

# Ordinals and cardinals in HOL

Andrei Popescu & Dmitriy Traytel

## Contents

|          |                                                                                                      |           |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                                                                                  | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>More on Injections, Bijections and Inverses</b>                                                   | <b>5</b>  |
| 2.1      | Purely functional properties . . . . .                                                               | 5         |
| 2.2      | Properties involving finite and infinite sets . . . . .                                              | 6         |
| 2.3      | Properties involving Hilbert choice . . . . .                                                        | 6         |
| 2.4      | Other facts . . . . .                                                                                | 7         |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Basics on Order-Like Relations</b>                                                                | <b>7</b>  |
| 3.1      | The upper and lower bounds operators . . . . .                                                       | 7         |
| 3.2      | Properties depending on more than one relation . . . . .                                             | 12        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>More on Well-Founded Relations</b>                                                                | <b>13</b> |
| 4.1      | Well-founded recursion via genuine fixpoints . . . . .                                               | 13        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Well-Order Relations</b>                                                                          | <b>13</b> |
| 5.1      | Auxiliaries . . . . .                                                                                | 13        |
| 5.2      | Well-founded induction and recursion adapted to non-strict<br>well-order relations . . . . .         | 14        |
| 5.2.1    | Properties of max2 . . . . .                                                                         | 14        |
| 5.2.2    | Properties of minim . . . . .                                                                        | 14        |
| 5.2.3    | Properties of sup . . . . .                                                                          | 15        |
| 5.2.4    | Properties of successor . . . . .                                                                    | 16        |
| 5.2.5    | Properties of order filters . . . . .                                                                | 16        |
| 5.2.6    | Other properties . . . . .                                                                           | 17        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Well-Order Embeddings</b>                                                                         | <b>18</b> |
| 6.1      | Auxiliaries . . . . .                                                                                | 18        |
| 6.2      | (Well-order) embeddings, strict embeddings, isomorphisms<br>and order-compatible functions . . . . . | 18        |
| 6.3      | Uniqueness of embeddings . . . . .                                                                   | 19        |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Order Union</b>                                                                                   | <b>19</b> |

|           |                                                                                          |           |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>8</b>  | <b>Constructions on Wellorders</b>                                                       | <b>21</b> |
| 8.1       | Restriction to a set . . . . .                                                           | 22        |
| 8.2       | Order filters versus restrictions and embeddings . . . . .                               | 22        |
| 8.3       | Ordering the well-orders by existence of embeddings . . . . .                            | 23        |
| 8.4       | Copy via direct images . . . . .                                                         | 24        |
| 8.5       | The maxim among a finite set of ordinals . . . . .                                       | 25        |
| 8.6       | Limit and successor ordinals . . . . .                                                   | 26        |
| 8.6.1     | Successor and limit elements of an ordinal . . . . .                                     | 28        |
| 8.6.2     | Well-order recursion with (zero), successor, and limit . . . . .                         | 30        |
| 8.6.3     | Well-order succ-lim induction . . . . .                                                  | 31        |
| 8.7       | Projections of wellorders . . . . .                                                      | 32        |
| <b>9</b>  | <b>Ordinal Arithmetic</b>                                                                | <b>33</b> |
| <b>10</b> | <b>Cardinal-Order Relations</b>                                                          | <b>47</b> |
| 10.1      | Cardinal of a set . . . . .                                                              | 48        |
| 10.2      | Cardinals versus set operations on arbitrary sets . . . . .                              | 48        |
| 10.3      | Cardinals versus set operations involving infinite sets . . . . .                        | 55        |
| 10.4      | Cardinals versus lists . . . . .                                                         | 56        |
| 10.5      | Cardinals versus the finite powerset operator . . . . .                                  | 58        |
| 10.6      | The cardinal $\omega$ and the finite cardinals . . . . .                                 | 59        |
| 10.6.1    | First as well-orders . . . . .                                                           | 59        |
| 10.6.2    | Then as cardinals . . . . .                                                              | 60        |
| 10.6.3    | "Backward compatibility" with the numeric cardinal<br>operator for finite sets . . . . . | 61        |
| 10.7      | The successor of a cardinal . . . . .                                                    | 61        |
| 10.8      | Others . . . . .                                                                         | 62        |
| 10.9      | Infinite cardinals are limit ordinals . . . . .                                          | 65        |
| 10.10     | Regular vs. stable cardinals . . . . .                                                   | 66        |
| <b>11</b> | <b>Cardinal Arithmetic</b>                                                               | <b>67</b> |
| 11.1      | Binary sum . . . . .                                                                     | 67        |
| 11.2      | Product . . . . .                                                                        | 68        |
| 11.3      | Exponentiation . . . . .                                                                 | 68        |
| 11.4      | Powerset . . . . .                                                                       | 70        |
| 11.5      | Inverse image . . . . .                                                                  | 71        |
| 11.6      | Maximum . . . . .                                                                        | 71        |
| <b>12</b> | <b>Extending Well-founded Relations to Wellorders</b>                                    | <b>72</b> |
| 12.1      | Extending Well-founded Relations to Wellorders . . . . .                                 | 72        |
| <b>13</b> | <b>Theory of Ordinals and Cardinals</b>                                                  | <b>73</b> |

### Abstract

We develop a basic theory of ordinals and cardinals in Isabelle/HOL, up to the point where some cardinality facts relevant for the “working mathematician” become available. Unlike in set theory, here we do not have at hand canonical notions of ordinal and cardinal. Therefore, here an ordinal is merely a well-order relation and a cardinal is an ordinal minim w.r.t. order embedding on its field.

## 1 Introduction

In set theory (under formalizations such as Zermelo-Fraenkel or Von Neumann-Bernays-Gödel), an *ordinal* is a special kind of well-order, namely one whose strict version is the restriction of the membership relation to a set. In particular, the field of a set-theoretic ordinal is a transitive set, and the non-strict version of an ordinal relation is set inclusion. Set-theoretic ordinals enjoy the nice properties of membership on transitive sets, while at the same time forming a complete class of representatives for well-orders (since any well-order turns out isomorphic to an ordinal). Moreover, the class of ordinals is itself transitive and well-ordered by membership as the strict relation and inclusion as the non-strict relation. Also knowing that any set can be well-ordered (in the presence of the axiom of choice), one then defines the *cardinal* of a set to be the smallest ordinal isomorphic to a well-order on that set. This makes the class of cardinals a complete set of representatives for the intuitive notion of set cardinality.<sup>1</sup> The ability to produce *canonical well-orders* from the membership relation (having the aforementioned convenient properties) allows for a harmonious development of the theory of cardinals in set-theoretic settings. Non-trivial cardinality results, such as  $A$  being equipollent to  $A \times A$  for any infinite  $A$ , follow rather quickly within this theory.

However, a canonical notion of well-order is *not* available in HOL. Here, one has to do with well-order “as is”, but otherwise has all the necessary infrastructure (including Hilbert choice) to “climb” well-orders recursively and to well-order arbitrary sets.

The current work, formalized in Isabelle/HOL, develops the basic theory of ordinals and cardinals up to the point where there are inferred a collection of non-trivial cardinality facts useful for the “working mathematician”, among which:

---

<sup>1</sup>The “intuitive” cardinality of a set  $A$  is the class of all sets equipollent to  $A$ , i.e., being in bijection with  $A$ .

- Given any two sets (on any two given types)<sup>2</sup>, one is injectable in the other.
- If at least one of two sets is infinite, then their sum and their Cartesian product are equipollent to the larger of the two.
- The set of lists (and also the set of finite sets) with element from an infinite set is equipollent with that set.

Our development emulates the standard one from set-theory, but keeps everything *up to order isomorphism*. An (HOL) ordinal is merely a well-order. An *ordinal embedding* is an injective and order-compatible function which maps its source into an initial segment (i.e., order filter) of its target. Now, a *cardinal* (called in this work a *cardinal order*) is an ordinal minim w.r.t. the existence of embeddings among all well-orders on its field. After showing the existence of cardinals on any given set, we define the cardinal of a set  $A$ , denoted  $|A|$ , to be *some* cardinal order on  $A$ . This concept is unique only up to order isomorphism (denoted  $=o$ ), but meets its purpose: any two sets  $A$  and  $B$  (laying at potentially distinct types) are in bijection if and only if  $|A| =o |B|$ . Moreover, we also show that numeric cardinals assigned to finite sets<sup>3</sup> are *conservatively extended* by our general (order-theoretic) notion of cardinal. We study the interaction of cardinals with standard set-theoretic constructions such as powersets, products, sums and lists. These constructions are shown to preserve the “cardinal identity”  $=o$  and also to be monotonic w.r.t.  $\leq o$ , the ordinal embedding relation. By studying the interaction between these constructions, infinite sets and cardinals, we obtain the aforementioned results for “working mathematicians”.

