedge \text{length } l = n\}$

lemma *lists-def2*: *lists A* = $\{l. \text{set } l \leq A\}$
using *in-listsI* **by** *blast*

lemma *lists-UNION-nlists*: *lists A* = $(\bigcup n. \text{nlists } A \ n)$
unfolding *lists-def2 nlists-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *card-of-lists*: $|A| \leq_o |\text{lists } A|$
proof–
 let $?h = \lambda a. [a]$
 have *inj-on* $?h \ A \wedge ?h \ A \leq \text{lists } A$
unfolding *inj-on-def lists-def2* **by** *auto*
 thus *?thesis* **by** (*metis card-of-ordLeq*)
qed

lemma *nlists-0*: *nlists A 0* = $\{\emptyset\}$
unfolding *nlists-def* **by** *auto*

```

lemma nlists-not-empty:
assumes  $A \neq \{\}$ 
shows  $nlists\ A\ n \neq \{\}$ 
proof(induct n, simp add: nlists-0)
  fix  $n$  assume  $nlists\ A\ n \neq \{\}$ 
  then obtain  $a$  and  $l$  where  $a \in A \wedge l \in nlists\ A\ n$  using assms by auto
  hence  $a \# l \in nlists\ A\ (Suc\ n)$  unfolding nlists-def by auto
  thus  $nlists\ A\ (Suc\ n) \neq \{\}$  by auto
qed

lemma Nil-in-lists:  $[] \in lists\ A$ 
unfolding lists-def2 by auto

lemma lists-not-empty:  $lists\ A \neq \{\}$ 
using Nil-in-lists by blast

lemma card-of-nlists-Succ:  $|nlists\ A\ (Suc\ n)| =_o |A \times (nlists\ A\ n)|$ 
proof-
  let  $?B = A \times (nlists\ A\ n)$  let  $?h = \lambda(a,l). a \# l$ 
  have inj-on  $?h\ ?B \wedge ?h\ ' ?B \leq nlists\ A\ (Suc\ n)$ 
  unfolding inj-on-def nlists-def by auto
  moreover have  $nlists\ A\ (Suc\ n) \leq ?h\ ' ?B$ 
  proof(auto)
    fix  $l$  assume  $l \in nlists\ A\ (Suc\ n)$ 
    hence  $1: length\ l = Suc\ n \wedge set\ l \leq A$  unfolding nlists-def by auto
    then obtain  $a$  and  $l'$  where  $2: l = a \# l'$  by (auto simp: length-Suc-conv)
    hence  $a \in A \wedge set\ l' \leq A \wedge length\ l' = n$  using  $1$  by auto
    thus  $l \in ?h\ ' ?B$  using  $2$  unfolding nlists-def by auto
  qed
  ultimately have bij-betw  $?h\ ?B\ (nlists\ A\ (Suc\ n))$ 
  unfolding bij-betw-def by auto
  thus ?thesis using card-of-ordIso ordIso-symmetric by blast
qed

lemma card-of-nlists-infinite:
assumes  $\neg finite\ A$ 
shows  $|nlists\ A\ n| \leq_o |A|$ 
proof(induct n)
  have  $A \neq \{\}$  using assms by auto
  thus  $|nlists\ A\ 0| \leq_o |A|$  by (simp add: nlists-0)
next
  fix  $n$  assume IH:  $|nlists\ A\ n| \leq_o |A|$ 
  have  $|nlists\ A\ (Suc\ n)| =_o |A \times (nlists\ A\ n)|$ 
  using card-of-nlists-Succ by blast
  moreover
  {have  $nlists\ A\ n \neq \{\}$  using assms nlists-not-empty[of A] by blast
  hence  $|A \times (nlists\ A\ n)| =_o |A|$ 
  using assms IH by (auto simp add: card-of-Times-infinite)
  }

```

```

}
ultimately show  $|nlists\ A\ (Suc\ n)| \leq_o |A|$ 
using ordIso-transitive ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
qed

```

```

lemma Card-order-lists:  $Card\text{-}order\ r \implies r \leq_o |lists(Field\ r)|$ 
using card-of-lists card-of-Field-ordIso ordIso-ordLeq-trans ordIso-symmetric by
blast

```

```

lemma Union-set-lists:  $\bigcup (set\ '\ (lists\ A)) = A$ 
unfolding lists-def2
proof(auto)
fix  $a$  assume  $a \in A$ 
hence  $set\ [a] \leq A \wedge a \in set\ [a]$  by auto
thus  $\exists l. set\ l \leq A \wedge a \in set\ l$  by blast
qed

```

```

lemma inj-on-map-lists:
assumes inj-on f A
shows inj-on (map f) (lists A)
using assms Union-set-lists[of A] inj-on-mapI[of f lists A] by auto

```

```

lemma map-lists-mono:
assumes  $f\ '\ A \leq B$ 
shows  $(map\ f)\ '\ (lists\ A) \leq lists\ B$ 
using assms unfolding lists-def2 by (auto, blast)

```

```

lemma map-lists-surjective:
assumes  $f\ '\ A = B$ 
shows  $(map\ f)\ '\ (lists\ A) = lists\ B$ 
using assms unfolding lists-def2
proof (auto, blast)
fix  $l'$  assume *:  $set\ l' \leq f\ '\ A$ 
have  $set\ l' \leq f\ '\ A \longrightarrow l' \in map\ f\ '\ \{l. set\ l \leq A\}$ 
proof(induct l', auto)
fix  $l\ a$ 
assume  $a \in A$  and  $set\ l \leq A$  and
IH:  $f\ '\ (set\ l) \leq f\ '\ A$ 
hence  $set\ (a \# l) \leq A$  by auto
hence  $map\ f\ (a \# l) \in map\ f\ '\ \{l. set\ l \leq A\}$  by blast
thus  $f\ a \# map\ f\ l \in map\ f\ '\ \{l. set\ l \leq A\}$  by auto
qed
thus  $l' \in map\ f\ '\ \{l. set\ l \leq A\}$  using * by auto
qed

```

```

lemma bij-betw-map-lists:
assumes bij-betw f A B
shows bij-betw (map f) (lists A) (lists B)
using assms unfolding bij-betw-def

```

by(*auto simp add: inj-on-map-lists map-lists-surjective*)

lemma *card-of-lists-mono[simp]*:

assumes $|A| \leq_o |B|$

shows $|lists\ A| \leq_o |lists\ B|$

proof–

obtain f **where** $inj-on\ f\ A \wedge f\ 'A \leq B$

using *assms card-of-ordLeq[of A B]* **by** *auto*

hence $inj-on\ (map\ f)\ (lists\ A) \wedge (map\ f)\ 'A \leq (lists\ B)$

by (*auto simp add: inj-on-map-lists map-lists-mono*)

thus *?thesis* **using** *card-of-ordLeq[of lists A]* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *ordIso-lists-mono*:

assumes $r \leq_o r'$

shows $|lists(Field\ r)| \leq_o |lists(Field\ r')|$

using *assms card-of-mono2 card-of-lists-mono* **by** *blast*

lemma *card-of-lists-cong[simp]*:

assumes $|A| =_o |B|$

shows $|lists\ A| =_o |lists\ B|$

proof–

obtain f **where** $bij-betw\ f\ A\ B$

using *assms card-of-ordIso[of A B]* **by** *auto*

hence $bij-betw\ (map\ f)\ (lists\ A)\ (lists\ B)$

by (*auto simp add: bij-betw-map-lists*)

thus *?thesis* **using** *card-of-ordIso[of lists A]* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *card-of-lists-infinite[simp]*:

assumes $\neg finite\ A$

shows $|lists\ A| =_o |A|$

proof–

have $|lists\ A| \leq_o |A|$ **unfolding** *lists-UNION-nlists*

by (*rule card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite[OF assms - ballI[OF card-of-nlists-infinite[OF assms]]]*)

(*metis infinite-iff-card-of-nat assms*)

thus *?thesis* **using** *card-of-lists ordIso-iff-ordLeq* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *Card-order-lists-infinite*:

assumes *Card-order* r **and** $\neg finite(Field\ r)$

shows $|lists(Field\ r)| =_o r$

using *assms card-of-lists-infinite card-of-Field-ordIso ordIso-transitive* **by** *blast*

lemma *ordIso-lists-cong*:

assumes $r =_o r'$

shows $|lists(Field\ r)| =_o |lists(Field\ r')|$

using *assms card-of-cong card-of-lists-cong* **by** *blast*

corollary *lists-infinite-bij-betw*:
assumes $\neg \text{finite } A$
shows $\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f \text{ (lists } A) \ A$
using *assms card-of-lists-infinite card-of-ordIso* **by** *blast*

corollary *lists-infinite-bij-betw-types*:
assumes $\neg \text{finite}(UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})$
shows $\exists (f :: 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a). \text{bij } f$
using *assms assms lists-infinite-bij-betw[of UNIV::'a set]*
using *lists-UNIV* **by** *auto*

10.5 Cardinals versus the finite powerset operator

lemma *card-of-Fpow[simp]*: $|A| \leq_o |Fpow \ A|$
proof—
let $?h = \lambda a. \{a\}$
have *inj-on* $?h \ A \wedge ?h \ A \leq Fpow \ A$
unfolding *inj-on-def Fpow-def* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *card-of-ordLeq* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *Card-order-Fpow*: $\text{Card-order } r \implies r \leq_o |Fpow(\text{Field } r)|$ |
using *card-of-Fpow card-of-Field-ordIso ordIso-ordLeq-trans ordIso-symmetric* **by** *blast*

lemma *image-Fpow-surjective*:
assumes $f \text{ ' } A = B$
shows $(\text{image } f) \text{ ' } (Fpow \ A) = Fpow \ B$
using *assms* **proof**(*unfold Fpow-def, auto*)
fix Y **assume** $*$: $Y \leq f \text{ ' } A$ **and** $**$: *finite* Y
hence $\forall b \in Y. \exists a. a \in A \wedge f \ a = b$ **by** *auto*
with *bchoice*[*of* $Y \ \lambda b \ a. a \in A \wedge f \ a = b$]
obtain g **where** 1 : $\forall b \in Y. g \ b \in A \wedge f(g \ b) = b$ **by** *blast*
obtain X **where** $X\text{-def}$: $X = g \text{ ' } Y$ **by** *blast*
have $f \text{ ' } X = Y \wedge X \leq A \wedge \text{finite } X$
by(*unfold X-def, force simp add: ** 1*)
thus $Y \in (\text{image } f) \text{ ' } \{X. X \leq A \wedge \text{finite } X\}$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *bij-betw-image-Fpow*:
assumes *bij-betw* $f \ A \ B$
shows *bij-betw* $(\text{image } f) \ (Fpow \ A) \ (Fpow \ B)$
using *assms* **unfolding** *bij-betw-def*
by (*auto simp add: inj-on-image-Fpow image-Fpow-surjective*)

lemma *card-of-Fpow-mono[simp]*:
assumes $|A| \leq_o |B|$
shows $|Fpow \ A| \leq_o |Fpow \ B|$

proof–
obtain f **where** $\text{inj-on } f \ A \wedge f \text{ ' } A \leq B$
using *assms card-of-ordLeq[of A B]* **by** *auto*
hence $\text{inj-on } (\text{image } f) \ (Fpow \ A) \wedge (\text{image } f) \text{ ' } (Fpow \ A) \leq (Fpow \ B)$
by *(auto simp add: inj-on-image-Fpow image-Fpow-mono)*
thus *?thesis* **using** *card-of-ordLeq[of Fpow A]* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *ordIso-Fpow-mono*:
assumes $r \leq_o r'$
shows $|\text{Fpow}(\text{Field } r)| \leq_o |\text{Fpow}(\text{Field } r')|$
using *assms card-of-mono2 card-of-Fpow-mono* **by** *blast*

lemma *card-of-Fpow-cong[simp]*:
assumes $|A| =_o |B|$
shows $|\text{Fpow } A| =_o |\text{Fpow } B|$
proof–
obtain f **where** $\text{bij-betw } f \ A \ B$
using *assms card-of-ordIso[of A B]* **by** *auto*
hence $\text{bij-betw } (\text{image } f) \ (Fpow \ A) \ (Fpow \ B)$
by *(auto simp add: bij-betw-image-Fpow)*
thus *?thesis* **using** *card-of-ordIso[of Fpow A]* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *ordIso-Fpow-cong*:
assumes $r =_o r'$
shows $|\text{Fpow}(\text{Field } r)| =_o |\text{Fpow}(\text{Field } r')|$
using *assms card-of-cong card-of-Fpow-cong* **by** *blast*

lemma *card-of-Fpow-lists*: $|\text{Fpow } A| \leq_o |\text{lists } A|$
proof–
have $\text{set ' } (\text{lists } A) = \text{Fpow } A$
unfolding *lists-def2 Fpow-def* **using** *finite-list finite-set* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis* **using** *card-of-ordLeq2[of Fpow A] Fpow-not-empty[of A]* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *card-of-Fpow-infinite[simp]*:
assumes $\neg \text{finite } A$
shows $|\text{Fpow } A| =_o |A|$
using *assms card-of-Fpow-lists card-of-lists-infinite card-of-Fpow*
ordLeq-ordIso-trans ordIso-iff-ordLeq **by** *blast*

corollary *Fpow-infinite-bij-betw*:
assumes $\neg \text{finite } A$
shows $\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f \ (Fpow \ A) \ A$
using *assms card-of-Fpow-infinite card-of-ordIso* **by** *blast*

10.6 The cardinal ω and the finite cardinals

10.6.1 First as well-orders

lemma *Field-natLess*: *Field natLess* = (*UNIV*::*nat set*)
by(*unfold Field-def natLess-def, auto*)

lemma *natLeq-well-order-on*: *well-order-on UNIV natLeq*
using *natLeq-Well-order Field-natLeq* **by** *auto*

lemma *natLeq-wo-rel*: *wo-rel natLeq*
unfolding *wo-rel-def* **using** *natLeq-Well-order* .

lemma *natLeq-ofilter-less*: *ofilter natLeq* {*0* ..< *n*}
by(*auto simp add: natLeq-wo-rel wo-rel.ofilter-def,*
simp add: Field-natLeq, unfold under-def natLeq-def, auto)

lemma *natLeq-ofilter-leq*: *ofilter natLeq* {*0* .. *n*}
by(*auto simp add: natLeq-wo-rel wo-rel.ofilter-def,*
simp add: Field-natLeq, unfold under-def natLeq-def, auto)

lemma *natLeq-UNIV-ofilter*: *wo-rel.ofilter natLeq UNIV*
using *natLeq-wo-rel Field-natLeq wo-rel.Field-ofilter[of natLeq]* **by** *auto*

lemma *closed-nat-set-iff*:
assumes $\forall (m::nat) \ n. \ n \in A \wedge m \leq n \longrightarrow m \in A$
shows $A = UNIV \vee (\exists n. A = \{0 \dots n\})$
proof –
 {**assume** $A \neq UNIV$ **hence** $\exists n. n \notin A$ **by** *blast*
 moreover obtain *n* **where** *n-def*: $n = (LEAST \ n. \ n \notin A)$ **by** *blast*
 ultimately have $1: n \notin A \wedge (\forall m. m < n \longrightarrow m \in A)$
 using *LeastI-ex[of $\lambda n. n \notin A$]* *n-def Least-le[of $\lambda n. n \notin A$]* **by** *fastforce*
 have $A = \{0 \dots n\}$
 proof(*auto simp add: 1*)
 fix *m* **assume** *: $m \in A$
 {**assume** $n \leq m$ **with** *assms* * **have** $n \in A$ **by** *blast*
 hence *False* **using** *1* **by** *auto*
 }
 thus $m < n$ **by** *fastforce*
 qed
 hence $\exists n. A = \{0 \dots n\}$ **by** *blast*
 }
 thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *natLeq-ofilter-iff*:
ofilter natLeq A = ($A = UNIV \vee (\exists n. A = \{0 \dots n\})$)
proof(*rule iffI*)
 assume *ofilter natLeq A*
 hence $\forall m \ n. n \in A \wedge m \leq n \longrightarrow m \in A$ **using** *natLeq-wo-rel*

```

  by(auto simp add: natLeq-def wo-rel.ofilter-def under-def)
  thus  $A = UNIV \vee (\exists n. A = \{0 \dots n\})$  using closed-nat-set-iff by blast
next
  assume  $A = UNIV \vee (\exists n. A = \{0 \dots n\})$ 
  thus ofilter natLeq A
  by(auto simp add: natLeq-ofilter-less natLeq-UNIV-ofilter)
qed

```

lemma *natLeq-under-leq*: $\text{under natLeq } n = \{0 \dots n\}$
unfolding *under-def natLeq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *natLeq-on-ofilter-less-eq*:
 $n \leq m \implies \text{wo-rel.ofilter } (\text{natLeq-on } m) \{0 \dots n\}$
apply (auto simp add: natLeq-on-wo-rel wo-rel.ofilter-def)
apply (simp add: Field-natLeq-on)
by (auto simp add: under-def)

lemma *natLeq-on-ofilter-iff*:
 $\text{wo-rel.ofilter } (\text{natLeq-on } m) A = (\exists n \leq m. A = \{0 \dots n\})$
proof(rule iffI)
 assume *: $\text{wo-rel.ofilter } (\text{natLeq-on } m) A$
 hence 1: $A \subseteq \{0 \dots m\}$
 by (auto simp add: natLeq-on-wo-rel wo-rel.ofilter-def under-def Field-natLeq-on)
 hence $\forall n1\ n2. n2 \in A \wedge n1 \leq n2 \implies n1 \in A$
 using * **by**(fastforce simp add: natLeq-on-wo-rel wo-rel.ofilter-def under-def)
 hence $A = UNIV \vee (\exists n. A = \{0 \dots n\})$ using closed-nat-set-iff **by** blast
 thus $\exists n \leq m. A = \{0 \dots n\}$ using 1 atLeastLessThan-less-eq **by** blast
next
 assume $(\exists n \leq m. A = \{0 \dots n\})$
 thus $\text{wo-rel.ofilter } (\text{natLeq-on } m) A$ **by** (auto simp add: natLeq-on-ofilter-less-eq)
qed

corollary *natLeq-on-ofilter*:
 $\text{ofilter}(\text{natLeq-on } n) \{0 \dots n\}$
by (auto simp add: natLeq-on-ofilter-less-eq)

lemma *natLeq-on-ofilter-less*:
 $n < m \implies \text{ofilter } (\text{natLeq-on } m) \{0 \dots n\}$
by(auto simp add: natLeq-on-wo-rel wo-rel.ofilter-def,
 simp add: Field-natLeq-on, unfold under-def, auto)

lemma *natLeq-on-ordLess-natLeq*: $\text{natLeq-on } n <_o \text{ natLeq}$
using *Field-natLeq Field-natLeq-on[of n]*
finite-ordLess-infinite[of natLeq-on n natLeq]
natLeq-Well-order natLeq-on-Well-order[of n] **by** *auto*

lemma *natLeq-on-injective*:
 $\text{natLeq-on } m = \text{natLeq-on } n \implies m = n$
using *Field-natLeq-on[of m] Field-natLeq-on[of n]*

atLeastLessThan-injective[of m n , unfolded *atLeastLessThan-def*] **by** *blast*

lemma *natLeq-on-injective-ordIso*:

$(\text{natLeq-on } m =_o \text{ natLeq-on } n) = (m = n)$

proof(*auto simp add: natLeq-on-Well-order ordIso-reflexive*)

assume $\text{natLeq-on } m =_o \text{ natLeq-on } n$

then obtain f **where** $\text{bij-betw } f \{x. x < m\} \{x. x < n\}$

using *Field-natLeq-on unfolding ordIso-def iso-def[abs-def]* **by** *auto*

thus $m = n$ **using** *atLeastLessThan-injective2*[of f m n]

unfolding *atLeast-0 atLeastLessThan-def lessThan-def Int-UNIV-left* **by** *blast*

qed

10.6.2 Then as cardinals

lemma *ordIso-natLeq-infinite1*:

$|A| =_o \text{ natLeq} \implies \neg \text{finite } A$

using *ordIso-symmetric ordIso-imp-ordLeq infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq* **by** *blast*

lemma *ordIso-natLeq-infinite2*:

$\text{natLeq} =_o |A| \implies \neg \text{finite } A$

using *ordIso-imp-ordLeq infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq* **by** *blast*

lemma *ordIso-natLeq-on-imp-finite*:

$|A| =_o \text{ natLeq-on } n \implies \text{finite } A$

unfolding *ordIso-def iso-def[abs-def]*

by (*auto simp: Field-natLeq-on bij-betw-finite*)

lemma *natLeq-on-Card-order*: *Card-order* ($\text{natLeq-on } n$)

proof(*unfold card-order-on-def*,

auto simp add: natLeq-on-Well-order, simp add: Field-natLeq-on)

fix r **assume** $\text{well-order-on } \{x. x < n\} r$

thus $\text{natLeq-on } n \leq_o r$

using *finite-atLeastLessThan natLeq-on-well-order-on*

finite-well-order-on-ordIso ordIso-iff-ordLeq **by** *blast*

qed

corollary *card-of-Field-natLeq-on*:

$|Field (\text{natLeq-on } n)| =_o \text{ natLeq-on } n$

using *Field-natLeq-on natLeq-on-Card-order*

Card-order-iff-ordIso-card-of[of $\text{natLeq-on } n$]

ordIso-symmetric[of $\text{natLeq-on } n$] **by** *blast*

corollary *card-of-less*:

$|\{0 \dots n\}| =_o \text{ natLeq-on } n$

using *Field-natLeq-on card-of-Field-natLeq-on*

unfolding *atLeast-0 atLeastLessThan-def lessThan-def Int-UNIV-left* **by** *auto*

lemma *natLeq-on-ordLeq-less-eq*:

$((\text{natLeq-on } m) \leq_o (\text{natLeq-on } n)) = (m \leq n)$

proof
assume $\text{natLeq-on } m \leq_o \text{ natLeq-on } n$
then obtain f **where** $\text{inj-on } f \{x. x < m\} \wedge f ' \{x. x < m\} \leq \{x. x < n\}$
unfolding ordLeq-def **using**
 $\text{embed-inj-on}[OF \text{ natLeq-on-Well-order}[of m], \text{ of natLeq-on } n, \text{ unfolded Field-natLeq-on}]$
 $\text{embed-Field Field-natLeq-on}$ **by** blast
thus $m \leq n$ **using** $\text{atLeastLessThan-less-eq2}$
unfolding $\text{atLeast-0 atLeastLessThan-def lessThan-def Int-UNIV-left}$ **by** blast
next
assume $m \leq n$
hence $\text{inj-on id } \{0..<m\} \wedge \text{id } ' \{0..<m\} \leq \{0..<n\}$ **unfolding** inj-on-def **by**
 auto
hence $|\{0..<m\}| \leq_o |\{0..<n\}|$ **using** card-of-ordLeq **by** blast
thus $\text{natLeq-on } m \leq_o \text{ natLeq-on } n$
using $\text{card-of-less ordIso-ordLeq-trans ordLeq-ordIso-trans ordIso-symmetric}$ **by**
 blast
qed

lemma $\text{natLeq-on-ordLeq-less}$:
 $((\text{natLeq-on } m) <_o (\text{natLeq-on } n)) = (m < n)$
using $\text{not-ordLeq-iff-ordLess}[OF \text{ natLeq-on-Well-order natLeq-on-Well-order}, \text{ of } n$
 $m]$
 $\text{natLeq-on-ordLeq-less-eq}[of n m]$ **by** linarith

lemma $\text{ordLeq-natLeq-on-imp-finite}$:
assumes $|A| \leq_o \text{ natLeq-on } n$
shows $\text{finite } A$
proof—
have $|A| \leq_o |\{0 ..< n\}|$
using $\text{assms card-of-less ordIso-symmetric ordLeq-ordIso-trans}$ **by** blast
thus $?thesis$ **by** $(\text{auto simp add: card-of-ordLeq-finite})$
qed

10.6.3 "Backward compatibility" with the numeric cardinal operator for finite sets

lemma $\text{finite-card-of-iff-card2}$:
assumes FIN : $\text{finite } A$ **and** FIN' : $\text{finite } B$
shows $(|A| \leq_o |B|) = (\text{card } A \leq \text{card } B)$
using $\text{assms card-of-ordLeq}[of A B]$ $\text{inj-on-iff-card-le}[of A B]$ **by** blast

lemma $\text{finite-imp-card-of-natLeq-on}$:
assumes $\text{finite } A$
shows $|A| =_o \text{ natLeq-on } (\text{card } A)$
proof—
obtain h **where** $\text{bij-betw } h A \{0 ..< \text{card } A\}$
using $\text{assms ex-bij-betw-finite-nat}$ **by** blast
thus $?thesis$ **using** $\text{card-of-ordIso card-of-less ordIso-equivalence}$ **by** blast
qed

lemma *finite-iff-card-of-natLeq-on*:
finite A = ($\exists n. |A| \leq n \text{ natLeq-on } n$)
using *finite-imp-card-of-natLeq-on*[of A]
by(*auto simp add: ordIso-natLeq-on-imp-finite*)

lemma *finite-card-of-iff-card*:
assumes *FIN*: *finite A* **and** *FIN'*: *finite B*
shows ($|A| \leq |B|$) = ($\text{card } A = \text{card } B$)
using *assms card-of-ordIso*[of A B] *bij-betw-iff-card*[of A B] **by** *blast*

lemma *finite-card-of-iff-card3*:
assumes *FIN*: *finite A* **and** *FIN'*: *finite B*
shows ($|A| < |B|$) = ($\text{card } A < \text{card } B$)
proof –
 have ($|A| < |B|$) = ($\sim (|B| \leq |A|)$) **by** *simp*
 also have ... = ($\sim (\text{card } B \leq \text{card } A)$)
 using *assms* **by**(*simp add: finite-card-of-iff-card2*)
 also have ... = ($\text{card } A < \text{card } B$) **by** *auto*
 finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma *card-Field-natLeq-on*:
card(Field(natLeq-on n)) = n
using *Field-natLeq-on card-atLeastLessThan* **by** *auto*

10.7 The successor of a cardinal

lemma *embed-implies-ordIso-Restr*:
assumes *WELL*: *Well-order r* **and** *WELL'*: *Well-order r'* **and** *EMB*: *embed r' r f*
shows $r' \leq \text{Restr } r (f \text{ ` } (\text{Field } r'))$
using *assms embed-implies-iso-Restr Well-order-Restr* **unfolding** *ordIso-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *cardSuc-Well-order*[*simp*]:
Card-order r $\implies$ Well-order(cardSuc r)
using *cardSuc-Card-order* **unfolding** *card-order-on-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *Field-cardSuc-not-empty*:
assumes *Card-order r*
shows *Field (cardSuc r) $\neq$ {}*
proof
 assume *Field(cardSuc r) = {}*
 hence $|\text{Field}(\text{cardSuc } r)| \leq r$ **using** *assms Card-order-empty*[of r] **by** *auto*
 hence $\text{cardSuc } r \leq r$ **using** *assms card-of-Field-ordIso*
 cardSuc-Card-order ordIso-symmetric ordIso-ordLeq-trans **by** *blast*
 thus *False* **using** *cardSuc-greater not-ordLess-ordLeq* *assms* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *cardSuc-mono-ordLess*[simp]:
assumes *CARD*: *Card-order* r **and** *CARD'*: *Card-order* r'
shows $(\text{cardSuc } r <_o \text{cardSuc } r') = (r <_o r')$
proof –
have 0 : *Well-order* $r \wedge \text{Well-order } r' \wedge \text{Well-order}(\text{cardSuc } r) \wedge \text{Well-order}(\text{cardSuc } r')$
using *assms* **by** *auto*
thus ?thesis
using *not-ordLeq-iff-ordLess not-ordLeq-iff-ordLess*[of $r \ r'$]
using *cardSuc-mono-ordLeq*[of $r' \ r$] *assms* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *cardSuc-natLeq-on-Suc*:
 $\text{cardSuc}(\text{natLeq-on } n) =_o \text{natLeq-on}(\text{Suc } n)$
proof –
obtain $r \ r' \ p$ **where** $r\text{-def}$: $r = \text{natLeq-on } n$ **and**
 $r'\text{-def}$: $r' = \text{cardSuc}(\text{natLeq-on } n)$ **and**
 $p\text{-def}$: $p = \text{natLeq-on}(\text{Suc } n)$ **by** *blast*

have *CARD*: *Card-order* $r \wedge \text{Card-order } r' \wedge \text{Card-order } p$ **unfolding** $r\text{-def } r'\text{-def } p\text{-def}$
using *cardSuc-ordLess-ordLeq natLeq-on-Card-order cardSuc-Card-order* **by** *blast*
hence *WELL*: *Well-order* $r \wedge \text{Well-order } r' \wedge \text{Well-order } p$
unfolding *card-order-on-def* **by** *force*
have *FIELD*: *Field* $r = \{0..<n\} \wedge \text{Field } p = \{0..<(\text{Suc } n)\}$
unfolding $r\text{-def } p\text{-def}$ *Field-natLeq-on atLeast-0 atLeastLessThan-def lessThan-def*
by *simp*
hence *FIN*: *finite* (*Field* r) **by** *force*
have $r <_o r'$ **using** *CARD* **unfolding** $r\text{-def } r'\text{-def}$ **using** *cardSuc-greater* **by** *blast*
hence $|\text{Field } r| <_o r'$ **using** *CARD card-of-Field-ordIso ordIso-ordLess-trans* **by** *blast*
hence *LESS*: $|\text{Field } r| <_o |\text{Field } r'|$
using *CARD card-of-Field-ordIso ordLess-ordIso-trans ordIso-symmetric* **by** *blast*

have $r' \leq_o p$ **using** *CARD* **unfolding** $r\text{-def } r'\text{-def } p\text{-def}$
using *natLeq-on-ordLeq-less cardSuc-ordLess-ordLeq* **by** *blast*
moreover **have** $p \leq_o r'$
proof –
{assume $r' <_o p$
then obtain f **where** 0 : *embedS* $r' \ p \ f$ **unfolding** *ordLess-def* **by** *force*
let ? $q = \text{Restr } p \ (f \text{ ` } \text{Field } r')$
have 1 : *embed* $r' \ p \ f$ **using** 0 **unfolding** *embedS-def* **by** *force*
hence 2 : $f \text{ ` } \text{Field } r' < \{0..<(\text{Suc } n)\}$
using *WELL FIELD 0* **by** (*auto simp add: embedS-iff*)
have *wo-rel.ofilter* $p \ (f \text{ ` } \text{Field } r')$ **using** *embed-Field-ofilter 1 WELL* **by** *blast*
then obtain m **where** $m \leq \text{Suc } n$ **and** 3 : $f \text{ ` } (\text{Field } r') = \{0..<m\}$
unfolding $p\text{-def}$ **by** (*auto simp add: natLeq-on-ofilter-iff*)
hence 4 : $m \leq n$ **using** 2 **by** *force*