For this development, we did not follow closely any particular textbook, and in fact are not aware of such basic theory of cardinals previously developed in HOL.<sup>4</sup> On the other hand, the set-theoretic versions of the facts proved here are folklore in set theory, and can be found, e.g., in the textbook [1]. Beyond taking care of some locality aspects concerning the spreading of our concepts throughout types, we have not departed much from the techniques used in set theory for establishing these facts – for instance, in the proof of one of our major theorems, *Card-order-Times-same-infinite* from Section 8.4,<sup>5</sup> we have essentially applied the technique described, e.g., in the proof of theorem 1.5.11 from [1], page 47.

Here is the structure of the rest of this document.

---

<sup>2</sup>Recall that, in HOL, a set on a type  $\alpha$  is modeled, just like a predicate, as a function from  $\alpha$  to `bool`.

<sup>3</sup>Numeric cardinals of finite sets are already formalized in Isabelle/HOL.

<sup>4</sup>After writing this formalization, we became aware of Paul Taylor’s membership-free development of the theory of ordinals [2].

<sup>5</sup>This theorem states that, for any infinite cardinal  $r$  on a set  $A$ ,  $|A \times A|$  is not larger than  $r$ .

The next three sections, 2-4, develop some mathematical prerequisites. In Section 2, a large collection of simple facts about injections, bijections, inverses, (in)finite sets and numeric cardinals are proved, making life easier for later, when proving less trivial facts. Section 3 introduces upper and lower bounds operators for order-like relations and studies their basic properties. Section 4 states some useful variations of well-founded recursion and induction principles.

Then come the major sections, 5-8. Section 5 defines and studies, in the context of a well-order relation, the notions of minimum (of a set), maximum (of two elements), supremum, successor (of a set), and order filter (i.e., initial segment, i.e., downward-closed set). Section 6 defines and studies (well-order) embeddings, strict embeddings, isomorphisms, and compatible functions. Section 7 deals with various constructions on well-orders, and with the relations  $\leq o$ ,  $< o$  and  $= o$  of well-order embedding, strict embedding, and isomorphism, respectively. Section 8 defines and studies cardinal order relations, the cardinal of a set, the connection of cardinals with set-theoretic constructs, the canonical cardinal of natural numbers and finite cardinals, the successor of a cardinal, as well as regular cardinals. (The latter play a crucial role in the development of a new (co)datatype package in HOL.)

Finally, section 9 provides an abstraction of the previous developments on cardinals, to provide a simpler user interface to cardinals, which in most of the cases allows to forget that cardinals are represented by orders and use them through defined arithmetic operators.

More informal details are provided at the beginning of each section, and also at the beginning of some of the subsections.

## References

- [1] M. Holz, K. Steffens, and E. Weitz. *Introduction to Cardinal Arithmetic*. Birkhäuser, 1999.
- [2] Paul Taylor. Intuitionistic sets and ordinals. *J. Symb. Log.*, 61(3):705–744, 1996.

## 2 More on Injections, Bijections and Inverses

```
theory Fun-More
imports Main
begin
```

### 2.1 Purely functional properties

```
lemma notIn-Un-bij-betw2:
assumes NIN:  $b \notin A$  and NIN':  $b' \notin A'$  and
```

*BIJ: bij-betw f A A'*  
**shows**  $\text{bij-betw } f (A \cup \{b\}) (A' \cup \{b'\}) = (f\ b = b')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bij-betw-ball*:  
**assumes** *BIJ: bij-betw f A B*  
**shows**  $(\forall b \in B. \text{phi } b) = (\forall a \in A. \text{phi}(f\ a))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bij-betw-diff-singl*:  
**assumes** *BIJ: bij-betw f A A' and IN: a ∈ A*  
**shows**  $\text{bij-betw } f (A - \{a\}) (A' - \{f\ a\})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 2.2 Properties involving finite and infinite sets

**lemma** *bij-betw-inv-into-RIGHT*:  
**assumes** *BIJ: bij-betw f A A' and SUB: B' ≤ A'*  
**shows**  $f\ ' ((\text{inv-into } A\ f)\ ' B') = B'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bij-betw-inv-into-RIGHT-LEFT*:  
**assumes** *BIJ: bij-betw f A A' and SUB: B' ≤ A' and*  
 $IM: (\text{inv-into } A\ f)\ ' B' = B$   
**shows**  $f\ ' B = B'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bij-betw-inv-into-twice*:  
**assumes** *bij-betw f A A'*  
**shows**  $\forall a \in A. \text{inv-into } A' (\text{inv-into } A\ f)\ a = f\ a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 2.3 Properties involving Hilbert choice

**lemma** *bij-betw-inv-into-LEFT*:  
**assumes** *BIJ: bij-betw f A A' and SUB: B ≤ A*  
**shows**  $(\text{inv-into } A\ f)\ '(f\ ' B) = B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bij-betw-inv-into-LEFT-RIGHT*:  
**assumes** *BIJ: bij-betw f A A' and SUB: B ≤ A and*  
 $IM: f\ ' B = B'$   
**shows**  $(\text{inv-into } A\ f)\ ' B' = B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 2.4 Other facts

**lemma** *atLeastLessThan-injective*:  
**assumes**  $\{0 \dots m :: \text{nat}\} = \{0 \dots n\}$   
**shows**  $m = n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *atLeastLessThan-injective2*:  
*bij-betw*  $f \{0 \dots m :: \text{nat}\} \{0 \dots n\} \implies m = n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *atLeastLessThan-less-eq*:  
 $(\{0 \dots m\} \leq \{0 \dots n\}) = ((m :: \text{nat}) \leq n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *atLeastLessThan-less-eq2*:  
**assumes** *inj-on*  $f \{0 \dots (m :: \text{nat})\} \wedge f ' \{0 \dots m\} \leq \{0 \dots n\}$   
**shows**  $m \leq n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *atLeastLessThan-less-eq3*:  
 $(\exists f. \text{inj-on } f \{0 \dots (m :: \text{nat})\} \wedge f ' \{0 \dots m\} \leq \{0 \dots n\}) = (m \leq n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *atLeastLessThan-less*:  
 $(\{0 \dots m\} < \{0 \dots n\}) = ((m :: \text{nat}) < n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

## 3 Basics on Order-Like Relations

**theory** *Order-Relation-More*  
**imports** *Main*  
**begin**

### 3.1 The upper and lower bounds operators

**lemma** *aboveS-subset-above*:  $\text{aboveS } r \ a \leq \text{above } r \ a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *AboveS-subset-Above*:  $\text{AboveS } r \ A \leq \text{Above } r \ A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UnderS-disjoint*:  $A \text{ Int } (\text{UnderS } r \ A) = \{\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *aboveS-notIn*:  $a \notin \text{aboveS } r \ a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Refl-above-in*:  $\llbracket \text{Refl } r; a \in \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies a \in \text{above } r \ a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *in-Above-under*:  $a \in \text{Field } r \implies a \in \text{Above } r \ (\text{under } r \ a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *in-Under-above*:  $a \in \text{Field } r \implies a \in \text{Under } r \ (\text{above } r \ a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *in-UnderS-aboveS*:  $a \in \text{Field } r \implies a \in \text{UnderS } r \ (\text{aboveS } r \ a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UnderS-subset-Under*:  $\text{UnderS } r \ A \leq \text{Under } r \ A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-Above-Under*:  $B \leq \text{Field } r \implies B \leq \text{Above } r \ (\text{Under } r \ B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-Under-Above*:  $B \leq \text{Field } r \implies B \leq \text{Under } r \ (\text{Above } r \ B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-AboveS-UnderS*:  $B \leq \text{Field } r \implies B \leq \text{AboveS } r \ (\text{UnderS } r \ B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-UnderS-AboveS*:  $B \leq \text{Field } r \implies B \leq \text{UnderS } r \ (\text{AboveS } r \ B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Under-Above-Galois*:  
 $\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; C \leq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies (B \leq \text{Above } r \ C) = (C \leq \text{Under } r \ B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UnderS-AboveS-Galois*:  
 $\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; C \leq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies (B \leq \text{AboveS } r \ C) = (C \leq \text{UnderS } r \ B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Refl-above-aboveS*:  
**assumes** *REFL*:  $\text{Refl } r$  **and** *IN*:  $a \in \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $\text{above } r \ a = \text{aboveS } r \ a \cup \{a\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Linear-order-under-aboveS-Field*:  
**assumes** *LIN*:  $\text{Linear-order } r$  **and** *IN*:  $a \in \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $\text{Field } r = \text{under } r \ a \cup \text{aboveS } r \ a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Linear-order-underS-above-Field*:



**assumes** *LIN*: *Linear-order*  $r$  **and** *IN*:  $a \in \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $\text{Field } r = \text{underS } r \ a \cup \text{above } r \ a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *under-empty*:  $a \notin \text{Field } r \implies \text{under } r \ a = \{\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Under-Field*:  $\text{Under } r \ A \leq \text{Field } r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UnderS-Field*:  $\text{UnderS } r \ A \leq \text{Field } r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *above-Field*:  $\text{above } r \ a \leq \text{Field } r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *aboveS-Field*:  $\text{aboveS } r \ a \leq \text{Field } r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Above-Field*:  $\text{Above } r \ A \leq \text{Field } r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Refl-under-Under*:  
**assumes** *REFL*: *Refl*  $r$  **and** *NE*:  $A \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $\text{Under } r \ A = (\bigcap a \in A. \text{under } r \ a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Refl-underS-UnderS*:  
**assumes** *REFL*: *Refl*  $r$  **and** *NE*:  $A \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $\text{UnderS } r \ A = (\bigcap a \in A. \text{underS } r \ a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Refl-above-Above*:  
**assumes** *REFL*: *Refl*  $r$  **and** *NE*:  $A \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $\text{Above } r \ A = (\bigcap a \in A. \text{above } r \ a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Refl-aboveS-AboveS*:  
**assumes** *REFL*: *Refl*  $r$  **and** *NE*:  $A \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $\text{AboveS } r \ A = (\bigcap a \in A. \text{aboveS } r \ a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *under-Under-singl*:  $\text{under } r \ a = \text{Under } r \ \{a\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *underS-UnderS-singl*:  $\text{underS } r \ a = \text{UnderS } r \ \{a\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *above-Above-singl*:  $\text{above } r \ a = \text{Above } r \ \{a\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aboveS-AboveS-singl*:  $aboveS\ r\ a = AboveS\ r\ \{a\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Under-decr*:  $A \leq B \implies Under\ r\ B \leq Under\ r\ A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UnderS-decr*:  $A \leq B \implies UnderS\ r\ B \leq UnderS\ r\ A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Above-decr*:  $A \leq B \implies Above\ r\ B \leq Above\ r\ A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *AboveS-decr*:  $A \leq B \implies AboveS\ r\ B \leq AboveS\ r\ A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *under-incl-iff*:  
**assumes** *TRANS*:  $trans\ r$  **and** *REFL*:  $Refl\ r$  **and** *IN*:  $a \in Field\ r$   
**shows**  $(under\ r\ a \leq under\ r\ b) = ((a,b) \in r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *above-decr*:  
**assumes** *TRANS*:  $trans\ r$  **and** *REL*:  $(a,b) \in r$   
**shows**  $above\ r\ b \leq above\ r\ a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aboveS-decr*:  
**assumes** *TRANS*:  $trans\ r$  **and** *ANTISYM*:  $antisym\ r$  **and**  
 $REL$ :  $(a,b) \in r$   
**shows**  $aboveS\ r\ b \leq aboveS\ r\ a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *under-trans*:  
**assumes** *TRANS*:  $trans\ r$  **and**  
 $IN1$ :  $a \in under\ r\ b$  **and**  $IN2$ :  $b \in under\ r\ c$   
**shows**  $a \in under\ r\ c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *under-underS-trans*:  
**assumes** *TRANS*:  $trans\ r$  **and** *ANTISYM*:  $antisym\ r$  **and**  
 $IN1$ :  $a \in under\ r\ b$  **and**  $IN2$ :  $b \in underS\ r\ c$   
**shows**  $a \in underS\ r\ c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *underS-under-trans*:  
**assumes** *TRANS*:  $trans\ r$  **and** *ANTISYM*:  $antisym\ r$  **and**  
 $IN1$ :  $a \in underS\ r\ b$  **and**  $IN2$ :  $b \in under\ r\ c$   
**shows**  $a \in underS\ r\ c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *underS-underS-trans*:

**assumes** *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**

*IN1*:  $a \in \text{underS } r \ b$  **and** *IN2*:  $b \in \text{underS } r \ c$

**shows**  $a \in \text{underS } r \ c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *above-trans*:

**assumes** *TRANS*: *trans r* **and**

*IN1*:  $b \in \text{above } r \ a$  **and** *IN2*:  $c \in \text{above } r \ b$

**shows**  $c \in \text{above } r \ a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *above-aboveS-trans*:

**assumes** *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**

*IN1*:  $b \in \text{above } r \ a$  **and** *IN2*:  $c \in \text{aboveS } r \ b$

**shows**  $c \in \text{aboveS } r \ a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aboveS-above-trans*:

**assumes** *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**

*IN1*:  $b \in \text{aboveS } r \ a$  **and** *IN2*:  $c \in \text{above } r \ b$

**shows**  $c \in \text{aboveS } r \ a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aboveS-aboveS-trans*:

**assumes** *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**

*IN1*:  $b \in \text{aboveS } r \ a$  **and** *IN2*:  $c \in \text{aboveS } r \ b$

**shows**  $c \in \text{aboveS } r \ a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *under-Under-trans*:

**assumes** *TRANS*: *trans r* **and**

*IN1*:  $a \in \text{under } r \ b$  **and** *IN2*:  $b \in \text{Under } r \ C$

**shows**  $a \in \text{Under } r \ C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *underS-Under-trans*:

**assumes** *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**

*IN1*:  $a \in \text{underS } r \ b$  **and** *IN2*:  $b \in \text{Under } r \ C$

**shows**  $a \in \text{UnderS } r \ C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *underS-UnderS-trans*:

**assumes** *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**

*IN1*:  $a \in \text{underS } r \ b$  **and** *IN2*:  $b \in \text{UnderS } r \ C$

**shows**  $a \in \text{UnderS } r \ C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *above-Above-trans*:  
**assumes** *TRANS*: *trans r* **and**  
            $IN1: a \in \text{above } r \ b$  **and**  $IN2: b \in \text{Above } r \ C$   
**shows**  $a \in \text{Above } r \ C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *aboveS-Above-trans*:  
**assumes** *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**  
            $IN1: a \in \text{aboveS } r \ b$  **and**  $IN2: b \in \text{Above } r \ C$   
**shows**  $a \in \text{AboveS } r \ C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *above-AboveS-trans*:  
**assumes** *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**  
            $IN1: a \in \text{above } r \ b$  **and**  $IN2: b \in \text{AboveS } r \ C$   
**shows**  $a \in \text{AboveS } r \ C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *aboveS-AboveS-trans*:  
**assumes** *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**  
            $IN1: a \in \text{aboveS } r \ b$  **and**  $IN2: b \in \text{AboveS } r \ C$   
**shows**  $a \in \text{AboveS } r \ C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *under-UnderS-trans*:  
**assumes** *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**  
            $IN1: a \in \text{under } r \ b$  **and**  $IN2: b \in \text{UnderS } r \ C$   
**shows**  $a \in \text{UnderS } r \ C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 3.2 Properties depending on more than one relation

**lemma** *under-incr2*:  
 $r \leq r' \implies \text{under } r \ a \leq \text{under } r' \ a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *underS-incr2*:  
 $r \leq r' \implies \text{underS } r \ a \leq \text{underS } r' \ a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *above-incr2*:  
 $r \leq r' \implies \text{above } r \ a \leq \text{above } r' \ a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *aboveS-incr2*:  
 $r \leq r' \implies \text{aboveS } r \ a \leq \text{aboveS } r' \ a$

*<proof>*

**end**

## 4 More on Well-Founded Relations

**theory** *Wellfounded-More*  
**imports** *Main Order-Relation-More*  
**begin**

### 4.1 Well-founded recursion via genuine fixpoints

**lemma** *adm-wf-unique-fixpoint*:  
**fixes**  $r :: ('a * 'a) \text{ set}$  **and**  
     $H :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$  **and**  
     $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$  **and**  $g :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$   
**assumes** *WF*:  $\text{wf } r$  **and** *ADM*:  $\text{adm-wf } r \ H$  **and** *fFP*:  $f = H \ f$  **and** *gFP*:  $g = H \ g$   
**shows**  $f = g$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *wfrec-unique-fixpoint*:  
**fixes**  $r :: ('a * 'a) \text{ set}$  **and**  
     $H :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$  **and**  
     $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$   
**assumes** *WF*:  $\text{wf } r$  **and** *ADM*:  $\text{adm-wf } r \ H$  **and**  
    *fp*:  $f = H \ f$   
**shows**  $f = \text{wfrec } r \ H$   
*<proof>*

**end**

## 5 Well-Order Relations

**theory** *Wellorder-Relation*  
**imports** *Wellfounded-More*  
**begin**

**context** *wo-rel*  
**begin**

### 5.1 Auxiliaries

**lemma** *PREORD*: *Preorder*  $r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *PARORD*: *Partial-order*  $r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cases-Total2*:

$\wedge \text{ phi } a \text{ b. } \llbracket \{a,b\} \leq \text{Field } r; ((a,b) \in r - \text{Id} \implies \text{phi } a \text{ b});$   
 $\quad ((b,a) \in r - \text{Id} \implies \text{phi } a \text{ b}); (a = b \implies \text{phi } a \text{ b}) \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{phi } a \text{ b}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 5.2 Well-founded induction and recursion adapted to non-strict well-order relations

**lemma** *worec-unique-fixpoint*:

**assumes** *ADM*: *adm-wo* *H* **and** *fp*:  $f = H \text{ } f$

**shows**  $f = \text{worec } H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 5.2.1 Properties of max2

**lemma** *max2-iff*:

**assumes**  $a \in \text{Field } r$  **and**  $b \in \text{Field } r$

**shows**  $((\text{max2 } a \text{ b}, c) \in r) = ((a,c) \in r \wedge (b,c) \in r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 5.2.2 Properties of minim

**lemma** *minim-Under*:

$\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; B \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \text{minim } B \in \text{Under } B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *equals-minim-Under*:

$\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; a \in B; a \in \text{Under } B \rrbracket$

$\implies a = \text{minim } B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *minim-iff-In-Under*:

**assumes** *SUB*:  $B \leq \text{Field } r$  **and** *NE*:  $B \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $(a = \text{minim } B) = (a \in B \wedge a \in \text{Under } B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *minim-Under-under*:

**assumes** *NE*:  $A \neq \{\}$  **and** *SUB*:  $A \leq \text{Field } r$

**shows**  $\text{Under } A = \text{under } (\text{minim } A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *minim-UnderS-underS*:

**assumes** *NE*:  $A \neq \{\}$  **and** *SUB*:  $A \leq \text{Field } r$

**shows**  $\text{UnderS } A = \text{underS } (\text{minim } A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 5.2.3 Properties of $\text{supr}$

**lemma** *supr-Above*:

**assumes**  $SUB: B \leq \text{Field } r$  **and**  $ABOVE: \text{Above } B \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $\text{supr } B \in \text{Above } B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *supr-greater*:

**assumes**  $SUB: B \leq \text{Field } r$  **and**  $ABOVE: \text{Above } B \neq \{\}$  **and**

$IN: b \in B$

**shows**  $(b, \text{supr } B) \in r$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *supr-least-Above*:

**assumes**  $SUB: B \leq \text{Field } r$  **and**

$ABOVE: a \in \text{Above } B$

**shows**  $(\text{supr } B, a) \in r$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *supr-least*:

$\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; a \in \text{Field } r; (\bigwedge b. b \in B \implies (b, a) \in r) \rrbracket$

$\implies (\text{supr } B, a) \in r$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *equals-supr-Above*:

**assumes**  $SUB: B \leq \text{Field } r$  **and**  $ABV: a \in \text{Above } B$  **and**

$MINIM: \bigwedge a'. a' \in \text{Above } B \implies (a, a') \in r$

**shows**  $a = \text{supr } B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *equals-supr*:

**assumes**  $SUB: B \leq \text{Field } r$  **and**  $IN: a \in \text{Field } r$  **and**

$ABV: \bigwedge b. b \in B \implies (b, a) \in r$  **and**

$MINIM: \bigwedge a'. \llbracket a' \in \text{Field } r; \bigwedge b. b \in B \implies (b, a') \in r \rrbracket \implies (a, a') \in r$

**shows**  $a = \text{supr } B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *supr-inField*:

**assumes**  $B \leq \text{Field } r$  **and**  $\text{Above } B \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $\text{supr } B \in \text{Field } r$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *supr-above-Above*:

**assumes**  $SUB: B \leq \text{Field } r$  **and**  $ABOVE: \text{Above } B \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $\text{Above } B = \text{above } (\text{supr } B)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *supr-under*:

**assumes**  $IN: a \in \text{Field } r$

**shows**  $a = \text{supr } (\text{under } a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

#### 5.2.4 Properties of successor

**lemma** *suc-least*:

$\llbracket B \leq Field\ r; a \in Field\ r; (\bigwedge b. b \in B \implies a \neq b \wedge (b, a) \in r) \rrbracket$   
 $\implies (suc\ B, a) \in r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *equals-suc*:

**assumes** *SUB*:  $B \leq Field\ r$  **and** *IN*:  $a \in Field\ r$  **and**

*ABVS*:  $\bigwedge b. b \in B \implies a \neq b \wedge (b, a) \in r$  **and**

*MINIM*:  $\bigwedge a'. \llbracket a' \in Field\ r; \bigwedge b. b \in B \implies a' \neq b \wedge (b, a') \in r \rrbracket \implies (a, a') \in r$

**shows**  $a = suc\ B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *suc-above-AboveS*:

**assumes** *SUB*:  $B \leq Field\ r$  **and**

*ABOVE*:  $AboveS\ B \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $AboveS\ B = above\ (suc\ B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *suc-singl-pred*:

**assumes** *IN*:  $a \in Field\ r$  **and** *ABOVE-NE*:  $aboveS\ a \neq \{\}$  **and**

*REL*:  $(a', suc\ \{a\}) \in r$  **and** *DIFF*:  $a' \neq suc\ \{a\}$

**shows**  $a' = a \vee (a', a) \in r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *under-underS-suc*:

**assumes** *IN*:  $a \in Field\ r$  **and** *ABV*:  $aboveS\ a \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $underS\ (suc\ \{a\}) = under\ a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

#### 5.2.5 Properties of order filters

**lemma** *ofilter-Under[simp]*:

**assumes**  $A \leq Field\ r$

**shows**  $ofilter\ (Under\ A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ofilter-UnderS[simp]*:

**assumes**  $A \leq Field\ r$

**shows**  $ofilter\ (UnderS\ A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ofilter-Int[simp]*:  $\llbracket ofilter\ A; ofilter\ B \rrbracket \implies ofilter\ (A\ Int\ B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ofilter-Un[simp]*:  $\llbracket ofilter\ A; ofilter\ B \rrbracket \implies ofilter\ (A \cup B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$



**lemma** *ofilter-INTER:*

$\llbracket I \neq \{\}; \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{ofilter}(A\ i) \rrbracket \implies \text{ofilter} (\bigcap i \in I. A\ i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ofilter-Inter:*

$\llbracket S \neq \{\}; \bigwedge A. A \in S \implies \text{ofilter } A \rrbracket \implies \text{ofilter} (\bigcap S)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ofilter-Union:*

$(\bigwedge A. A \in S \implies \text{ofilter } A) \implies \text{ofilter} (\bigcup S)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ofilter-under-Union:*

$\text{ofilter } A \implies A = \bigcup \{\text{under } a \mid a. a \in A\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 5.2.6 Other properties

**lemma** *Trans-Under-regressive:*

**assumes** *NE:*  $A \neq \{\}$  **and** *SUB:*  $A \leq \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $\text{Under}(\text{Under } A) \leq \text{Under } A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ofilter-suc-Field:*

**assumes** *OF:*  $\text{ofilter } A$  **and** *NE:*  $A \neq \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $\text{ofilter} (A \cup \{\text{suc } A\})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**declare**

*minim-in[simp]*  
*minim-inField[simp]*  
*minim-least[simp]*  
*under-ofilter[simp]*  
*underS-ofilter[simp]*  
*Field-ofilter[simp]*

**end**

**abbreviation** *worec*  $\equiv \text{wo-rel.worec}$

**abbreviation** *adm-wo*  $\equiv \text{wo-rel.adm-wo}$

**abbreviation** *isMinim*  $\equiv \text{wo-rel.isMinim}$

**abbreviation** *minim*  $\equiv \text{wo-rel.minim}$

**abbreviation** *max2*  $\equiv \text{wo-rel.max2}$

**abbreviation** *supr*  $\equiv \text{wo-rel.supr}$

**abbreviation** *suc*  $\equiv \text{wo-rel.suc}$

**end**

## 6 Well-Order Embeddings

**theory** *Wellorder-Embedding*  
**imports** *Fun-More Wellorder-Relation*  
**begin**

### 6.1 Auxiliaries

**lemma** *UNION-bij-betw-ofilter*:  
**assumes** *WELL*: *Well-order* *r* **and**  
 $OF: \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies ofilter\ r\ (A\ i)$  **and**  
 $BIJ: \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies bij\_betw\ f\ (A\ i)\ (A'\ i)$   
**shows**  $bij\_betw\ f\ (\bigcup i \in I. A\ i)\ (\bigcup i \in I. A'\ i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 6.2 (Well-order) embeddings, strict embeddings, isomorphisms and order-compatible functions