have *bij-betw* f (*Field* r') (f^{-1} (*Field* r'))
 using *WELL embed-inj-on*[*OF* - 1] **unfolding** *bij-betw-def* **by** *blast*
 moreover have *finite*(f^{-1} (*Field* r')) using 3 *finite-atLeastLessThan*[*of* 0 m]
by *force*
 ultimately have 5: *finite* (*Field* r') $\wedge$ *card*(*Field* r') = *card* (f^{-1} (*Field* r'))
 using *bij-betw-same-card* *bij-betw-finite* **by** *metis*
 hence *card*(*Field* r') $\leq_o$ *card*(*Field* r) using 3 4 *FIELD* **by** *force*
 hence $|Field\ r'| \leq_o |Field\ r|$ using *FIN* 5 *finite-card-of-iff-card2* **by** *blast*
 hence *False* using *LESS not-ordLess-ordLeq* **by** *auto*
 }
 thus ?thesis using *WELL CARD* **by** *fastforce*
qed
 ultimately show ?thesis using *ordIso-iff-ordLeq* **unfolding** r' -def p -def **by**
blast
qed

lemma *card-of-Plus-ordLeq-infinite[simp]*:
 assumes C : $\neg$ *finite* C and A : $|A| \leq_o |C|$ and B : $|B| \leq_o |C|$
 shows $|A <+> B| \leq_o |C|$
proof–
 let ? r = *cardSuc* $|C|$
 have *Card-order* ? r $\wedge$ $\neg$ *finite* (*Field* ? r) using *assms* **by** *simp*
 moreover have $|A| <_o ?r$ and $|B| <_o ?r$ using $A\ B$ **by** *auto*
 ultimately have $|A <+> B| <_o ?r$
 using *card-of-Plus-ordLess-infinite-Field* **by** *blast*
 thus ?thesis using C **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *card-of-Un-ordLeq-infinite[simp]*:
 assumes C : $\neg$ *finite* C and A : $|A| \leq_o |C|$ and B : $|B| \leq_o |C|$
 shows $|A \cup B| \leq_o |C|$
 using *assms* *card-of-Plus-ordLeq-infinite* *card-of-Un-Plus-ordLeq*
ordLeq-transitive **by** *metis*

10.8 Others

lemma *under-mono[simp]*:
 assumes *Well-order* r and $(i, j) \in r$
 shows *under* $r\ i \subseteq$ *under* $r\ j$
 using *assms* **unfolding** *under-def* *order-on-defs*
trans-def **by** *blast*

lemma *underS-under*:
 assumes $i \in Field\ r$
 shows *underS* $r\ i =$ *under* $r\ i - \{i\}$
 using *assms* **unfolding** *underS-def* *under-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *relChain-under*:

assumes *Well-order r*
shows *relChain r (λ i. under r i)*
using *assms unfolding relChain-def by auto*

lemma *card-of-infinite-diff-finite:*
assumes $\neg \text{finite } A$ **and** *finite B*
shows $|A - B| =_o |A|$
by (*metis assms card-of-Un-diff-infinite finite-ordLess-infinite2*)

lemma *infinite-card-of-diff-singl:*
assumes $\neg \text{finite } A$
shows $|A - \{a\}| =_o |A|$
by (*metis assms card-of-infinite-diff-finite finite.emptyI finite.insert*)

lemma *card-of-vimage:*
assumes $B \subseteq \text{range } f$
shows $|B| \leq_o |f - 'B|$
apply(*rule surj-imp-ordLeq[of - f]*)
using *assms by (metis Int-absorb2 image-vimage-eq order-refl)*

lemma *surj-card-of-vimage:*
assumes *surj f*
shows $|B| \leq_o |f - 'B|$
by (*metis assms card-of-vimage subset-UNIV*)

lemma *infinite-Pow:*
assumes $\neg \text{finite } A$
shows $\neg \text{finite } (\text{Pow } A)$
proof–
have $|A| \leq_o |\text{Pow } A|$ **by** (*metis card-of-Pow ordLess-imp-ordLeq*)
thus *?thesis* **by** (*metis assms finite-Pow-iff*)
qed

definition *Bpow where*
 $Bpow\ r\ A \equiv \{X . X \subseteq A \wedge |X| \leq_o r\}$

lemma *Bpow-empty[simp]:*
assumes *Card-order r*
shows $Bpow\ r\ \{\} = \{\{\}\}$
using *assms unfolding Bpow-def by auto*

lemma *singl-in-Bpow:*
assumes *rc: Card-order r*
and *r: Field r* $r \neq \{\}$ **and** *a: a ∈ A*
shows $\{a\} \in Bpow\ r\ A$
proof–
have $|\{a\}| \leq_o r$ **using** *r rc by auto*
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *Bpow-def using a by auto*

qed

lemma *ordLeq-card-Bpow*:

assumes *rc*: *Card-order* *r* **and** *r*: *Field* *r* $\neq \{\}$

shows $|A| \leq_o |Bpow\ r\ A|$

proof–

have *inj-on* $(\lambda\ a.\ \{a\})\ A$ **unfolding** *inj-on-def* **by** *auto*

moreover have $(\lambda\ a.\ \{a\})\ 'A \subseteq Bpow\ r\ A$

using *singl-in-Bpow*[*OF assms*] **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **unfolding** *card-of-ordLeq*[*symmetric*] **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *infinite-Bpow*:

assumes *rc*: *Card-order* *r* **and** *r*: *Field* *r* $\neq \{\}$

and *A*: $\neg$ *finite* *A*

shows $\neg$ *finite* (*Bpow* *r* *A*)

using *ordLeq-card-Bpow*[*OF rc r*]

by (*metis* *A* *card-of-ordLeq-infinite*)

definition *Func-option* **where**

Func-option *A B* $\equiv$

$\{f.\ (\forall\ a.\ f\ a \neq \text{None} \longleftrightarrow a \in A) \wedge (\forall\ a \in A.\ \text{case } f\ a\ \text{of } \text{Some } b \Rightarrow b \in B \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True})\}$

lemma *card-of-Func-option-Func*:

$|Func-option\ A\ B| =_o |Func\ A\ B|$

proof (*rule* *ordIso-symmetric*, *unfold* *card-of-ordIso*[*symmetric*], *intro* *exI*)

let $?F = \lambda\ f\ a.\ \text{if } a \in A\ \text{then } \text{Some } (f\ a)\ \text{else } \text{None}$

show *bij-betw* $?F\ (Func\ A\ B)\ (Func-option\ A\ B)$

unfolding *bij-betw-def* **unfolding** *inj-on-def* **proof**(*intro* *conjI* *ballI* *impI*)

fix *f g* **assume** *f*: $f \in Func\ A\ B$ **and** *g*: $g \in Func\ A\ B$ **and** *eq*: $?F\ f = ?F\ g$

show $f = g$

proof(*rule* *ext*)

fix *a*

show $f\ a = g\ a$

proof(*cases* $a \in A$)

case *True*

have $\text{Some } (f\ a) = ?F\ f\ a$ **using** *True* **by** *auto*

also **have** $\dots = ?F\ g\ a$ **using** *eq* **unfolding** *fun-eq-iff* **by**(*rule* *allE*)

also **have** $\dots = \text{Some } (g\ a)$ **using** *True* **by** *auto*

finally **have** $\text{Some } (f\ a) = \text{Some } (g\ a)$.

thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed(*insert* *f g*, *unfold* *Func-def*, *auto*)

qed

next

show $?F\ 'A\ B = Func-option\ A\ B$

proof *safe*

fix *f* **assume** *f*: $f \in Func-option\ A\ B$

define *g* **where** [*abs-def*]: $g\ a = (\text{case } f\ a\ \text{of } \text{Some } b \Rightarrow b \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{undefined})$

```

for  $a$ 
  have  $g \in \text{Func } A \ B$ 
  using  $f$  unfolding  $g\text{-def}$   $\text{Func-def}$   $\text{Func-option-def}$  by  $\text{force+}$ 
  moreover have  $f = ?F \ g$ 
  proof ( $\text{rule ext}$ )
    fix  $a$  show  $f \ a = ?F \ g \ a$ 
    using  $f$  unfolding  $\text{Func-option-def}$   $g\text{-def}$  by ( $\text{cases } a \in A$ )  $\text{force+}$ 
  qed
  ultimately show  $f \in ?F \ '(\text{Func } A \ B)$  by  $\text{blast}$ 
qed ( $\text{unfold } \text{Func-def}$   $\text{Func-option-def}$ ,  $\text{auto}$ )
qed
qed

```

definition $P\text{func}$ **where**

```

 $P\text{func } A \ B \equiv$ 
 $\{f. (\forall a. f \ a \neq \text{None} \longrightarrow a \in A) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall a. \text{case } f \ a \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some } b \Rightarrow b \in B)\}$ 

```

lemma Func-Pfunc :

$\text{Func-option } A \ B \subseteq P\text{func } A \ B$

unfolding Func-option-def $P\text{func-def}$ **by** auto

lemma $P\text{func-Func-option}$:

$P\text{func } A \ B = (\bigcup A' \in \text{Pow } A. \text{Func-option } A' \ B)$

proof safe

fix f **assume** $f: f \in P\text{func } A \ B$

show $f \in (\bigcup A' \in \text{Pow } A. \text{Func-option } A' \ B)$

proof (intro UN-I)

let $?A' = \{a. f \ a \neq \text{None}\}$

show $?A' \in \text{Pow } A$ **using** f **unfolding** Pow-def $P\text{func-def}$ **by** auto

show $f \in \text{Func-option } ?A' \ B$ **using** f **unfolding** Func-option-def $P\text{func-def}$

by auto

qed

next

fix $f \ A'$ **assume** $f \in \text{Func-option } A' \ B$ **and** $A' \subseteq A$

thus $f \in P\text{func } A \ B$ **unfolding** Func-option-def $P\text{func-def}$ **by** auto

qed

lemma card-of-Func-mono :

fixes $A1 \ A2 :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and** $B :: 'b \text{ set}$

assumes $A12: A1 \subseteq A2$ **and** $B: B \neq \{\}$

shows $|\text{Func } A1 \ B| \leq_o |\text{Func } A2 \ B|$

proof—

obtain bb **where** $bb: bb \in B$ **using** B **by** auto

define F **where** $[\text{abs-def}]: F \ f1 \ a =$

$(\text{if } a \in A2 \text{ then } (\text{if } a \in A1 \text{ then } f1 \ a \text{ else } bb) \text{ else undefined})$ **for** $f1 :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$

and a

show $?thesis$ **unfolding** $\text{card-of-ordLeq}[\text{symmetric}]$ **proof** ($\text{intro exI}[\text{of } - \ F]$ conjI)

```

show inj-on F (Func A1 B) unfolding inj-on-def proof safe
  fix f g assume f: f ∈ Func A1 B and g: g ∈ Func A1 B and eq: F f = F g
  show f = g
  proof(rule ext)
    fix a show f a = g a
    proof(cases a ∈ A1)
      case True
        thus ?thesis using eq A12 unfolding F-def fun-eq-iff
        by (elim allE[of - a]) auto
      qed(insert f g, unfold Func-def, fastforce)
    qed
  qed
qed(insert bb, unfold Func-def F-def, force)
qed

```

```

lemma card-of-Func-option-mono:
fixes A1 A2 :: 'a set and B :: 'b set
assumes A12: A1 ⊆ A2 and B: B ≠ {}
shows |Func-option A1 B| ≤o |Func-option A2 B|
by (metis card-of-Func-mono[OF A12 B] card-of-Func-option-Func
  ordIso-ordLeq-trans ordLeq-ordIso-trans ordIso-symmetric)

```

```

lemma card-of-Pfunc-Pow-Func-option:
assumes B ≠ {}
shows |Pfunc A B| ≤o |Pow A × Func-option A B|
proof–
  have |Pfunc A B| =o |⋃ A' ∈ Pow A. Func-option A' B| (is - =o ?K)
  unfolding Pfunc-Func-option by (rule card-of-refl)
  also have ?K ≤o |Sigma (Pow A) (λ A'. Func-option A' B)| using card-of-UNION-Sigma
  .
  also have |Sigma (Pow A) (λ A'. Func-option A' B)| ≤o |Pow A × Func-option
A B|
  apply(rule card-of-Sigma-mono1) using card-of-Func-option-mono[OF - assms]
by auto
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma Bpow-ordLeq-Func-Field:
assumes rc: Card-order r and r: Field r ≠ {} and A: ¬finite A
shows |Bpow r A| ≤o |Func (Field r) A|
proof–
  let ?F = λ f. {x | x a. f a = x ∧ a ∈ Field r}
  {fix X assume X ∈ Bpow r A - {} }
  hence XA: X ⊆ A and |X| ≤o r
  and X: X ≠ {} unfolding Bpow-def by auto
  hence |X| ≤o |Field r| by (metis Field-card-of card-of-mono2)
  then obtain F where 1: X = F ' (Field r)
  using card-of-ordLeq2[OF X] by metis
  define f where [abs-def]: f i = (if i ∈ Field r then F i else undefined) for i

```

```

have  $\exists f \in \text{Func } (\text{Field } r) \ A. \ X = ?F \ f$ 
apply (intro beXI[of - f]) using 1 XA unfolding Func-def f-def by auto
}
hence  $B^{\text{pow}} r \ A - \{\{\}\} \subseteq ?F \ ' (\text{Func } (\text{Field } r) \ A)$  by auto
hence  $|B^{\text{pow}} r \ A - \{\{\}\}| \leq_o |\text{Func } (\text{Field } r) \ A|$ 
by (rule surj-imp-ordLeq)
moreover
{have 2:  $\neg \text{finite } (B^{\text{pow}} r \ A)$  using infinite-Bpow[OF rc r A] .
have  $|B^{\text{pow}} r \ A| =_o |B^{\text{pow}} r \ A - \{\{\}\}|$ 
by (metis 2 infinite-card-of-diff-singl ordIso-symmetric)
}
ultimately show ?thesis by (metis ordIso-ordLeq-trans)
qed

```

```

lemma empty-in-Func[simp]:
 $B \neq \{\} \implies (\lambda x. \text{undefined}) \in \text{Func } \{\} \ B$ 
unfolding Func-def by auto

```

```

lemma Func-mono[simp]:
assumes  $B1 \subseteq B2$ 
shows  $\text{Func } A \ B1 \subseteq \text{Func } A \ B2$ 
using assms unfolding Func-def by force

```

```

lemma Pfunc-mono[simp]:
assumes  $A1 \subseteq A2$  and  $B1 \subseteq B2$ 
shows  $P\text{func } A \ B1 \subseteq P\text{func } A \ B2$ 
using assms unfolding Pfunc-def
apply safe
apply (case-tac x a, auto)
apply (metis in-mono option.simps(5))
done

```

```

lemma card-of-Func-UNIV-UNIV:
 $|\text{Func } (\text{UNIV}::'a \ \text{set}) \ (\text{UNIV}::'b \ \text{set})| =_o |\text{UNIV}::('a \Rightarrow 'b) \ \text{set}|$ 
using card-of-Func-UNIV[of UNIV::'b set] by auto

```

```

lemma ordLeq-Func:
assumes  $\{b1, b2\} \subseteq B \ b1 \neq b2$ 
shows  $|A| \leq_o |\text{Func } A \ B|$ 
unfolding card-of-ordLeq[symmetric] proof(intro exI conjI)
let  $?F = \lambda aa \ a. \ \text{if } a \in A \ \text{then } (\text{if } a = aa \ \text{then } b1 \ \text{else } b2) \ \text{else } \text{undefined}$ 
show inj-on  $?F \ A$  using assms unfolding inj-on-def fun-eq-iff by auto
show  $?F \ ' A \subseteq \text{Func } A \ B$  using assms unfolding Func-def by auto
qed

```

```

lemma infinite-Func:
assumes  $A: \neg \text{finite } A$  and  $B: \{b1, b2\} \subseteq B \ b1 \neq b2$ 
shows  $\neg \text{finite } (\text{Func } A \ B)$ 
using ordLeq-Func[OF B] by (metis A card-of-ordLeq-finite)

```

10.9 Infinite cardinals are limit ordinals

lemma *card-order-infinite-isLimOrd*:

assumes *c*: *Card-order* *r* **and** *i*: $\neg$ *finite* (*Field* *r*)

shows *isLimOrd* *r*

proof –

have *0*: *wo-rel* *r* **and** *00*: *Well-order* *r*

using *c* **unfolding** *card-order-on-def* *wo-rel-def* **by** *auto*

hence *rr*: *Refl* *r* **by** (*metis* *wo-rel.REFL*)

show *?thesis* **unfolding** *wo-rel.isLimOrd-def*[*OF* *0*] *wo-rel.isSuccOrd-def*[*OF* *0*]

proof *safe*

fix *j* **assume** *j*: *j* $\in$ *Field* *r* **and** *jm*: $\forall i \in \text{Field } r. (i, j) \in r$

define *p* **where** *p* = *Restr* *r* (*Field* *r* – {*j*})

have *fp*: *Field* *p* = *Field* *r* – {*j*}

unfolding *p-def* **apply**(*rule* *Refl-Field-Restr2*[*OF* *rr*]) **by** *auto*

have *of*: *ofilter* *r* (*Field* *p*) **unfolding** *wo-rel.ofilter-def*[*OF* *0*] **proof** *safe*

fix *a* *x* **assume** *a*: *a* $\in$ *Field* *p* **and** *x* $\in$ *under* *r* *a*

hence *x*: (*x*, *a*) $\in$ *r* *x* $\in$ *Field* *r* **unfolding** *under-def* *Field-def* **by** *auto*

moreover **have** *a*: *a* $\neq$ *j* *a* $\in$ *Field* *r* (*a*, *j*) $\in$ *r* **using** *a* *jm* **unfolding** *fp* **by**

auto

ultimately **have** *x* $\neq$ *j* **using** *j* *jm* **by** (*metis* *0* *wo-rel.max2-def* *wo-rel.max2-equals1*)

thus *x* $\in$ *Field* *p* **using** *x* **unfolding** *fp* **by** *auto*

qed(*unfold* *p-def* *Field-def*, *auto*)

have *p* $<_o$ *r* **using** *j* *ofilter-ordLess*[*OF* *00* *of*] **unfolding** *fp* *p-def*[*symmetric*]

by *auto*

hence *2*: *|Field* *p*| $<_o$ *r* **using** *c* **by** (*metis* *BNF-Cardinal-Order-Relation.ordLess-Field*)

have *|Field* *p*| =_{*o*} *|Field* *r*| **unfolding** *fp* **using** *i* **by** (*metis* *infinite-card-of-diff-singl*)

also **have** *|Field* *r*| =_{*o*} *r*

using *c* **by** (*metis* *card-of-unique ordIso-symmetric*)

finally **have** *|Field* *p*| =_{*o*} *r* .

with *2* **show** *False* **by** (*metis* *not-ordLess-ordIso*)

qed

qed

lemma *insert-Chain*:

assumes *Refl* *r* *C* $\in$ *Chains* *r* **and** *i* $\in$ *Field* *r* **and** $\bigwedge j. j \in C \implies (j, i) \in r \vee (i, j) \in r$

shows *insert* *i* *C* $\in$ *Chains* *r*

using *assms* **unfolding** *Chains-def* **by** (*auto* *dest*: *refl-onD*)

lemma *Collect-insert*: $\{R\ j\ |\ j. j \in \text{insert } j1\ J\} = \text{insert } (R\ j1)\ \{R\ j\ |\ j. j \in J\}$

by *auto*

lemma *Field-init-seg-of*[*simp*]:

Field *init-seg-of* = *UNIV*

unfolding *Field-def* *init-seg-of-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *refl-init-seg-of*[*intro*, *simp*]: *refl* *init-seg-of*

unfolding *refl-on-def* *Field-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *regularCard-all-ex*:
assumes r : *Card-order* r *regularCard* r
and As : $\bigwedge i j b. b \in B \implies (i,j) \in r \implies P i b \implies P j b$
and $Bsub$: $\forall b \in B. \exists i \in Field\ r. P i b$
and $cardB$: $|B| < o\ r$
shows $\exists i \in Field\ r. \forall b \in B. P i b$
proof–
 let $?As = \lambda i. \{b \in B. P i b\}$
 have $\exists i \in Field\ r. B \leq ?As\ i$
 apply(*rule regularCard-UNION*) **using** *assms unfolding relChain-def* **by** *auto*
 thus *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *relChain-stabilize*:
assumes rc : *relChain* $r\ As$ **and** AsB : $(\bigcup i \in Field\ r. As\ i) \subseteq B$ **and** Br : $|B| < o\ r$
and ir : $\neg finite\ (Field\ r)$ **and** cr : *Card-order* r
shows $\exists i \in Field\ r. As\ (succ\ r\ i) = As\ i$
proof(*rule ccontr, auto*)
 have 0 : *wo-rel* r **and** 00 : *Well-order* r
 unfolding *wo-rel-def* **by** (*metis card-order-on-well-order-on cr*)+
 have L : *isLimOrd* r **using** $ir\ cr$ **by** (*metis card-order-infinite-isLimOrd*)
 have $AsBs$: $(\bigcup i \in Field\ r. As\ (succ\ r\ i)) \subseteq B$
 using $AsB\ L$ **apply** *safe* **by** (*metis 0 UN-I subsetD wo-rel.isLimOrd-succ*)
 assume $As-s$: $\forall i \in Field\ r. As\ (succ\ r\ i) \neq As\ i$
 have 1 : $\forall i j. (i,j) \in r \wedge i \neq j \longrightarrow As\ i \subset As\ j$
 proof *safe*
 fix $i j$ **assume** 1 : $(i, j) \in r\ i \neq j$ **and** $Asij$: $As\ i = As\ j$
 hence rij : $(succ\ r\ i, j) \in r$ **by** (*metis 0 wo-rel.succ-smallest*)
 hence $As\ (succ\ r\ i) \subseteq As\ j$ **using** rc **unfolding** *relChain-def* **by** *auto*
 moreover
 {have} $(i, succ\ r\ i) \in r$ **apply**(*rule wo-rel.succ-in[OF 0]*)
 using 1 **unfolding** *aboveS-def* **by** *auto*
 hence $As\ i \subset As\ (succ\ r\ i)$ **using** $As-s\ rc\ rij$ **unfolding** *relChain-def Field-def*
 by *auto*
 }
 ultimately show *False* **unfolding** $Asij$ **by** *auto*
qed (*insert rc, unfold relChain-def, auto*)
hence $\forall i \in Field\ r. \exists a. a \in As\ (succ\ r\ i) - As\ i$
using *wo-rel.succ-in[OF 0] AsB*
by(*metis 0 card-order-infinite-isLimOrd cr ir psubset-imp-ex-mem*
 wo-rel.isLimOrd-aboveS wo-rel.succ-diff)
then obtain f **where** f : $\forall i \in Field\ r. f\ i \in As\ (succ\ r\ i) - As\ i$ **by** *metis*
have *inj-on* f (*Field* r) **unfolding** *inj-on-def* **proof** *safe*
 fix $i j$ **assume** ij : $i \in Field\ r\ j \in Field\ r$ **and** fij : $f\ i = f\ j$
 show $i = j$
 proof(*cases rule: wo-rel.cases-Total3[OF 0], safe*)
 assume $(i, j) \in r$ **and** ijd : $i \neq j$
 hence rij : $(succ\ r\ i, j) \in r$ **by** (*metis 0 wo-rel.succ-smallest*)
 hence $As\ (succ\ r\ i) \subseteq As\ j$ **using** rc **unfolding** *relChain-def* **by** *auto*

```

    thus  $i = j$  using  $ij\ ijd\ fij\ f$  by auto
  next
    assume  $(j, i) \in r$  and  $ijd: i \neq j$ 
    hence  $rij: (succ\ r\ j, i) \in r$  by (metis 0 wo-rel.succ-smallest)
    hence  $As\ (succ\ r\ j) \subseteq As\ i$  using  $rc$  unfolding  $relChain-def$  by auto
    thus  $j = i$  using  $ij\ ijd\ fij\ f$  by fastforce
  qed(insert  $ij$ , auto)
qed
moreover have  $f' (Field\ r) \subseteq B$  using  $f\ AsBs$  by auto
moreover have  $|B| <_o |Field\ r|$  using  $Br\ cr$  by (metis card-of-unique ord-
Less-ordIso-trans)
ultimately show  $False$  unfolding  $card-of-ordLess[symmetric]$  by auto
qed

```

10.10 Regular vs. stable cardinals

definition $stable :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow bool$

where

$$stable\ r \equiv \forall (A::'a\ set)\ (F::'a \Rightarrow 'a\ set). \\ |A| <_o r \wedge (\forall a \in A. |F\ a| <_o r) \\ \longrightarrow |SIGMA\ a : A. F\ a| <_o r$$

lemma *regularCard-stable*:

assumes cr : *Card-order* r and ir : $\neg finite\ (Field\ r)$ and reg : *regularCard* r

shows $stable\ r$

unfolding *stable-def* **proof** *safe*

```

  fix  $A::'a\ set$  and  $F::'a \Rightarrow 'a\ set$  assume  $A: |A| <_o r$  and  $F: \forall a \in A. |F\ a| <_o r$ 
  {assume  $r \leq_o |Sigma\ A\ F|$ 
   hence  $|Field\ r| \leq_o |Sigma\ A\ F|$  using card-of-Field-ordIso[OF cr]
   by (metis Field-card-of card-of-cong ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso ordLeq-ordLess-trans)
   moreover have  $Fi: Field\ r \neq \{\}$  using  $ir$  by auto
   ultimately obtain  $f$  where  $f: f' Sigma\ A\ F = Field\ r$  using card-of-ordLeq2
  by metis
  have  $r$ : wo-rel  $r$  using  $cr$  unfolding card-order-on-def wo-rel-def by auto
  {fix  $a$  assume  $a: a \in A$ 
   define  $L$  where  $L = \{(a, u) \mid u. u \in F\ a\}$ 
   have  $fL: f' L \subseteq Field\ r$  using  $f\ a$  unfolding  $L-def$  by auto
   have  $|L| =_o |F\ a|$  unfolding  $L-def$  card-of-ordIso[symmetric]
   apply(rule exI[of - snd]) unfolding bij-betw-def inj-on-def by (auto simp:
image-def)
   hence  $|L| <_o r$  using  $F\ a\ ordIso-ordLess-trans[of\ |L|\ |F\ a|]$  unfolding  $L-def$ 
  by auto
  hence  $|f' L| <_o r$  using ordLeq-ordLess-trans[OF card-of-image, of L] unfolding  $L-def$ 
  by auto
  hence  $\neg cofinal\ (f' L)\ r$  using  $reg\ fL$  unfolding regularCard-def by (metis
not-ordLess-ordIso)
  then obtain  $k$  where  $k: k \in Field\ r$  and  $\forall l \in L. \neg (f\ l \neq k \wedge (k, f\ l) \in r)$ 
  unfolding cofinal-def image-def by auto

```

hence $\exists k \in \text{Field } r. \forall l \in L. (f l, k) \in r$ **using** r **by** (*metis fL image-subset-iff wo-rel.in-notinI*)
 hence $\exists k \in \text{Field } r. \forall u \in F a. (f (a, u), k) \in r$ **unfolding** $L\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
 }
 then obtain gg where $gg: \forall a \in A. \forall u \in F a. (f (a, u), gg a) \in r$ **by** *metis*
 obtain $j0$ where $j0: j0 \in \text{Field } r$ **using** Fi **by** *auto*
 define g where [*abs-def*]: $g a = (\text{if } F a \neq \{\} \text{ then } gg a \text{ else } j0)$ **for** a
 have $g: \forall a \in A. \forall u \in F a. (f (a, u), g a) \in r$ **using** gg **unfolding** $g\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
 hence $1: \text{Field } r \subseteq (\bigcup a \in A. \text{under } r (g a))$
 using $f[\text{symmetric}]$ **unfolding** $\text{under-def image-def}$ **by** *auto*
 have $gA: g \text{ ' } A \subseteq \text{Field } r$ **using** $gg j0$ **unfolding** $\text{Field-def } g\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
 moreover have $\text{cofinal } (g \text{ ' } A) r$ **unfolding** cofinal-def **proof** *safe*
 fix i assume $i \in \text{Field } r$
 then obtain j where $ij: (i, j) \in r \wedge i \neq j$ **using** $cr \text{ ir}$ **by** (*metis infinite-Card-order-limit*)
 hence $j \in \text{Field } r$ **by** (*metis card-order-on-def cr well-order-on-domain*)
 then obtain a where $a: a \in A$ and $j: (j, g a) \in r$ **using** 1 **unfolding** under-def **by** *auto*
 hence $(i, g a) \in r$ **using** $ij \text{ wo-rel.TRANS}[OF r]$ **unfolding** trans-def **by** *blast*
 moreover have $i \neq g a$
 using $ij j r$ **unfolding** wo-rel-def **unfolding** $\text{well-order-on-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def antisym-def}$ **by** *auto*
 ultimately show $\exists j \in g \text{ ' } A. i \neq j \wedge (i, j) \in r$ **using** a **by** *auto*
 qed
 ultimately have $|g \text{ ' } A| =_o r$ **using** reg **unfolding** regularCard-def **by** *auto*
 moreover have $|g \text{ ' } A| \leq_o |A|$ **by** (*metis card-of-image*)
 ultimately have False **using** A **by** (*metis not-ordLess-ordIso ordLeq-ordLess-trans*)
 }
 thus $|\text{Sigma } A F| <_o r$
 using $cr \text{ not-ordLess-iff-ordLeq}$ **by** (*metis card-of-Well-order card-order-on-well-order-on*)
 qed

lemma *stable-regularCard*:

assumes $cr: \text{Card-order } r$ **and** $ir: \neg \text{finite } (\text{Field } r)$ **and** $st: \text{stable } r$

shows $\text{regularCard } r$

unfolding regularCard-def **proof** *safe*

fix K assume $K: K \subseteq \text{Field } r$ **and** $\text{cof}: \text{cofinal } K r$

have $|K| \leq_o r$ **using** K **by** (*metis card-of-Field-ordIso card-of-mono1 cr ordLeq-ordIso-trans*)

moreover

{assume $Kr: |K| <_o r$

then obtain f where $\forall a \in \text{Field } r. f a \in K \wedge a \neq f a \wedge (a, f a) \in r$

using cof **unfolding** cofinal-def **by** *metis*

hence $\text{Field } r \subseteq (\bigcup a \in K. \text{underS } r a)$ **unfolding** underS-def **by** *auto*

hence $r \leq_o |\bigcup a \in K. \text{underS } r a|$ **using** cr

by (*metis Card-order-iff-ordLeq-card-of card-of-mono1 ordLeq-transitive*)

also have $|\bigcup a \in K. \text{underS } r a| \leq_o |\text{SIGMA } a: K. \text{underS } r a|$ **by** (*rule card-of-UNION-Sigma*)

also
 {have $\forall a \in K. |underS\ r\ a| <_o r$ using K by (metis card-of-underS cr subsetD)
 hence $|SIGMA\ a : K. underS\ r\ a| <_o r$ using $st\ Kr$ unfolding stable-def by
 auto
 }
 finally have $r <_o r$.
 hence $False$ by (metis ordLess-irreflexive)
 }
 ultimately show $|K| =_o r$ by (metis ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso)
 qed

lemma stable-elim:

assumes ST : stable r and A -LESS: $|A| <_o r$ and

F -LESS: $\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies |F\ a| <_o r$

shows $|SIGMA\ a : A. F\ a| <_o r$

proof –

obtain A' where 1: $A' < Field\ r \wedge |A'| <_o r$ and 2: $|A| =_o |A'|$
 using internalize-card-of-ordLess[of $A\ r$] A -LESS by blast
 then obtain G where 3: bij-betw $G\ A'\ A$
 using card-of-ordIso ordIso-symmetric by blast