**lemma** *embed-halfcong*:  
**assumes** *EQ*:  $\bigwedge a. a \in Field\ r \implies f\ a = g\ a$  **and**  
 $EMB: embed\ r\ r'\ f$   
**shows**  $embed\ r\ r'\ g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *embed-cong[fundef-cong]*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge a. a \in Field\ r \implies f\ a = g\ a$   
**shows**  $embed\ r\ r'\ f = embed\ r\ r'\ g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *embedS-cong[fundef-cong]*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge a. a \in Field\ r \implies f\ a = g\ a$   
**shows**  $embedS\ r\ r'\ f = embedS\ r\ r'\ g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *iso-cong[fundef-cong]*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge a. a \in Field\ r \implies f\ a = g\ a$   
**shows**  $iso\ r\ r'\ f = iso\ r\ r'\ g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *id-compat*:  $compat\ r\ r\ id$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *comp-compat*:  
 $\llbracket compat\ r\ r'\ f; compat\ r'\ r''\ f \rrbracket \implies compat\ r\ r''\ (f' \circ f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *one-set-greater*:  
 $(\exists f::'a \Rightarrow 'a'. f\ 'A \leq A' \wedge inj\_on\ f\ A) \vee (\exists g::'a' \Rightarrow 'a. g\ 'A' \leq A \wedge inj\_on\ g\ A')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *one-type-greater*:  
 $(\exists f::'a \Rightarrow 'a'. \text{inj } f) \vee (\exists g::'a' \Rightarrow 'a. \text{inj } g)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 6.3 Uniqueness of embeddings

**lemma** *comp-embedS*:  
**assumes** *WELL*: *Well-order r* **and** *WELL'*: *Well-order r'* **and** *WELL''*: *Well-order r''*  
**and** *EMB*: *embedS r r' f* **and** *EMB'*: *embedS r' r'' f'*  
**shows** *embedS r r'' (f' o f)*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *iso-iff4*:  
**assumes** *WELL*: *Well-order r* **and** *WELL'*: *Well-order r'*  
**shows**  $\text{iso } r \ r' \ f = (\text{embed } r \ r' \ f \wedge \text{embed } r' \ r \ (\text{inv-into } (\text{Field } r) \ f))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *embed-embedS-iso*:  
 $\text{embed } r \ r' \ f = (\text{embedS } r \ r' \ f \vee \text{iso } r \ r' \ f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *not-embedS-iso*:  
 $\neg (\text{embedS } r \ r' \ f \wedge \text{iso } r \ r' \ f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *embed-embedS-iff-not-iso*:  
**assumes** *embed r r' f*  
**shows**  $\text{embedS } r \ r' \ f = (\neg \text{iso } r \ r' \ f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *iso-inv-into*:  
**assumes** *WELL*: *Well-order r* **and** *ISO*: *iso r r' f*  
**shows**  $\text{iso } r' \ r \ (\text{inv-into } (\text{Field } r) \ f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *embedS-or-iso*:  
**assumes** *WELL*: *Well-order r* **and** *WELL'*: *Well-order r'*  
**shows**  $(\exists g. \text{embedS } r \ r' \ g) \vee (\exists h. \text{embedS } r' \ r \ h) \vee (\exists f. \text{iso } r \ r' \ f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

## 7 Order Union

**theory** *Order-Union*  
**imports** *Main*  
**begin**

**definition**  $Osum :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ rel$  (**infix**  $Osum\ 60$ ) **where**  
 $r\ Osum\ r' = r \cup r' \cup \{(a, a') . a \in Field\ r \wedge a' \in Field\ r'\}$

**notation**  $Osum$  (**infix**  $\cup o\ 60$ )

**lemma** *Field-Osum*:  $Field\ (r \cup o\ r') = Field\ r \cup Field\ r'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Osum-wf*:  
**assumes**  $FLD$ :  $Field\ r\ Int\ Field\ r' = \{\}$  **and**  
 $WF$ :  $wf\ r$  **and**  $WF'$ :  $wf\ r'$   
**shows**  $wf\ (r\ Osum\ r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Osum-Refl*:  
**assumes**  $FLD$ :  $Field\ r\ Int\ Field\ r' = \{\}$  **and**  
 $REFL$ :  $Refl\ r$  **and**  $REFL'$ :  $Refl\ r'$   
**shows**  $Refl\ (r\ Osum\ r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Osum-trans*:  
**assumes**  $FLD$ :  $Field\ r\ Int\ Field\ r' = \{\}$  **and**  
 $TRANS$ :  $trans\ r$  **and**  $TRANS'$ :  $trans\ r'$   
**shows**  $trans\ (r\ Osum\ r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Osum-Preorder*:  
 $\llbracket Field\ r\ Int\ Field\ r' = \{\};\ Preorder\ r;\ Preorder\ r' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Preorder\ (r\ Osum\ r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Osum-antisym*:  
**assumes**  $FLD$ :  $Field\ r\ Int\ Field\ r' = \{\}$  **and**  
 $AN$ :  $antisym\ r$  **and**  $AN'$ :  $antisym\ r'$   
**shows**  $antisym\ (r\ Osum\ r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Osum-Partial-order*:  
 $\llbracket Field\ r\ Int\ Field\ r' = \{\};\ Partial-order\ r;\ Partial-order\ r' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$   
 $Partial-order\ (r\ Osum\ r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Osum-Total*:  
**assumes**  $FLD$ :  $Field\ r\ Int\ Field\ r' = \{\}$  **and**  
 $TOT$ :  $Total\ r$  **and**  $TOT'$ :  $Total\ r'$   
**shows**  $Total\ (r\ Osum\ r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Osum-Linear-order*:  
 $\llbracket Field\ r\ Int\ Field\ r' = \{\};\ Linear-order\ r;\ Linear-order\ r' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

*Linear-order* ( $r$  *Osum*  $r'$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Osum-minus-Id1*:  
**assumes**  $r \leq Id$   
**shows**  $(r \text{ Osum } r') - Id \leq (r' - Id) \cup (Field\ r \times Field\ r')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Osum-minus-Id2*:  
**assumes**  $r' \leq Id$   
**shows**  $(r \text{ Osum } r') - Id \leq (r - Id) \cup (Field\ r \times Field\ r')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Osum-minus-Id*:  
**assumes** *TOT*: *Total*  $r$  **and** *TOT'*: *Total*  $r'$  **and**  
 $NID: \neg (r \leq Id)$  **and**  $NID': \neg (r' \leq Id)$   
**shows**  $(r \text{ Osum } r') - Id \leq (r - Id) \text{ Osum } (r' - Id)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *wf-Int-Times*:  
**assumes**  $A \text{ Int } B = \{\}$   
**shows**  $wf(A \times B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Osum-wf-Id*:  
**assumes** *TOT*: *Total*  $r$  **and** *TOT'*: *Total*  $r'$  **and**  
 $FLD: Field\ r \text{ Int } Field\ r' = \{\}$  **and**  
 $WF: wf(r - Id)$  **and**  $WF': wf(r' - Id)$   
**shows**  $wf((r \text{ Osum } r') - Id)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Osum-Well-order*:  
**assumes**  $FLD: Field\ r \text{ Int } Field\ r' = \{\}$  **and**  
 $WELL: Well\text{-}order\ r$  **and**  $WELL': Well\text{-}order\ r'$   
**shows**  $Well\text{-}order\ (r \text{ Osum } r')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

## 8 Constructions on Wellorders

**theory** *Wellorder-Constructions*  
**imports**  
 $Wellorder\text{-}Embedding\ Order\text{-}Union$   
**begin**  
  
**unbundle** *cardinal-syntax*  
  
**declare**

$ordLeq\text{-}Well\text{-}order\text{-}simp[simp]$   
 $not\text{-}ordLeq\text{-}iff\text{-}ordLess[simp]$   
 $not\text{-}ordLess\text{-}iff\text{-}ordLeq[simp]$   
 $Func\text{-}empty[simp]$   
 $Func\text{-}is\text{-}emp[simp]$

**lemma**  $Func\text{-}emp2[simp]$ :  $A \neq \{\} \implies Func\ A\ \{\} = \{\}$   $\langle proof \rangle$

## 8.1 Restriction to a set

**lemma**  $Restr\text{-}incr2$ :

$r \leq r' \implies Restr\ r\ A \leq Restr\ r'\ A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Restr\text{-}incr$ :

$\llbracket r \leq r'; A \leq A' \rrbracket \implies Restr\ r\ A \leq Restr\ r'\ A'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Restr\text{-}Int$ :

$Restr\ (Restr\ r\ A)\ B = Restr\ r\ (A\ Int\ B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Restr\text{-}iff$ :  $(a,b) \in Restr\ r\ A = (a \in A \wedge b \in A \wedge (a,b) \in r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Restr\text{-}subset1$ :  $Restr\ r\ A \leq r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Restr\text{-}subset2$ :  $Restr\ r\ A \leq A \times A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $wf\text{-}Restr$ :

$wf\ r \implies wf(Restr\ r\ A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Restr\text{-}incr1$ :

$A \leq B \implies Restr\ r\ A \leq Restr\ r\ B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 8.2 Order filters versus restrictions and embeddings