{fix a assume $a \in A$
 hence $\exists B'. B' \leq Field\ r \wedge |F\ a| =_o |B'| \wedge |B'| <_o r$
 using internalize-card-of-ordLess[of $F\ a\ r$] F -LESS by blast
 }

then obtain F' where

temp: $\forall a \in A. F'\ a \leq Field\ r \wedge |F\ a| =_o |F'\ a| \wedge |F'\ a| <_o r$
 using bchoice[of $A\ \lambda a\ B'. B' \leq Field\ r \wedge |F\ a| =_o |B'| \wedge |B'| <_o r$] by blast
 hence 4: $\forall a \in A. F'\ a \leq Field\ r \wedge |F'\ a| <_o r$ by auto
 have 5: $\forall a \in A. |F'\ a| =_o |F\ a|$ using temp ordIso-symmetric by auto

have $\forall a' \in A'. F'(G\ a') \leq Field\ r \wedge |F'(G\ a')| <_o r$
 using 3 4 bij-betw-ball[of $G\ A'\ A$] by auto
 hence $|SIGMA\ a' : A'. F'(G\ a')| <_o r$
 using $ST\ 1$ unfolding stable-def by auto
 moreover have $|SIGMA\ a' : A'. F'(G\ a')| =_o |SIGMA\ a : A. F\ a|$
 using card-of-Sigma-cong[of $G\ A'\ A\ F'\ F$] 5 3 by blast
 ultimately show ?thesis using ordIso-symmetric ordIso-ordLess-trans by blast
 qed

lemma stable-natLeq: stable natLeq

proof(unfold stable-def, safe)

fix $A :: 'a\ set$ and $F :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ set$

assume $|A| <_o natLeq$ and $\forall a \in A. |F\ a| <_o natLeq$

hence finite $A \wedge (\forall a \in A. finite(F\ a))$

by (auto simp add: finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq)

hence finite(Sigma $A\ F$) by (simp only: finite-SigmaI[of $A\ F$])

thus $|Sigma\ A\ F| <_o natLeq$

by (auto simp add: finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq)
qed

corollary *regularCard-natLeq*: *regularCard natLeq*
using *stable-regularCard*[*OF natLeq-Card-order - stable-natLeq*] *Field-natLeq* by
simp

lemma *stable-cardSuc*:
assumes *CARD*: *Card-order r* and *INF*: $\neg \text{finite } (\text{Field } r)$
shows *stable(cardSuc r)*
using *infinite-cardSuc-regularCard regularCard-stable*
by (metis *CARD INF cardSuc-Card-order cardSuc-finite*)

lemma *stable-UNION*:
assumes *ST*: *stable r* and *A-LESS*: $|A| <_o r$ and
F-LESS: $\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies |F a| <_o r$
shows $|\bigcup a \in A. F a| <_o r$
proof–
have $|\bigcup a \in A. F a| \leq_o |\text{SIGMA } a : A. F a|$
using *card-of-UNION-Sigma* by *blast*
thus ?thesis using *assms stable-elim ordLeq-ordLess-trans* by *blast*
qed

lemma *stable-ordIso1*:
assumes *ST*: *stable r* and *ISO*: $r' =_o r$
shows *stable r'*
proof(*unfold stable-def, auto*)
fix *A*::*'b set* and *F*::*'b $\Rightarrow$ 'b set*
assume $|A| <_o r'$ and $\forall a \in A. |F a| <_o r'$
hence $(|A| <_o r) \wedge (\forall a \in A. |F a| <_o r)$
using *ISO ordLess-ordIso-trans* by *blast*
hence $|\text{SIGMA } a : A. F a| <_o r$ using *assms stable-elim* by *blast*
thus $|\text{SIGMA } a : A. F a| <_o r'$
using *ISO ordIso-symmetric ordLess-ordIso-trans* by *blast*
qed

lemma *stable-ordIso2*:
assumes *ST*: *stable r* and *ISO*: $r =_o r'$
shows *stable r'*
using *assms stable-ordIso1 ordIso-symmetric* by *blast*

lemma *stable-ordIso*:
assumes $r =_o r'$
shows *stable r = stable r'*
using *assms stable-ordIso1 stable-ordIso2* by *blast*

lemma *stable-nat*: *stable |UNIV::nat set|*
using *stable-natLeq card-of-nat stable-ordIso* by *auto*

Below, the type of "A" is not important – we just had to choose an appropri-

ate type to make "A" possible. What is important is that arbitrarily large infinite sets of stable cardinality exist.

lemma *infinite-stable-exists*:

assumes *CARD*: $\forall r \in R. \text{Card-order } (r::'a \text{ rel})$

shows $\exists (A :: (\text{nat} + 'a \text{ set})\text{set}).$

$\neg \text{finite } A \wedge \text{stable } |A| \wedge (\forall r \in R. r <_o |A|)$

proof –

have $\exists (A :: (\text{nat} + 'a \text{ set})\text{set}).$

$\neg \text{finite } A \wedge \text{stable } |A| \wedge |UNIV::'a \text{ set}| <_o |A|$

proof(*cases finite (UNIV::'a set)*)

assume *Case1*: *finite (UNIV::'a set)*

let $?B = UNIV::\text{nat set}$

have $\neg \text{finite } (?B <_+> \{\})$ **using** *finite-Plus-iff* **by** *blast*

moreover

have *stable* $|?B|$ **using** *stable-natLeq card-of-nat stable-ordIso1* **by** *blast*

hence *stable* $|?B <_+> \{\}|$ **using** *stable-ordIso card-of-Plus-empty1* **by** *blast*

moreover

have $\neg \text{finite}(\text{Field } |?B|) \wedge \text{finite}(\text{Field } |UNIV::'a \text{ set}|)$ **using** *Case1* **by** *simp*

hence $|UNIV::'a \text{ set}| <_o |?B|$ **by** (*simp add: finite-ordLess-infinite*)

hence $|UNIV::'a \text{ set}| <_o |?B <_+> \{\}|$ **using** *card-of-Plus-empty1 ordLess-ordIso-trans*

by *blast*

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

next

assume *Case1*: $\neg \text{finite } (UNIV::'a \text{ set})$

hence $*$: $\neg \text{finite}(\text{Field } |UNIV::'a \text{ set}|)$ **by** *simp*

let $?B = \text{Field}(\text{cardSuc } |UNIV::'a \text{ set}|)$

have 0 : $|?B| =_o |\{\}| <_+> ?B$ **using** *card-of-Plus-empty2* **by** *blast*

have 1 : $\neg \text{finite } ?B$ **using** *Case1 card-of-cardSuc-finite* **by** *blast*

hence 2 : $\neg \text{finite}(\{\} <_+> ?B)$ **using** 0 *card-of-ordIso-finite* **by** *blast*

have $|?B| =_o \text{cardSuc } |UNIV::'a \text{ set}|$

using *card-of-Card-order cardSuc-Card-order card-of-Field-ordIso* **by** *blast*

moreover **have** *stable*($\text{cardSuc } |UNIV::'a \text{ set}|$)

using *stable-cardSuc * card-of-Card-order* **by** *blast*

ultimately **have** *stable* $|?B|$ **using** *stable-ordIso* **by** *blast*

hence 3 : *stable* $|\{\} <_+> ?B|$ **using** *stable-ordIso 0* **by** *blast*

have $|UNIV::'a \text{ set}| <_o \text{cardSuc } |UNIV::'a \text{ set}|$

using *card-of-Card-order cardSuc-greater* **by** *blast*

moreover **have** $|?B| =_o \text{cardSuc } |UNIV::'a \text{ set}|$

using *card-of-Card-order cardSuc-Card-order card-of-Field-ordIso* **by** *blast*

ultimately **have** $|UNIV::'a \text{ set}| <_o |?B|$

using *ordIso-symmetric ordLess-ordIso-trans* **by** *blast*

hence $|UNIV::'a \text{ set}| <_o |\{\} <_+> ?B|$ **using** 0 *ordLess-ordIso-trans* **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis* **using** $2\ 3$ **by** *blast*

qed

thus *?thesis* **using** *CARD card-of-UNIV2 ordLeq-ordLess-trans* **by** *blast*

qed

corollary *infinite-regularCard-exists*:

assumes *CARD*: $\forall r \in R. \text{Card-order } (r::'a \text{ rel})$

```

shows  $\exists (A :: (\text{nat} + 'a \text{ set}) \text{ set}).$ 
 $\neg \text{finite } A \wedge \text{regularCard } |A| \wedge (\forall r \in R. r <_o |A|)$ 
using infinite-stable-exists[OF CARD] stable-regularCard by (metis Field-card-of
card-of-card-order-on)

end

```

11 Cardinal Arithmetic

```

theory Cardinal-Arithmetic
imports Cardinal-Order-Relation
begin

```

11.1 Binary sum

```

lemma csum-Cnotzero2:
 $\text{Cnotzero } r2 \implies \text{Cnotzero } (r1 +_c r2)$ 
unfolding csum-def
by (metis Cnotzero-imp-not-empty Field-card-of Plus-eq-empty-conv card-of-card-order-on
czeroE)

```

```

lemma single-cone:
 $|\{x\}| =_o \text{cone}$ 
proof –
  let  $?f = \lambda x. ()$ 
  have bij-betw  $?f \{x\} \{()\}$  unfolding bij-betw-def by auto
  thus  $?thesis$  unfolding cone-def using card-of-ordIso by blast
qed

```

```

lemma cone-Cnotzero:  $\text{Cnotzero } \text{cone}$ 
by (simp add: cone-not-czero Card-order-cone)

```

```

lemma cone-ordLeq-ctwo:  $\text{cone} \leq_o \text{ctwo}$ 
unfolding cone-def ctwo-def card-of-ordLeq[symmetric] by auto

```

```

lemma csum-czero1:  $\text{Card-order } r \implies r +_c \text{czero} =_o r$ 
unfolding czero-def csum-def Field-card-of
by (rule ordIso-transitive[OF ordIso-symmetric[OF card-of-Plus-empty1] card-of-Field-ordIso])

```

```

lemma csum-czero2:  $\text{Card-order } r \implies \text{czero} +_c r =_o r$ 
unfolding czero-def csum-def Field-card-of
by (rule ordIso-transitive[OF ordIso-symmetric[OF card-of-Plus-empty2] card-of-Field-ordIso])

```

11.2 Product

```

lemma Times-cprod:  $|A \times B| =_o |A| *_c |B|$ 
by (simp only: cprod-def Field-card-of card-of-refl)

```

```

lemma card-of-Times-singleton:

```

```

fixes  $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ 
shows  $|A \times \{x\}| =_o |A|$ 
proof –
  define  $f :: 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'a$  where  $f = (\lambda(a, b). a)$ 
  have  $A \subseteq f^{-1}(A \times \{x\})$  unfolding  $f\text{-def}$  by (auto simp: image-iff)
  hence  $\text{bij-betw } f (A \times \{x\}) A$  unfolding  $\text{bij-betw-def inj-on-def } f\text{-def}$  by fastforce
  thus  $?thesis$  using  $\text{card-of-ordIso}$  by blast
qed

lemma  $\text{cprod-assoc}: (r *c s) *c t =_o r *c s *c t$ 
  unfolding  $\text{cprod-def Field-card-of}$  by (rule card-of-Times-assoc)

lemma  $\text{cprod-czero}: r *c \text{czero} =_o \text{czero}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{cprod-def czero-def Field-card-of}$  by (simp add: card-of-empty-ordIso)

lemma  $\text{cprod-cone}: \text{Card-order } r \Longrightarrow r *c \text{cone} =_o r$ 
  unfolding  $\text{cprod-def cone-def Field-card-of}$ 
  by (drule card-of-Field-ordIso) (erule ordIso-transitive[OF card-of-Times-singleton])

lemma  $\text{ordLeq-cprod1}: [\text{Card-order } p1; \text{Cnotzero } p2] \Longrightarrow p1 \leq_o p1 *c p2$ 
unfolding  $\text{cprod-def}$  by (metis Card-order-Times1 czeroI)

```

11.3 Exponentiation

```

lemma  $\text{cexp-czero}: r \hat{=}c \text{czero} =_o \text{cone}$ 
unfolding  $\text{cexp-def czero-def Field-card-of Func-empty}$  by (rule single-cone)

lemma  $\text{Pow-cexp-ctwo}$ :
   $|Pow A| =_o \text{ctwo } \hat{=}c |A|$ 
unfolding  $\text{ctwo-def cexp-def Field-card-of}$  by (rule card-of-Pow-Func)

lemma  $\text{Cnotzero-cexp}$ :
  assumes  $\text{Cnotzero } q \text{ Card-order } r$ 
  shows  $\text{Cnotzero } (q \hat{=}c r)$ 
proof (cases  $r =_o \text{czero}$ )
  case False thus  $?thesis$ 
    apply –
    apply (rule Cnotzero-mono)
    apply (rule assms(1))
    apply (rule Card-order-cexp)
    apply (rule ordLeq-cexp1)
    apply (rule conjI)
    apply (rule notI)
    apply (erule notE)
    apply (rule ordIso-transitive)
    apply assumption
    apply (rule czero-ordIso)
    apply (rule assms(2))

```

```

    apply (rule conjunct2)
    apply (rule assms(1))
  done
next
case True thus ?thesis
  apply -
  apply (rule Cnotzero-mono)
  apply (rule cone-Cnotzero)
  apply (rule Card-order-cexp)
  apply (rule ordIso-imp-ordLeq)
  apply (rule ordIso-symmetric)
  apply (rule ordIso-transitive)
  apply (rule cexp-cong2)
  apply assumption
  apply (rule conjunct2)
  apply (rule assms(1))
  apply (rule assms(2))
  apply (rule cexp-czero)
done
qed

lemma Cinfinites-ctwo-cexp:
  Cinfinites r  $\implies$  Cinfinites (ctwo  $\hat{c}$  r)
unfolding ctwo-def cexp-def cinfinites-def Field-card-of
by (rule conjI, rule infinite-Func, auto)

lemma cone-ordLeq-iff-Field:
  assumes cone  $\leq_o$  r
  shows Field r  $\neq$  {}
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume  $\neg$  Field r  $\neq$  {}
  hence Field r = {} by simp
  thus False using card-of-empty3
    card-of-mono2[OF assms] Cnotzero-imp-not-empty[OF cone-Cnotzero] by auto
qed

lemma cone-ordLeq-cexp: cone  $\leq_o$  r1  $\implies$  cone  $\leq_o$  r1  $\hat{c}$  r2
by (simp add: cexp-def cone-def Func-non-emp cone-ordLeq-iff-Field)

lemma Card-order-czero: Card-order czero
by (simp only: card-of-Card-order czero-def)

lemma cexp-mono2'':
  assumes 2: p2  $\leq_o$  r2
  and n1: Cnotzero q
  and n2: Card-order p2
  shows q  $\hat{c}$  p2  $\leq_o$  q  $\hat{c}$  r2
proof (cases p2 =o (czero :: 'a rel))
  case True

```

hence $q \hat{c} p2 =_o q \hat{c} (czero :: 'a \text{ rel})$ **using** $n1\ n2\ cexp\text{-cong2}\ Card\text{-order}\text{-czero}$
by *blast*
 also have $q \hat{c} (czero :: 'a \text{ rel}) =_o cone$ **using** $cexp\text{-czero}$ **by** *blast*
 also have $cone \leq_o q \hat{c} r2$ **using** $cone\text{-ordLeq}\text{-cexp}\ cone\text{-ordLeq}\text{-Cnotzero}\ n1$ **by**
blast
 finally show *?thesis* .
next
 case *False* **thus** *?thesis* **using** $assms\ cexp\text{-mono2}'\ czeroI$ **by** *metis*
qed

lemma $csum\text{-cexp}$: $\llbracket Cinfinite\ r1; Cinfinite\ r2; Card\text{-order}\ q; ctwo \leq_o q \rrbracket \implies$
 $q \hat{c} r1 +_c q \hat{c} r2 \leq_o q \hat{c} (r1 +_c r2)$
apply (*rule* $csum\text{-cinfinitive}\text{-bound}$)
apply (*rule* $cexp\text{-mono2}$)
apply (*rule* $ordLeq\text{-csum1}$)
apply (*erule* $conjunct2$)
apply *assumption*
apply (*rule* $notE$)
apply (*rule* $cinfinitive\text{-not}\text{-czero}[of\ r1]$)
apply (*erule* $conjunct1$)
apply *assumption*
apply (*erule* $conjunct2$)
apply (*rule* $cexp\text{-mono2}$)
apply (*rule* $ordLeq\text{-csum2}$)
apply (*erule* $conjunct2$)
apply *assumption*
apply (*rule* $notE$)
apply (*rule* $cinfinitive\text{-not}\text{-czero}[of\ r2]$)
apply (*erule* $conjunct1$)
apply *assumption*
apply (*erule* $conjunct2$)
apply (*rule* $Card\text{-order}\text{-cexp}$)
apply (*rule* $Card\text{-order}\text{-cexp}$)
apply (*rule* $Cinfinitive\text{-cexp}$)
apply *assumption*
apply (*rule* $Cinfinitive\text{-csum}$)
by (*rule* $disjI1$)

lemma $csum\text{-cexp}'$: $\llbracket Cinfinite\ r; Card\text{-order}\ q; ctwo \leq_o q \rrbracket \implies q +_c r \leq_o q \hat{c} r$
apply (*rule* $csum\text{-cinfinitive}\text{-bound}$)
apply (*metis* $Cinfinitive\text{-Cnotzero}\ ordLeq\text{-cexp1}$)
apply (*metis* $ordLeq\text{-cexp2}$)
apply *blast+*
by (*metis* $Cinfinitive\text{-cexp}$)

lemma $card\text{-of}\text{-Sigma}\text{-ordLeq}\text{-Cinfinitive}$:
 $\llbracket Cinfinite\ r; |I| \leq_o r; \forall i \in I. |A\ i| \leq_o r \rrbracket \implies |SIGMA\ i : I. A\ i| \leq_o r$
unfolding $cinfinitive\text{-def}$ **by** (*blast intro: card-of-Sigma-ordLeq-infinite-Field*)

```

lemma card-order-cexp:
  assumes card-order r1 card-order r2
  shows card-order (r1  $\hat{c}$  r2)
proof -
  have Field r1 = UNIV Field r2 = UNIV using assms card-order-on-Card-order
by auto
  thus ?thesis unfolding cexp-def Func-def by simp
qed

lemma Cinfinite-ordLess-cexp:
  assumes r: Cinfinite r
  shows r  $\leq_o$  r  $\hat{c}$  r
proof -
  have r  $\leq_o$  ctwo  $\hat{c}$  r using r by (simp only: ordLess-ctwo-cexp)
  also have ctwo  $\hat{c}$  r  $\leq_o$  r  $\hat{c}$  r
    by (rule cexp-mono1[OF ctwo-ordLeq-Cinfinite]) (auto simp: r ctwo-not-czero
Card-order-ctwo)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma infinite-ordLeq-cexp:
  assumes Cinfinite r
  shows r  $\leq_o$  r  $\hat{c}$  r
by (rule ordLess-imp-ordLeq[OF Cinfinite-ordLess-cexp[OF assms]])

lemma czero-cexp: Cnotzero r  $\implies$  czero  $\hat{c}$  r  $=_o$  czero
  by (drule Cnotzero-imp-not-empty) (simp add: cexp-def czero-def card-of-empty-ordIso)

lemma Func-singleton:
fixes x :: 'b and A :: 'a set
shows  $|Func\ A\ \{x\}| =_o |\{x\}|$ 
proof (rule ordIso-symmetric)
  define f where [abs-def]: f y a = (if y = x  $\wedge$  a  $\in$  A then x else undefined) for
y a
  have Func A  $\{x\} \subseteq f$  '  $\{x\}$  unfolding f-def Func-def by (force simp: fun-eq-iff)
  hence bij-betw f  $\{x\}$  (Func A  $\{x\}$ ) unfolding bij-betw-def inj-on-def f-def Func-def
    by (auto split: if-split-asm)
  thus  $|\{x\}| =_o |Func\ A\ \{x\}|$  using card-of-ordIso by blast
qed

lemma cone-cexp: cone  $\hat{c}$  r  $=_o$  cone
  unfolding cexp-def cone-def Field-card-of by (rule Func-singleton)

lemma card-of-Func-squared:
fixes A :: 'a set
shows  $|Func\ (UNIV :: bool\ set)\ A| =_o |A \times A|$ 
proof (rule ordIso-symmetric)
  define f where f = ( $\lambda(x::'a,y)\ b.$  if A =  $\{\}$  then undefined else if b then x else
y)

```

have $\text{Func } (\text{UNIV} :: \text{bool set}) \ A \subseteq f' (A \times A)$ **unfolding** $f\text{-def } \text{Func-def}$
by $(\text{auto simp: image-iff fun-eq-iff split: option.splits if-split-asm})$ **blast**
hence $\text{bij-betw } f \ (A \times A) \ (\text{Func } (\text{UNIV} :: \text{bool set}) \ A)$
unfolding $\text{bij-betw-def inj-on-def } f\text{-def } \text{Func-def}$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: fun-eq-iff})$
thus $|A \times A| =_o |\text{Func } (\text{UNIV} :: \text{bool set}) \ A|$ **using** card-of-ordIso **by** **blast**
qed

lemma $\text{cexp-ctwo: } r \hat{=}^c \text{ctwo} =_o r *^c r$
unfolding $\text{cexp-def ctwo-def cprod-def Field-card-of}$ **by** $(\text{rule card-of-Func-squared})$

lemma card-of-Func-Plus :

fixes $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and** $B :: 'b \text{ set}$ **and** $C :: 'c \text{ set}$
shows $|\text{Func } (A <+> B) \ C| =_o |\text{Func } A \ C \times \text{Func } B \ C|$
proof $(\text{rule ordIso-symmetric})$
define f **where** $f = (\lambda(g :: 'a \Rightarrow 'c, h :: 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \text{ ab. case ab of } \text{Inl } a \Rightarrow g \ a \mid \text{Inr } b \Rightarrow h \ b)$
define f' **where** $f' = (\lambda(f :: ('a + 'b) \Rightarrow 'c). (\lambda a. f \ (\text{Inl } a), \lambda b. f \ (\text{Inr } b)))$
have $f' (\text{Func } A \ C \times \text{Func } B \ C) \subseteq \text{Func } (A <+> B) \ C$
unfolding $\text{Func-def } f\text{-def}$ **by** $(\text{force split: sum.splits})$
moreover **have** $f' (\text{Func } (A <+> B) \ C) \subseteq \text{Func } A \ C \times \text{Func } B \ C$ **unfolding**
 $\text{Func-def } f'\text{-def}$ **by** **force**
moreover **have** $\forall a \in \text{Func } A \ C \times \text{Func } B \ C. f' (f \ a) = a$ **unfolding** $f'\text{-def}$
 $f\text{-def } \text{Func-def}$ **by** **auto**
moreover **have** $\forall a' \in \text{Func } (A <+> B) \ C. f \ (f' \ a') = a'$ **unfolding** $f'\text{-def}$
 $f\text{-def } \text{Func-def}$
by $(\text{auto split: sum.splits})$
ultimately **have** $\text{bij-betw } f \ (\text{Func } A \ C \times \text{Func } B \ C) \ (\text{Func } (A <+> B) \ C)$
by $(\text{intro bij-betw-byWitness[of - } f' \ f])$
thus $|\text{Func } A \ C \times \text{Func } B \ C| =_o |\text{Func } (A <+> B) \ C|$ **using** card-of-ordIso
by **blast**
qed

lemma $\text{cexp-csum: } r \hat{=}^c (s +^c t) =_o r \hat{=}^c s *^c r \hat{=}^c t$
unfolding $\text{cexp-def cprod-def csum-def Field-card-of}$ **by** $(\text{rule card-of-Func-Plus})$

11.4 Powerset

definition cpow **where** $\text{cpow } r = |\text{Pow } (\text{Field } r)|$

lemma $\text{card-order-cpow: card-order } r \Longrightarrow \text{card-order } (\text{cpow } r)$
by $(\text{simp only: cpow-def Field-card-order Pow-UNIV card-of-card-order-on})$

lemma $\text{cpow-greater-eq: Card-order } r \Longrightarrow r \leq_o \text{cpow } r$
by $(\text{rule ordLess-imp-ordLeq}) \ (\text{simp only: cpow-def Card-order-Pow})$

lemma $\text{Cinfinite-cpow: Cinfinite } r \Longrightarrow \text{Cinfinite } (\text{cpow } r)$
unfolding $\text{cpow-def cinfinite-def}$ **by** $(\text{metis Field-card-of card-of-Card-order infi-nite-Pow})$

lemma *Card-order-cpow*: *Card-order* (*cpow* *r*)
unfolding *cpow-def* **by** (*rule card-of-Card-order*)

lemma *cardSuc-ordLeq-cpow*: *Card-order* *r* $\implies$ *cardSuc* *r* $\leq_o$ *cpow* *r*
unfolding *cpow-def* **by** (*metis Card-order-Pow cardSuc-ordLess-ordLeq card-of-Card-order*)

lemma *cpow-cexp-ctwo*: *cpow* *r* $=_o$ *ctwo* $\hat{^c}$ *r*
unfolding *cpow-def* *ctwo-def* *cexp-def* *Field-card-of* **by** (*rule card-of-Pow-Func*)

11.5 Inverse image

lemma *vimage-ordLeq*:
assumes $|A| \leq_o k$ **and** $\forall a \in A. |vimage\ f\ \{a\}| \leq_o k$ **and** *Cinfinite* *k*
shows $|vimage\ f\ A| \leq_o k$
proof–
 have $vimage\ f\ A = (\bigcup a \in A. vimage\ f\ \{a\})$ **by** *auto*
 also have $|\bigcup a \in A. vimage\ f\ \{a\}| \leq_o k$
 using *UNION-Cinfinite-bound[OF assms]* .
 finally show *?thesis* .
qed

11.6 Maximum

definition *cmax* **where**

cmax *r* *s* =
 (*if* *cinfinite* *r* $\vee$ *cinfinite* *s* *then* *czero* $+_c$ *r* $+_c$ *s*
 else *natLeq-on* (*max* (*card* (*Field* *r*)) (*card* (*Field* *s*))) $+_c$ *czero*)

lemma *cmax-com*: *cmax* *r* *s* $=_o$ *cmax* *s* *r*
unfolding *cmax-def*
by (*auto simp: max.commute intro: csum-cong2[OF csum-com] csum-cong2[OF czero-ordIso]*)

lemma *cmax1*:
assumes *Card-order* *r* *Card-order* *s* $s \leq_o r$
shows *cmax* *r* *s* $=_o r$
unfolding *cmax-def* **proof** (*split if-splits, intro conjI impI*)
 assume *cinfinite* *r* $\vee$ *cinfinite* *s*
 hence *Cinf*: *Cinfinite* *r* **using** *assms*(1,3) **by** (*metis cinfinite-mono*)
 have *czero* $+_c$ *r* $+_c$ *s* $=_o r$ $+_c$ *s* **by** (*rule csum-czero2[OF Card-order-csum]*)
 also have *r* $+_c$ *s* $=_o r$ **by** (*rule csum-absorb1[OF Cinf assms(3)]*)
 finally show *czero* $+_c$ *r* $+_c$ *s* $=_o r$.
next
 assume $\neg (cinfinite\ r \vee cinfinite\ s)$
 hence *fin*: *finite* (*Field* *r*) **and** *finite* (*Field* *s*) **unfolding** *cinfinite-def* **by** *simp-all*
 moreover
 { **from** *assms*(2) **have** $|Field\ s| =_o s$ **by** (*rule card-of-Field-ordIso*)
 also from *assms*(3) **have** $s \leq_o r$.
 also from *assms*(1) **have** $r =_o |Field\ r|$ **by** (*rule ordIso-symmetric[OF card-of-Field-ordIso]*)
 finally have $|Field\ s| \leq_o |Field\ r|$.