**lemma**  $ofilter\text{-}Restr$ :

**assumes**  $WELL$ :  $Well\text{-}order\ r$  **and**

$OFA$ :  $ofilter\ r\ A$  **and**  $OFB$ :  $ofilter\ r\ B$  **and**  $SUB$ :  $A \leq B$

**shows**  $ofilter\ (Restr\ r\ B)\ A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ofilter\text{-}subset\text{-}iso$ :

**assumes**  $WELL$ :  $Well\text{-}order\ r$  **and**

$OFA$ :  $ofilter\ r\ A$  **and**  $OFB$ :  $ofilter\ r\ B$

**shows**  $(A = B) = \text{iso } (\text{Restr } r \ A) \ (\text{Restr } r \ B) \ \text{id}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 8.3 Ordering the well-orders by existence of embeddings

**corollary** *ordLeq-refl-on*:  $\text{refl-on } \{r. \text{ Well-order } r\} \ \text{ordLeq}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *ordLeq-trans*:  $\text{trans } \text{ordLeq}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *ordLeq-preorder-on*:  $\text{preorder-on } \{r. \text{ Well-order } r\} \ \text{ordLeq}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *ordIso-refl-on*:  $\text{refl-on } \{r. \text{ Well-order } r\} \ \text{ordIso}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *ordIso-trans*:  $\text{trans } \text{ordIso}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *ordIso-sym*:  $\text{sym } \text{ordIso}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *ordIso-equiv*:  $\text{equiv } \{r. \text{ Well-order } r\} \ \text{ordIso}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordLess-Well-order-simp[simp]*:  
**assumes**  $r <_o r'$   
**shows**  $\text{Well-order } r \wedge \text{Well-order } r'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordIso-Well-order-simp[simp]*:  
**assumes**  $r =_o r'$   
**shows**  $\text{Well-order } r \wedge \text{Well-order } r'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordLess-irrefl*:  $\text{irrefl } \text{ordLess}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordLess-or-ordIso*:  
**assumes**  $\text{WELL: Well-order } r$  **and**  $\text{WELL': Well-order } r'$   
**shows**  $r <_o r' \vee r' <_o r \vee r =_o r'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *ordLeq-ordLess-Un-ordIso*:  
 $\text{ordLeq} = \text{ordLess} \cup \text{ordIso}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *not-ordLeq-ordLess*:

$r \leq_o r' \implies \neg r' <_o r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordIso-or-ordLess*:  
**assumes** *WELL*: *Well-order*  $r$  **and** *WELL'*: *Well-order*  $r'$   
**shows**  $r =_o r' \vee r <_o r' \vee r' <_o r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *ord-trans* = *ordIso-transitive ordLeq-transitive ordLess-transitive*  
*ordIso-ordLeq-trans ordLeq-ordIso-trans*  
*ordIso-ordLess-trans ordLess-ordIso-trans*  
*ordLess-ordLeq-trans ordLeq-ordLess-trans*

**lemma** *ofilter-ordLeq*:  
**assumes** *Well-order*  $r$  **and** *ofilter*  $r$   $A$   
**shows** *Restr*  $r$   $A \leq_o r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *under-Restr-ordLeq*:  
*Well-order*  $r \implies \text{Restr } r \text{ (under } r \text{ } a) \leq_o r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 8.4 Copy via direct images

**lemma** *Id-dir-image*: *dir-image*  $\text{Id } f \leq \text{Id}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-dir-image*:  
*dir-image*  $(r1 \cup r2) f = (\text{dir-image } r1 f) \cup (\text{dir-image } r2 f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-dir-image*:  
**assumes** *inj-on*  $f$   $(\text{Field } r1 \cup \text{Field } r2)$   
**shows** *dir-image*  $(r1 \text{ Int } r2) f = (\text{dir-image } r1 f) \text{ Int } (\text{dir-image } r2 f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Osum-embed*:  
**assumes** *FLD*: *Field*  $r$  *Int* *Field*  $r' = \{\}$  **and**  
*WELL*: *Well-order*  $r$  **and** *WELL'*: *Well-order*  $r'$   
**shows** *embed*  $r$   $(r \text{ Osum } r') \text{ id}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *Osum-ordLeq*:  
**assumes** *FLD*: *Field*  $r$  *Int* *Field*  $r' = \{\}$  **and**  
*WELL*: *Well-order*  $r$  **and** *WELL'*: *Well-order*  $r'$   
**shows**  $r \leq_o r \text{ Osum } r'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$



**lemma** *Well-order-embed-copy*:  
**assumes** *WELL*: *well-order-on A r* **and**  
*INJ*: *inj-on f A* **and** *SUB*:  $f \cdot A \leq B$   
**shows**  $\exists r'. \text{ well-order-on } B \ r' \wedge r \leq_o r'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 8.5 The maxim among a finite set of ordinals

The correct phrasing would be “a maxim of ...”, as  $\leq_o$  is only a preorder.

**definition** *isOmax* :: *'a rel set*  $\Rightarrow$  *'a rel*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*  
**where**  
*isOmax R r*  $\equiv r \in R \wedge (\forall r' \in R. r' \leq_o r)$

**definition** *omax* :: *'a rel set*  $\Rightarrow$  *'a rel*  
**where**  
*omax R* == *SOME r. isOmax R r*

**lemma** *exists-isOmax*:  
**assumes** *finite R* **and**  $R \neq \{\}$  **and**  $\forall r \in R. \text{ Well-order } r$   
**shows**  $\exists r. \text{ isOmax } R \ r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omax-isOmax*:  
**assumes** *finite R* **and**  $R \neq \{\}$  **and**  $\forall r \in R. \text{ Well-order } r$   
**shows** *isOmax R (omax R)*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omax-in*:  
**assumes** *finite R* **and**  $R \neq \{\}$  **and**  $\forall r \in R. \text{ Well-order } r$   
**shows** *omax R*  $\in R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Well-order-omax*:  
**assumes** *finite R* **and**  $R \neq \{\}$  **and**  $\forall r \in R. \text{ Well-order } r$   
**shows** *Well-order (omax R)*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omax-maxim*:  
**assumes** *finite R* **and**  $\forall r \in R. \text{ Well-order } r$  **and**  $r \in R$   
**shows**  $r \leq_o \text{omax } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omax-ordLeq*:  
**assumes** *finite R* **and**  $R \neq \{\}$  **and**  $\forall r \in R. r \leq_o p$   
**shows** *omax R*  $\leq_o p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omax-ordLess*:

**assumes** *finite*  $R$  **and**  $R \neq \{\}$  **and**  $\ast: \forall r \in R. r <_o p$   
**shows**  $\text{omax } R <_o p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omax-ordLeq-elim*:  
**assumes** *finite*  $R$  **and**  $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$   
**and**  $\text{omax } R \leq_o p$  **and**  $r \in R$   
**shows**  $r \leq_o p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omax-ordLess-elim*:  
**assumes** *finite*  $R$  **and**  $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$   
**and**  $\text{omax } R <_o p$  **and**  $r \in R$   
**shows**  $r <_o p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordLeq-omax*:  
**assumes** *finite*  $R$  **and**  $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$   
**and**  $r \in R$  **and**  $p \leq_o r$   
**shows**  $p \leq_o \text{omax } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordLess-omax*:  
**assumes** *finite*  $R$  **and**  $\forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$   
**and**  $r \in R$  **and**  $p <_o r$   
**shows**  $p <_o \text{omax } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omax-ordLeq-mono*:  
**assumes**  $P: \text{finite } P$  **and**  $R: \text{finite } R$   
**and**  $\text{NE-}P: P \neq \{\}$  **and**  $\text{Well-}R: \forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$   
**and**  $\text{LEQ}: \forall p \in P. \exists r \in R. p \leq_o r$   
**shows**  $\text{omax } P \leq_o \text{omax } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omax-ordLess-mono*:  
**assumes**  $P: \text{finite } P$  **and**  $R: \text{finite } R$   
**and**  $\text{NE-}P: P \neq \{\}$  **and**  $\text{Well-}R: \forall r \in R. \text{Well-order } r$   
**and**  $\text{LEQ}: \forall p \in P. \exists r \in R. p <_o r$   
**shows**  $\text{omax } P <_o \text{omax } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 8.6 Limit and succesor ordinals

**lemma** *embed-underS2*:  
**assumes**  $r: \text{Well-order } r$  **and**  $g: \text{embed } r \text{ } s \text{ } g$  **and**  $a: a \in \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $g \text{ ` } \text{underS } r \text{ } a = \text{underS } s \text{ } (g \text{ } a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bij-betw-insert*:  
**assumes**  $b \notin A$  **and**  $f\ b \notin A'$  **and** *bij-betw*  $f\ A\ A'$   
**shows** *bij-betw*  $f\ (\text{insert } b\ A)\ (\text{insert } (f\ b)\ A')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**context** *wo-rel*  
**begin**

**lemma** *underS-induct*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge a. (\bigwedge a1. a1 \in \text{underS } a \implies P\ a1) \implies P\ a$   
**shows**  $P\ a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *suc-underS*:  
**assumes**  $B: B \subseteq \text{Field } r$  **and**  $A: \text{AboveS } B \neq \{\}$  **and**  $b: b \in B$   
**shows**  $b \in \text{underS } (\text{suc } B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *underS-supr*:  
**assumes**  $bA: b \in \text{underS } (\text{supr } A)$  **and**  $A: A \subseteq \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $\exists a \in A. b \in \text{underS } a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *underS-suc*:  
**assumes**  $bA: b \in \text{underS } (\text{suc } A)$  **and**  $A: A \subseteq \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $\exists a \in A. b \in \text{under } a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *wo-rel*) *in-underS-supr*:  
**assumes**  $j: j \in \text{underS } i$  **and**  $i: i \in A$  **and**  $A: A \subseteq \text{Field } r$  **and**  $AA: \text{Above } A \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $j \in \text{underS } (\text{supr } A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-on-Field*:  
**assumes**  $A: A \subseteq \text{Field } r$  **and**  $f: \bigwedge a\ b. \llbracket a \in A; b \in A; a \in \text{underS } b \rrbracket \implies f\ a \neq f\ b$   
**shows** *inj-on*  $f\ A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *in-notinI*:  
**assumes**  $(j,i) \notin r \vee j = i$  **and**  $i \in \text{Field } r$  **and**  $j \in \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $(i,j) \in r$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ofilter-init-seg-of*:  
**assumes** *ofilter*  $F$   
**shows** *Restr*  $r\ F$  *initial-segment-of*  $r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *underS-init-seg-of-Collect*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge j1\ j2. \llbracket j2 \in \text{underS } i; (j1, j2) \in r \rrbracket \implies R\ j1\ \text{initial-segment-of } R\ j2$

**shows**  $\{R\ j\ |\ j. j \in \text{underS } i\} \in \text{Chains init-seg-of}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (*in wo-rel*) *Field-init-seg-of-Collect*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge j1\ j2. \llbracket j2 \in \text{Field } r; (j1, j2) \in r \rrbracket \implies R\ j1\ \text{initial-segment-of } R\ j2$

**shows**  $\{R\ j\ |\ j. j \in \text{Field } r\} \in \text{Chains init-seg-of}$

*<proof>*

### 8.6.1 Successor and limit elements of an ordinal

**definition**  $\text{succ } i \equiv \text{suc } \{i\}$

**definition**  $\text{isSucc } i \equiv \exists j. \text{aboveS } j \neq \{\} \wedge i = \text{succ } j$

**definition**  $\text{zero} = \text{minim } (\text{Field } r)$

**definition**  $\text{isLim } i \equiv \neg \text{isSucc } i$

**lemma** *zero-smallest[simp]*:

**assumes**  $j \in \text{Field } r$  **shows**  $(\text{zero}, j) \in r$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *zero-in-Field*: **assumes**  $\text{Field } r \neq \{\}$  **shows**  $\text{zero} \in \text{Field } r$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *leq-zero-imp[simp]*:

$(x, \text{zero}) \in r \implies x = \text{zero}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *leq-zero[simp]*:

**assumes**  $\text{Field } r \neq \{\}$  **shows**  $(x, \text{zero}) \in r \longleftrightarrow x = \text{zero}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *under-zero[simp]*:

**assumes**  $\text{Field } r \neq \{\}$  **shows**  $\text{under } \text{zero} = \{\text{zero}\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *underS-zero[simp,intro]*:  $\text{underS } \text{zero} = \{\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *isSucc-succ*:  $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\} \implies \text{isSucc } (\text{succ } i)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *succ-in-diff*:

**assumes**  $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$  **shows**  $(i, \text{succ } i) \in r \wedge \text{succ } i \neq i$

*<proof>*

**lemmas**  $\text{succ-in}[simp] = \text{succ-in-diff}[THEN\ conjunct1]$   
**lemmas**  $\text{succ-diff}[simp] = \text{succ-in-diff}[THEN\ conjunct2]$

**lemma**  $\text{succ-in-Field}[simp]$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$  **shows**  $\text{succ } i \in \text{Field } r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{succ-not-in}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$  **shows**  $(\text{succ } i, i) \notin r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{not-isSucc-zero}$ :  $\neg \text{isSucc zero}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{isLim-zero}[simp]$ :  $\text{isLim zero}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{succ-smallest}$ :  
**assumes**  $(i, j) \in r$  **and**  $i \neq j$   
**shows**  $(\text{succ } i, j) \in r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{isLim-supr}$ :  
**assumes**  $f: i \in \text{Field } r$  **and**  $l: \text{isLim } i$   
**shows**  $i = \text{supr } (\text{underS } i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  $\text{pred } i \equiv \text{SOME } j. j \in \text{Field } r \wedge \text{aboveS } j \neq \{\} \wedge \text{succ } j = i$

**lemma**  $\text{pred-Field-succ}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{isSucc } i$  **shows**  $\text{pred } i \in \text{Field } r \wedge \text{aboveS } (\text{pred } i) \neq \{\} \wedge \text{succ } (\text{pred } i) = i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas**  $\text{pred-Field}[simp] = \text{pred-Field-succ}[THEN\ conjunct1]$   
**lemmas**  $\text{aboveS-pred}[simp] = \text{pred-Field-succ}[THEN\ conjunct2, THEN\ conjunct1]$   
**lemmas**  $\text{succ-pred}[simp] = \text{pred-Field-succ}[THEN\ conjunct2, THEN\ conjunct2]$

**lemma**  $\text{isSucc-pred-in}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{isSucc } i$  **shows**  $(\text{pred } i, i) \in r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{isSucc-pred-diff}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{isSucc } i$  **shows**  $\text{pred } i \neq i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{succ-inj}[simp]$ :

**assumes**  $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$  **and**  $\text{aboveS } j \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $\text{succ } i = \text{succ } j \longleftrightarrow i = j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{pred-succ[simp]}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{aboveS } j \neq \{\}$  **shows**  $\text{pred } (\text{succ } j) = j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{less-succ[simp]}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $(j, \text{succ } i) \in r \longleftrightarrow (j, i) \in r \vee j = \text{succ } i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{underS-succ[simp]}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $\text{underS } (\text{succ } i) = \text{under } i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{succ-mono}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{aboveS } j \neq \{\}$  **and**  $(i, j) \in r$   
**shows**  $(\text{succ } i, \text{succ } j) \in r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{under-succ[simp]}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $\text{under } (\text{succ } i) = \text{insert } (\text{succ } i) (\text{under } i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  $\text{mergeSL} :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow (('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$   
**where**  
 $\text{mergeSL } S \ L \ f \ i \equiv$   
 $\text{if } \text{isSucc } i \text{ then } S \ (\text{pred } i) \ (f \ (\text{pred } i))$   
 $\text{else } L \ f \ i$

## 8.6.2 Well-order recursion with (zero), succesor, and limit

**definition**  $\text{worecSL} :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow (('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$   
**where**  $\text{worecSL } S \ L \equiv \text{worec } (\text{mergeSL } S \ L)$

**definition**  $\text{adm-woL } L \equiv \forall f \ g \ i. \text{isLim } i \wedge (\forall j \in \text{underS } i. f \ j = g \ j) \longrightarrow L \ f \ i = L \ g \ i$

**lemma**  $\text{mergeSL}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{adm-woL } L$  **shows**  $\text{adm-wo } (\text{mergeSL } S \ L)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{worec-fixpoint1}$ :  $\text{adm-wo } H \implies \text{worec } H \ i = H \ (\text{worec } H) \ i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *worecSL-isSucc*:  
**assumes** *a*: adm-woL *L* **and** *i*: isSucc *i*  
**shows** *worecSL S L i* = *S (pred i) (worecSL S L (pred i))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *worecSL-succ*:  
**assumes** *a*: adm-woL *L* **and** *i*: aboveS *j*  $\neq \{\}$   
**shows** *worecSL S L (succ j)* = *S j (worecSL S L j)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *worecSL-isLim*:  
**assumes** *a*: adm-woL *L* **and** *i*: isLim *i*  
**shows** *worecSL S L i* = *L (worecSL S L) i*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *worecZSL* :: '*b*  $\Rightarrow$  ('*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*)  $\Rightarrow$  (('a  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*)  $\Rightarrow$  '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*)  $\Rightarrow$  '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*  
**where** *worecZSL Z S L*  $\equiv$  *worecSL S* ( $\lambda f a$ . if *a* = zero then *Z* else *L f a*)