}
 ultimately have $\text{card } (\text{Field } s) \leq \text{card } (\text{Field } r)$ **by** (*subst sym[OF finite-card-of-iff-card2]*)
 hence $\max (\text{card } (\text{Field } r)) (\text{card } (\text{Field } s)) = \text{card } (\text{Field } r)$ **by** (*rule max-absorb1*)
 hence $\text{natLeq-on } (\max (\text{card } (\text{Field } r)) (\text{card } (\text{Field } s))) + c \text{ czero} =$
 $\text{natLeq-on } (\text{card } (\text{Field } r)) + c \text{ czero}$ **by** *simp*
 also have $\dots = o \text{ natLeq-on } (\text{card } (\text{Field } r))$ **by** (*rule csum-czero1[OF natLeq-on-Card-order]*)
 also have $\text{natLeq-on } (\text{card } (\text{Field } r)) = o |\text{Field } r|$
by (*rule ordIso-symmetric[OF finite-imp-card-of-natLeq-on[OF fin]]*)
 also from *assms(1)* have $|\text{Field } r| = o r$ **by** (*rule card-of-Field-ordIso*)
 finally show $\text{natLeq-on } (\max (\text{card } (\text{Field } r)) (\text{card } (\text{Field } s))) + c \text{ czero} = o r$.
 qed

lemma *cmax2*:
 assumes *Card-order r Card-order s* $r \leq o s$
 shows $\text{cmax } r \text{ s} = o s$
by (*metis assms cmax1 cmax-com ordIso-transitive*)

lemma *csum-absorb2*: $\text{Cinfinite } r2 \implies r1 \leq o r2 \implies r1 + c r2 = o r2$
by (*metis csum-absorb2'*)

lemma *cprod-infinite2'*: $\llbracket \text{Cnotzero } r1; \text{Cinfinite } r2; r1 \leq o r2 \rrbracket \implies r1 * c r2 = o r2$
unfolding *ordIso-iff-ordLeq*
by (*intro conjI cprod-cinfinite-bound ordLeq-cprod2 ordLeq-refl*)
(auto dest!: ordIso-imp-ordLeq not-ordLeq-ordLess simp: czero-def Card-order-empty)

context
 fixes $r \text{ s}$
 assumes $r: \text{Cinfinite } r$
 and $s: \text{Cinfinite } s$
begin

lemma *cmax-csum*: $\text{cmax } r \text{ s} = o r + c s$
proof (*cases r ≤ o s*)
 case *True*
 hence $\text{cmax } r \text{ s} = o s$ **by** (*metis cmax2 r s*)
 also have $s = o r + c s$ **by** (*metis True csum-absorb2 ordIso-symmetric s*)
 finally show *?thesis* .
 next
 case *False*
 hence $s \leq o r$ **by** (*metis ordLeq-total r s card-order-on-def*)
 hence $\text{cmax } r \text{ s} = o r$ **by** (*metis cmax1 r s*)
 also have $r = o r + c s$ **by** (*metis ⟨s ≤ o r⟩ csum-absorb1 ordIso-symmetric r*)
 finally show *?thesis* .
 qed

lemma *cmax-cprod*: $\text{cmax } r \text{ s} = o r * c s$
proof (*cases r ≤ o s*)
 case *True*

hence $\text{cmax } r \ s =_o s$ by (metis cmax2 $r \ s$)
 also have $s =_o r * c \ s$ by (metis Cinfinite-Cnotzero True cprod-infinite2' ordIso-symmetric $r \ s$)
 finally show ?thesis .
 next
 case False
 hence $s \leq_o r$ by (metis ordLeq-total $r \ s$ card-order-on-def)
 hence $\text{cmax } r \ s =_o r$ by (metis cmax1 $r \ s$)
 also have $r =_o r * c \ s$ by (metis Cinfinite-Cnotzero $\langle s \leq_o r \rangle$ cprod-infinite1' ordIso-symmetric $r \ s$)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed
 end

lemma Card-order-cmax:
 assumes r : Card-order r and s : Card-order s
 shows Card-order (cmax $r \ s$)
 unfolding cmax-def by (auto simp: Card-order-csum)

lemma ordLeq-cmax:
 assumes r : Card-order r and s : Card-order s
 shows $r \leq_o \text{cmax } r \ s \wedge s \leq_o \text{cmax } r \ s$
 proof –
 {assume $r \leq_o s$
 hence ?thesis by (metis cmax2 ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive $r \ s$)
 }
 moreover
 {assume $s \leq_o r$
 hence ?thesis using cmax-com by (metis cmax2 ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive $r \ s$)
 }
 ultimately show ?thesis using $r \ s$ ordLeq-total unfolding card-order-on-def
 by auto
 qed

lemmas ordLeq-cmax1 = ordLeq-cmax[THEN conjunct1] and
 ordLeq-cmax2 = ordLeq-cmax[THEN conjunct2]

lemma finite-cmax:
 assumes r : Card-order r and s : Card-order s
 shows finite (Field (cmax $r \ s$)) $\longleftrightarrow$ finite (Field r) $\wedge$ finite (Field s)
 proof –
 {assume $r \leq_o s$
 hence ?thesis by (metis cmax2 ordIso-finite-Field ordLeq-finite-Field $r \ s$)
 }
 moreover
 {assume $s \leq_o r$
 hence ?thesis by (metis cmax1 ordIso-finite-Field ordLeq-finite-Field $r \ s$)
 }

```

    }
    ultimately show ?thesis using r s ordLeq-total unfolding card-order-on-def
  by auto
qed

end

```

12 Extending Well-founded Relations to Wellorders

```

theory Wellorder-Extension
imports Main Order-Union
begin

```

12.1 Extending Well-founded Relations to Wellorders

A *downset* (also lower set, decreasing set, initial segment, or downward closed set) is closed w.r.t. smaller elements.

definition *downset-on* **where**

$$\text{downset-on } A \ r = (\forall x \ y. (x, y) \in r \wedge y \in A \longrightarrow x \in A)$$

lemma *downset-onI*:

$$(\bigwedge x \ y. (x, y) \in r \implies y \in A \implies x \in A) \implies \text{downset-on } A \ r$$

by (*auto simp: downset-on-def*)

lemma *downset-onD*:

$$\text{downset-on } A \ r \implies (x, y) \in r \implies y \in A \implies x \in A$$

unfolding *downset-on-def* **by** *blast*

Extensions of relations w.r.t. a given set.

definition *extension-on* **where**

$$\text{extension-on } A \ r \ s = (\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. (x, y) \in s \longrightarrow (x, y) \in r)$$

lemma *extension-onI*:

$$(\bigwedge x \ y. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; (x, y) \in s \rrbracket \implies (x, y) \in r) \implies \text{extension-on } A \ r \ s$$

by (*auto simp: extension-on-def*)

lemma *extension-onD*:

$$\text{extension-on } A \ r \ s \implies x \in A \implies y \in A \implies (x, y) \in s \implies (x, y) \in r$$

by (*auto simp: extension-on-def*)

lemma *downset-on-Union*:

$$\text{assumes } \bigwedge r. r \in R \implies \text{downset-on } (\text{Field } r) \ p$$

$$\text{shows } \text{downset-on } (\text{Field } (\bigcup R)) \ p$$

using *assms* **by** (*auto intro: downset-onI dest: downset-onD*)

lemma *chain-subset-extension-on-Union*:

```

assumes chain⊆ R and  $\bigwedge r. r \in R \implies \text{extension-on } (\text{Field } r) \ r \ p$ 
shows extension-on (Field ( $\bigcup R$ )) ( $\bigcup R$ ) p
using assms
by (simp add: chain-subset-def extension-on-def)
    (metis (no-types) mono-Field subsetD)

lemma downset-on-empty [simp]: downset-on {} p
by (auto simp: downset-on-def)

lemma extension-on-empty [simp]: extension-on {} p q
by (auto simp: extension-on-def)

Every well-founded relation can be extended to a wellorder.

theorem well-order-extension:
  assumes wf p
  shows  $\exists w. p \subseteq w \wedge \text{Well-order } w$ 
proof –
  let ?K = {r. Well-order r  $\wedge$  downset-on (Field r) p  $\wedge$  extension-on (Field r) r p}
  define I where I = init-seg-of  $\cap$  ?K  $\times$  ?K
  have I-init: I  $\subseteq$  init-seg-of by (simp add: I-def)
  then have subch:  $\bigwedge R. R \in \text{Chains } I \implies \text{chain}_{\subseteq} R$ 
    by (auto simp: init-seg-of-def chain-subset-def Chains-def)
  have Chains-wo:  $\bigwedge R \ r. R \in \text{Chains } I \implies r \in R \implies$ 
    Well-order r  $\wedge$  downset-on (Field r) p  $\wedge$  extension-on (Field r) r p
    by (simp add: Chains-def I-def) blast
  have FI: Field I = ?K by (auto simp: I-def init-seg-of-def Field-def)
  then have 0: Partial-order I
    by (auto simp: partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def antisym-def antisym-init-seg-of
      refl-on-def
        trans-def I-def elim: trans-init-seg-of)
  have  $\bigcup R \in ?K \wedge (\forall r \in R. (r, \bigcup R) \in I)$  if R  $\in$  Chains I for R
  proof –
    from that have Ris: R  $\in$  Chains init-seg-of using mono-Chains [OF I-init]
  by blast
  have subch: chain⊆ R using  $\langle R \in \text{Chains } I \rangle$  I-init
    by (auto simp: init-seg-of-def chain-subset-def Chains-def)
  have  $\forall r \in R. \text{Refl } r$  and  $\forall r \in R. \text{trans } r$  and  $\forall r \in R. \text{antisym } r$  and
     $\forall r \in R. \text{Total } r$  and  $\forall r \in R. \text{wf } (r - \text{Id})$  and
     $\bigwedge r. r \in R \implies \text{downset-on } (\text{Field } r) \ p$  and
     $\bigwedge r. r \in R \implies \text{extension-on } (\text{Field } r) \ r \ p$ 
    using Chains-wo [OF  $\langle R \in \text{Chains } I \rangle$ ] by (simp-all add: order-on-defs)
  have Refl ( $\bigcup R$ ) using  $\langle \forall r \in R. \text{Refl } r \rangle$  unfolding refl-on-def by fastforce
  moreover have trans ( $\bigcup R$ )
    by (rule chain-subset-trans-Union [OF subch  $\langle \forall r \in R. \text{trans } r \rangle$ ])
  moreover have antisym ( $\bigcup R$ )
    by (rule chain-subset-antisym-Union [OF subch  $\langle \forall r \in R. \text{antisym } r \rangle$ ])
  moreover have Total ( $\bigcup R$ )
    by (rule chain-subset-Total-Union [OF subch  $\langle \forall r \in R. \text{Total } r \rangle$ ])

```

```

moreover have wf (( $\bigcup R$ ) - Id)
proof -
  have ( $\bigcup R$ ) - Id =  $\bigcup \{r - Id \mid r. r \in R\}$  by blast
  with  $\langle \forall r \in R. \text{wf } (r - Id) \rangle$  wf-Union-wf-init-segs [OF Chains-inits-DiffI [OF
Ris]]
  show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
ultimately have Well-order ( $\bigcup R$ ) by (simp add: order-on-defs)
moreover have  $\forall r \in R. r$  initial-segment-of  $\bigcup R$  using Ris
  by (simp add: Chains-init-seg-of-Union)
moreover have downset-on (Field ( $\bigcup R$ ))  $p$ 
  by (rule downset-on-Union [OF  $\langle \bigwedge r. r \in R \implies \text{downset-on } (\text{Field } r) \ p \rangle$ ])
moreover have extension-on (Field ( $\bigcup R$ )) ( $\bigcup R$ )  $p$ 
  by (rule chain-subset-extension-on-Union [OF subch  $\langle \bigwedge r. r \in R \implies \text{exten-}$ 
sion-on (Field  $r$ )  $r$   $p \rangle$ ])
ultimately show ?thesis
  using mono-Chains [OF I-init] and  $\langle R \in \text{Chains } I \rangle$ 
  by (simp (no-asm) add: I-def del: Field-Union) (metis Chains-wo)
qed
then have 1:  $\exists u \in \text{Field } I. \forall r \in R. (r, u) \in I$  if  $R \in \text{Chains } I$  for  $R$ 
  using that by (subst FI) blast

```

Zorn's Lemma yields a maximal wellorder m .

```

from Zorns-po-lemma [OF 0 1] obtain  $m :: ('a \times 'a)$  set
  where Well-order  $m$  and downset-on (Field  $m$ )  $p$  and extension-on (Field  $m$ )
 $m$   $p$  and
   $\text{max: } \forall r. \text{Well-order } r \wedge \text{downset-on } (\text{Field } r) \ p \wedge \text{extension-on } (\text{Field } r) \ r \ p \wedge$ 
   $(m, r) \in I \implies r = m$ 
  by (auto simp: FI)
have Field  $p \subseteq \text{Field } m$ 
proof (rule ccontr)
  let ? $Q = \text{Field } p - \text{Field } m$ 
  assume  $\neg (\text{Field } p \subseteq \text{Field } m)$ 
  with assms [unfolded wf-eq-minimal, THEN spec, of ? $Q$ ]
  obtain  $x$  where  $x \in \text{Field } p$  and  $x \notin \text{Field } m$  and
   $\text{min: } \forall y. (y, x) \in p \implies y \notin ?Q$  by blast

```

Add x as topmost element to m .

```

let ? $s = \{(y, x) \mid y. y \in \text{Field } m\}$ 
let ? $m = \text{insert } (x, x) \ m \cup ?s$ 
have Fm: Field ? $m = \text{insert } x \ (\text{Field } m)$  by (auto simp: Field-def)
have Refl  $m$  and trans  $m$  and antisym  $m$  and Total  $m$  and wf ( $m - \text{Id}$ )
  using  $\langle \text{Well-order } m \rangle$  by (simp-all add: order-on-defs)

```

We show that the extension is a wellorder.

```

have Refl ? $m$  using  $\langle \text{Refl } m \rangle$  Fm by (auto simp: refl-on-def)
moreover have trans ? $m$  using  $\langle \text{trans } m \rangle$   $\langle x \notin \text{Field } m \rangle$ 
  unfolding trans-def Field-def Domain-unfold Domain-converse [symmetric]
by blast

```

```

moreover have antisym ?m using ⟨antisym m⟩ ⟨x ∉ Field m⟩
unfolding antisym-def Field-def Domain-unfold Domain-converse [symmetric]
by blast
moreover have Total ?m using ⟨Total m⟩ Fm by (auto simp: Relation.total-on-def)
moreover have wf (?m − Id)
proof −
  have wf ?s using ⟨x ∉ Field m⟩
    by (simp add: wf-eq-minimal Field-def Domain-unfold Domain-converse
[symmetric]) metis
  thus ?thesis using ⟨wf (m − Id)⟩ ⟨x ∉ Field m⟩
    wf-subset [OF ⟨wf ?s⟩ Diff-subset]
    by (fastforce intro!: wf-Un simp add: Un-Diff Field-def)
qed
ultimately have Well-order ?m by (simp add: order-on-defs)
moreover have extension-on (Field ?m) ?m p
  using ⟨extension-on (Field m) m p⟩ ⟨downset-on (Field m) p⟩
  by (subst Fm) (auto simp: extension-on-def dest: downset-onD)
moreover have downset-on (Field ?m) p
  apply (subst Fm)
  using ⟨downset-on (Field m) p⟩ and min
  unfolding downset-on-def Field-def by blast
moreover have (m, ?m) ∈ I
  using ⟨Well-order m⟩ and ⟨Well-order ?m⟩ and
  ⟨downset-on (Field m) p⟩ and ⟨downset-on (Field ?m) p⟩ and
  ⟨extension-on (Field m) m p⟩ and ⟨extension-on (Field ?m) ?m p⟩ and
  ⟨Refl m⟩ and ⟨x ∉ Field m⟩
  by (auto simp: I-def init-seg-of-def refl-on-def)
ultimately
  — This contradicts maximality of m:
  show False using max and ⟨x ∉ Field m⟩ unfolding Field-def by blast
qed
have p ⊆ m
  using ⟨Field p ⊆ Field m⟩ and ⟨extension-on (Field m) m p⟩
  unfolding Field-def extension-on-def by auto fast
with ⟨Well-order m⟩ show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

Every well-founded relation can be extended to a total wellorder.

corollary *total-well-order-extension*:

```

assumes wf p
shows ∃ w. p ⊆ w ∧ Well-order w ∧ Field w = UNIV
proof −
  from well-order-extension [OF assms] obtain w
    where p ⊆ w and wo: Well-order w by blast
  let ?A = UNIV − Field w
  from well-order-on [of ?A] obtain w' where wo': well-order-on ?A w' ..
  have [simp]: Field w' = ?A using well-order-on-Well-order [OF wo'] by simp
  have *: Field w ∩ Field w' = {} by simp
  let ?w = w ∪ w'

```

```

have  $p \subseteq ?w$  using  $\langle p \subseteq w \rangle$  by (auto simp: Osum-def)
moreover have Well-order  $?w$  using Osum-Well-order  $[OF * wo]$  and  $wo'$  by
simp
moreover have Field  $?w = UNIV$  by (simp add: Field-Osum)
ultimately show  $?thesis$  by blast
qed

```

corollary *well-order-on-extension:*

```

assumes wf  $p$  and Field  $p \subseteq A$ 
shows  $\exists w. p \subseteq w \wedge \text{well-order-on } A \ w$ 
proof -
from total-well-order-extension  $[OF \langle wf \ p \rangle]$  obtain  $r$ 
where  $p \subseteq r$  and  $wo$ : Well-order  $r$  and  $univ$ : Field  $r = UNIV$  by blast
let  $?r = \{(x, y). x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge (x, y) \in r\}$ 
from  $\langle p \subseteq r \rangle$  have  $p \subseteq ?r$  using  $\langle \text{Field } p \subseteq A \rangle$  by (auto simp: Field-def)
have Refl  $r$  trans  $r$  antisym  $r$  Total  $r$  wf  $(r - Id)$ 
using  $\langle \text{Well-order } r \rangle$  by (simp-all add: order-on-defs)
have refl-on  $A \ ?r$  using  $\langle \text{Refl } r \rangle$  by (auto simp: refl-on-def univ)
moreover have trans  $?r$  using  $\langle \text{trans } r \rangle$ 
unfolding trans-def by blast
moreover have antisym  $?r$  using  $\langle \text{antisym } r \rangle$ 
unfolding antisym-def by blast
moreover have total-on  $A \ ?r$  using  $\langle \text{Total } r \rangle$  by (simp add: total-on-def univ)
moreover have wf  $(?r - Id)$  by (rule wf-subset  $[OF \langle wf(r - Id) \rangle]$ ) blast
ultimately have well-order-on  $A \ ?r$  by (simp add: order-on-defs)
with  $\langle p \subseteq ?r \rangle$  show  $?thesis$  by blast
qed

```

end

13 Theory of Ordinals and Cardinals

theory *Cardinals*

imports *Ordinal-Arithmetic Cardinal-Arithmetic Wellorder-Extension*
begin

end

14 Sets Strictly Bounded by an Infinite Cardinal

theory *Bounded-Set*

imports *Cardinals*

begin

```

typedef ( $'a, 'k$ ) bset ( $- \text{set}[-]$   $[22, 21]$   $21$ ) =
 $\{A :: 'a \text{ set}. |A| <_o \text{natLeq} + c \mid UNIV :: 'k \text{ set}\}$ 
morphisms set-bset Abs-bset
by (rule exI[ $of - \{\}$ ]) (auto simp: card-of-empty4 csum-def)

```

setup-lifting *type-definition-bset*

lift-definition *map-bset* ::
 ('a $\Rightarrow$ 'b) $\Rightarrow$ 'a set['k] $\Rightarrow$ 'b set['k] **is** *image*
using *card-of-image ordLeq-ordLess-trans* **by** *blast*

lift-definition *rel-bset* ::
 ('a $\Rightarrow$ 'b $\Rightarrow$ bool) $\Rightarrow$ 'a set['k] $\Rightarrow$ 'b set['k] $\Rightarrow$ bool **is** *rel-set*
 .

lift-definition *bempty* :: 'a set['k] **is** {}
by (*auto simp: card-of-empty4 csum-def*)

lift-definition *binsert* :: 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a set['k] $\Rightarrow$ 'a set['k] **is** *insert*
using *infinite-card-of-insert ordIso-ordLess-trans Field-card-of Field-natLeq UNIV-Plus-UNIV*
csum-def finite-Plus-UNIV-iff finite-insert finite-ordLess-infinite2 infinite-UNIV-nat
by *metis*

definition *bsingleton* **where**
bsingleton *x* = *binsert* *x* *bempty*

lemma *set-bset-to-set-bset*: $|A| <_o \text{natLeq} + c \mid \text{UNIV} :: 'k \text{ set} \mid \Rightarrow$
set-bset (*the-inv* *set-bset* *A* :: 'a set['k]) = *A*
apply (*rule f-the-inv-into-f[unfolded inj-on-def]*)
apply (*simp add: set-bset-inject range-eqI Abs-bset-inverse[symmetric]*)
apply (*rule range-eqI Abs-bset-inverse[symmetric] CollectI*) +
 .

lemma *rel-bset-aux-infinite*:
fixes *a* :: 'a set['k] **and** *b* :: 'b set['k]
shows $(\forall t \in \text{set-bset } a. \exists u \in \text{set-bset } b. R \ t \ u) \wedge (\forall u \in \text{set-bset } b. \exists t \in \text{set-bset } a. R \ t \ u) \longleftrightarrow$
 $((\text{BNF-Def.Grp } \{a. \text{set-bset } a \subseteq \{(a, b). R \ a \ b\}\} (\text{map-bset fst}))^{-1-1} \text{ OO}$
 $\text{BNF-Def.Grp } \{a. \text{set-bset } a \subseteq \{(a, b). R \ a \ b\}\} (\text{map-bset snd})) \ a \ b \text{ (is } ?L \longleftrightarrow$
 $?R)$

proof

assume *?L*
define *R'* :: ('a $\times$ 'b) set['k]
where *R'* = *the-inv* *set-bset* (*Collect* (*case-prod* *R*) $\cap$ (*set-bset* *a* $\times$ *set-bset* *b*))
 (**is** - = *the-inv* *set-bset* *?L*)
have $|?L'| <_o \text{natLeq} + c \mid \text{UNIV} :: 'k \text{ set} \mid$
unfolding *csum-def Field-natLeq*
by (*intro ordLeq-ordLess-trans[OF card-of-mono1[OF Int-lower2]]*
card-of-Times-ordLess-infinite)
 (*simp*, (*transfer*, *simp add: csum-def Field-natLeq*)+)
hence *: *set-bset* *R'* = *?L'* **unfolding** *R'-def* **by** (*intro set-bset-to-set-bset*)
show *?R* **unfolding** *Grp-def relcompp.simps conversep.simps*
proof (*intro CollectI case-prodI exI[of - a] exI[of - b] exI[of - R'] conjI refl*)

```

    from * show a = map-bset fst R' using conjunct1[OF <?L>]
    by (transfer, auto simp add: image-def Int-def split: prod.splits)
    from * show b = map-bset snd R' using conjunct2[OF <?L>]
    by (transfer, auto simp add: image-def Int-def split: prod.splits)
  qed (auto simp add: *)
next
  assume ?R thus ?L unfolding Grp-def relcompp.simps conversesep.simps
    by transfer force
qed

bnf 'a set['k]
  map: map-bset
  sets: set-bset
  bd: natLeq +c |UNIV :: 'k set|
  wits: bempty
  rel: rel-bset
proof -
  show map-bset id = id by (rule ext, transfer) simp
next
  fix f g
  show map-bset (f o g) = map-bset f o map-bset g by (rule ext, transfer) auto
next
  fix X f g
  assume  $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set-bset } X \implies f z = g z$ 
  then show map-bset f X = map-bset g X by transfer force
next
  fix f
  show set-bset o map-bset f = (') f o set-bset by (rule ext, transfer) auto
next
  fix X :: 'a set['k]
  show |set-bset X|  $\leq_o$  natLeq +c |UNIV :: 'k set|
    by transfer (blast dest: ordLess-imp-ordLeq)
next
  fix R S
  show rel-bset R OO rel-bset S  $\leq$  rel-bset (R OO S)
    by (rule predicate2I, transfer) (auto simp: rel-set-OO[symmetric])
next
  fix R :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  show rel-bset R = (( $\lambda x y. \exists z. \text{set-bset } z \subseteq \{(x, y). R x y\} \wedge$ 
    map-bset fst z = x  $\wedge$  map-bset snd z = y) :: 'a set['k]  $\Rightarrow$  'b set['k]  $\Rightarrow$  bool)
    by (simp add: rel-bset-def map-fun-def o-def rel-set-def
      rel-bset-aux-infinite[unfolded OO-Grp-alt])
next
  fix x
  assume x  $\in$  set-bset bempty
  then show False by transfer simp
qed (simp-all add: card-order-csum natLeq-card-order cinfinite-csum natLeq-cinfinite)

```

lemma *map-bset-bempty[simp]*: *map-bset f bempty = bempty*
by *transfer auto*

lemma *map-bset-binsert[simp]*: *map-bset f (binsert x X) = binsert (f x) (map-bset f X)*
by *transfer auto*

lemma *map-bset-bsingleton*: *map-bset f (bsingleton x) = bsingleton (f x)*
unfolding *bsingleton-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *bempty-not-binsert*: *bempty ≠ binsert x X binsert x X ≠ bempty*
by *(transfer, auto)+*

lemma *bempty-not-bsingleton[simp]*: *bempty ≠ bsingleton x bsingleton x ≠ bempty*
unfolding *bsingleton-def* **by** *(simp-all add: bempty-not-binsert)*

lemma *bsingleton-inj[simp]*: *bsingleton x = bsingleton y ⟷ x = y*
unfolding *bsingleton-def* **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *rel-bsingleton[simp]*:
rel-bset R (bsingleton x1) (bsingleton x2) = R x1 x2
unfolding *bsingleton-def*
by *transfer (auto simp: rel-set-def)*

lemma *rel-bset-bsingleton[simp]*:
rel-bset R (bsingleton x1) = (λX. X ≠ bempty ∧ (∀ x2 ∈ set-bset X. R x1 x2))
rel-bset R X (bsingleton x2) = (X ≠ bempty ∧ (∀ x1 ∈ set-bset X. R x1 x2))
unfolding *bsingleton-def fun-eq-iff*
by *(transfer, force simp add: rel-set-def)+*

lemma *rel-bset-bempty[simp]*:
rel-bset R bempty X = (X = bempty)
rel-bset R Y bempty = (Y = bempty)
by *(transfer, simp add: rel-set-def)+*

definition *bset-of-option* **where**
bset-of-option = case-option bempty bsingleton

lift-definition *bgraph* :: *('a ⇒ 'b option) ⇒ ('a × 'b) set['a set]* **is**
 $\lambda f. \{(a, b). f a = \text{Some } b\}$

proof –

fix *f* :: *'a ⇒ 'b option*
have $|\{(a, b). f a = \text{Some } b\}| \leq_o |UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}|$
by *(rule surj-imp-ordLeq[of - λx. (x, the (f x))]) auto*
also have $|UNIV :: 'a \text{ set}| <_o |UNIV :: 'a \text{ set set}|$
by *simp*
also have $|UNIV :: 'a \text{ set set}| \leq_o \text{natLeq} + c |UNIV :: 'a \text{ set set}|$
by *(rule ordLeq-csum2) simp*
finally show $|\{(a, b). f a = \text{Some } b\}| <_o \text{natLeq} + c |UNIV :: 'a \text{ set set}| .$

qed

lemma *rel-bset-False*[simp]: *rel-bset* ($\lambda x y. \text{False}$) $x y = (x = \text{bempty} \wedge y = \text{bempty})$
by *transfer* (*auto simp: rel-set-def*)

lemma *rel-bset-of-option*[simp]:
rel-bset R (*bset-of-option* $x1$) (*bset-of-option* $x2$) = *rel-option* R $x1$ $x2$
unfolding *bset-of-option-def bsingleton-def*[*abs-def*]
by *transfer* (*auto simp: rel-set-def split: option.splits*)

lemma *rel-bgraph*[simp]:
rel-bset (*rel-prod* (=) R) (*bgraph* $f1$) (*bgraph* $f2$) = *rel-fun* (=) (*rel-option* R) $f1$
 $f2$
apply *transfer*
apply (*auto simp: rel-fun-def rel-option-iff rel-set-def split: option.splits*)
using *option.collapse* **apply** *fastforce+*
done

lemma *set-bset-bsingleton*[simp]:
set-bset (*bsingleton* x) = $\{x\}$
unfolding *bsingleton-def* **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *binsert-absorb*[simp]: *binsert* a (*binsert* a x) = *binsert* a x
by *transfer simp*

lemma *map-bset-eq-bempty-iff*[simp]: *map-bset* f $X = \text{bempty} \longleftrightarrow X = \text{bempty}$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *map-bset-eq-bsingleton-iff*[simp]:
map-bset f $X = \text{bsingleton } x \longleftrightarrow (\text{set-bset } X \neq \{\} \wedge (\forall y \in \text{set-bset } X. f y = x))$
unfolding *bsingleton-def* **by** *transfer auto*

lift-definition *bCollect* :: ($'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$) $\Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} ['a \text{ set}]$ **is** *Collect*
apply (*rule ordLeq-ordLess-trans*[*OF card-of-mono1*[*OF subset-UNIV*]])
apply (*rule ordLess-ordLeq-trans*[*OF card-of-set-type*])
apply (*rule ordLeq-csum2*[*OF card-of-Card-order*])
done

lift-definition *bmember* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} ['k] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **is** ($\in$) .

lemma *bmember-bCollect*[simp]: *bmember* a (*bCollect* P) = $P a$
by *transfer simp*

lemma *bset-eq-iff*: $A = B \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. \text{bmember } a A = \text{bmember } a B)$
by *transfer auto*

locale *bset-lifting*
begin

```

declare bset.rel-eq[relator-eq]
declare bset.rel-mono[relator-mono]
declare bset.rel-compp[symmetric, relator-distr]
declare bset.rel-transfer[transfer-rule]

lemma bset-quot-map[quot-map]: Quotient R Abs Rep T  $\implies$ 
  Quotient (rel-bset R) (map-bset Abs) (map-bset Rep) (rel-bset T)
unfolding Quotient-alt-def5 bset.rel-Grp[of UNIV, simplified, symmetric]
  bset.rel-conversep[symmetric] bset.rel-compp[symmetric]
by (auto elim: bset.rel-mono-strong)

lemma set-relator-eq-onp [relator-eq-onp]:
  rel-bset (eq-onp P) = eq-onp ( $\lambda A.$  Ball (set-bset A) P)
unfolding fun-eq-iff eq-onp-def by transfer (auto simp: rel-set-def)

end

end

```