**lemma** *worecZSL-zero*:  
**assumes** *a*: adm-woL *L*  
**shows** *worecZSL Z S L zero* = *Z*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *worecZSL-succ*:  
**assumes** *a*: adm-woL *L* **and** *i*: aboveS *j*  $\neq \{\}$   
**shows** *worecZSL Z S L (succ j)* = *S j (worecZSL Z S L j)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *worecZSL-isLim*:  
**assumes** *a*: adm-woL *L* **and** isLim *i* **and** *i*  $\neq$  zero  
**shows** *worecZSL Z S L i* = *L (worecZSL Z S L) i*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 8.6.3 Well-order succ-lim induction

**lemma** *ord-cases*:  
**obtains** *j* **where** *i* = succ *j* **and** aboveS *j*  $\neq \{\}$  | isLim *i*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *well-order-inductSL*[*case-names Suc Lim*]:  
**assumes** *SUCC*:  $\bigwedge i. \llbracket \text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}; P\ i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P\ (\text{succ } i)$  **and**  
*LIM*:  $\bigwedge i. \llbracket \text{isLim } i; \bigwedge j. j \in \text{underS } i \Longrightarrow P\ j \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P\ i$   
**shows** *P i*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *well-order-inductZSL*[*case-names Zero Suc Lim*]:  
**assumes** *ZERO*: *P zero*  
**and** *SUCC*:  $\bigwedge i. \llbracket \text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}; P\ i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P\ (\text{succ } i)$  **and**

*LIM*:  $\bigwedge i. \llbracket \text{isLim } i; i \neq \text{zero}; \bigwedge j. j \in \text{underS } i \implies P j \rrbracket \implies P i$   
**shows**  $P i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  $\text{isSuccOrd} \equiv \exists j \in \text{Field } r. \forall i \in \text{Field } r. (i, j) \in r$   
**definition**  $\text{isLimOrd} \equiv \neg \text{isSuccOrd}$

**lemma** *isLimOrd-succ*:  
**assumes**  $\text{isLimOrd}$  **and**  $i \in \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $\text{succ } i \in \text{Field } r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *isLimOrd-aboveS*:  
**assumes**  $l: \text{isLimOrd}$  **and**  $i: i \in \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *succ-aboveS-isLimOrd*:  
**assumes**  $\forall i \in \text{Field } r. \text{aboveS } i \neq \{\} \wedge \text{succ } i \in \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $\text{isLimOrd}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *isLim-iff*:  
**assumes**  $l: \text{isLim } i$  **and**  $j: j \in \text{underS } i$   
**shows**  $\exists k. k \in \text{underS } i \wedge j \in \text{underS } k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**abbreviation**  $\text{zero} \equiv \text{wo-rel.zero}$   
**abbreviation**  $\text{succ} \equiv \text{wo-rel.succ}$   
**abbreviation**  $\text{pred} \equiv \text{wo-rel.pred}$   
**abbreviation**  $\text{isSucc} \equiv \text{wo-rel.isSucc}$   
**abbreviation**  $\text{isLim} \equiv \text{wo-rel.isLim}$   
**abbreviation**  $\text{isLimOrd} \equiv \text{wo-rel.isLimOrd}$   
**abbreviation**  $\text{isSuccOrd} \equiv \text{wo-rel.isSuccOrd}$   
**abbreviation**  $\text{adm-woL} \equiv \text{wo-rel.adm-woL}$   
**abbreviation**  $\text{worecSL} \equiv \text{wo-rel.worecSL}$   
**abbreviation**  $\text{worecZSL} \equiv \text{wo-rel.worecZSL}$

## 8.7 Projections of wellorders

**definition**  $\text{oproj } r \text{ } s \text{ } f \equiv \text{Field } s \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{Field } r) \wedge \text{compat } r \text{ } s \text{ } f$

**lemma** *oproj-in*:  
**assumes**  $\text{oproj } r \text{ } s \text{ } f$  **and**  $(a, a') \in r$   
**shows**  $(f a, f a') \in s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$



**lemma** *oproj-Field*:  
**assumes**  $f$ : *oproj*  $r$   $s$   $f$  **and**  $a$ :  $a \in \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $f\ a \in \text{Field } s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oproj-Field2*:  
**assumes**  $f$ : *oproj*  $r$   $s$   $f$  **and**  $a$ :  $b \in \text{Field } s$   
**shows**  $\exists a \in \text{Field } r. f\ a = b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oproj-under*:  
**assumes**  $f$ : *oproj*  $r$   $s$   $f$  **and**  $a$ :  $a \in \text{under } r\ a'$   
**shows**  $f\ a \in \text{under } s\ (f\ a')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *embedI*:  
**assumes**  $r$ : *Well-order*  $r$  **and**  $s$ : *Well-order*  $s$   
**and**  $f$ :  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{Field } r \implies f\ a \in \text{Field } s \wedge f\ ' \text{underS } r\ a \subseteq \text{underS } s\ (f\ a)$   
**shows**  $\exists g. \text{embed } r\ s\ g$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *ordLeq-def2*:  
 $r \leq_o s \iff \text{Well-order } r \wedge \text{Well-order } s \wedge$   
 $(\exists f. \forall a \in \text{Field } r. f\ a \in \text{Field } s \wedge f\ ' \text{underS } r\ a \subseteq \text{underS } s\ (f\ a))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *iso-oproj*:  
**assumes**  $r$ : *Well-order*  $r$  **and**  $s$ : *Well-order*  $s$  **and**  $f$ : *iso*  $r$   $s$   $f$   
**shows** *oproj*  $r$   $s$   $f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *oproj-embed*:  
**assumes**  $r$ : *Well-order*  $r$  **and**  $s$ : *Well-order*  $s$  **and**  $f$ : *oproj*  $r$   $s$   $f$   
**shows**  $\exists g. \text{embed } s\ r\ g$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *oproj-ordLeq*:  
**assumes**  $r$ : *Well-order*  $r$  **and**  $s$ : *Well-order*  $s$  **and**  $f$ : *oproj*  $r$   $s$   $f$   
**shows**  $s \leq_o r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

## 9 Ordinal Arithmetic

**theory** *Ordinal-Arithmetic*  
**imports** *Wellorder-Constructions*

**begin**

**definition**  $osum :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'b\ rel \Rightarrow ('a + 'b)\ rel$  (**infixr**  $+o$  70)  
**where**

$r +o r' = map-prod\ Inl\ Inl\ 'r \cup map-prod\ Inr\ Inr\ 'r' \cup$   
 $\{(Inl\ a, Inr\ a') \mid a\ a' . a \in Field\ r \wedge a' \in Field\ r'\}$

**lemma** *Field-osum*:  $Field(r +o r') = Inl\ 'Field\ r \cup Inr\ 'Field\ r'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-Refl*:  $\llbracket Refl\ r; Refl\ r' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Refl\ (r +o r')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-trans*:

**assumes** *TRANS*:  $trans\ r$  **and** *TRANS'*:  $trans\ r'$

**shows**  $trans\ (r +o r')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-Preorder*:  $\llbracket Preorder\ r; Preorder\ r' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Preorder\ (r +o r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-antisym*:  $\llbracket antisym\ r; antisym\ r' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow antisym\ (r +o r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-Partial-order*:  $\llbracket Partial-order\ r; Partial-order\ r' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Partial-order\ (r +o r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-Total*:  $\llbracket Total\ r; Total\ r' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Total\ (r +o r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-Linear-order*:  $\llbracket Linear-order\ r; Linear-order\ r' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Linear-order\ (r +o r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-wf*:

**assumes** *WF*:  $wf\ r$  **and** *WF'*:  $wf\ r'$

**shows**  $wf\ (r +o r')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-minus-Id*:

**assumes**  $r$ :  $Total\ r \neg (r \leq Id)$  **and**  $r'$ :  $Total\ r' \neg (r' \leq Id)$

**shows**  $(r +o r') - Id \leq (r - Id) +o (r' - Id)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-minus-Id1*:

$r \leq Id \Longrightarrow (r +o r') - Id \leq (Inl\ 'Field\ r \times Inr\ 'Field\ r') \cup (map-prod\ Inr\ Inr\ ' (r' - Id))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-minus-Id2*:

$r' \leq Id \implies (r +_o r') - Id \leq (\text{map-prod } Inl \ Inl \ ' (r - Id)) \cup (Inl \ ' Field \ r \times Inr \ ' Field \ r')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-wf-Id*:

**assumes** *TOT*: Total  $r$  **and** *TOT'*: Total  $r'$  **and** *WF*:  $wf(r - Id)$  **and** *WF'*:  $wf(r' - Id)$

**shows**  $wf((r +_o r') - Id)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-Well-order*:

**assumes** *WELL*: Well-order  $r$  **and** *WELL'*: Well-order  $r'$

**shows** Well-order  $(r +_o r')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-embedL*:

**assumes** *WELL*: Well-order  $r$  **and** *WELL'*: Well-order  $r'$

**shows**  $\text{embed } r \ (r +_o r') \ Inl$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *osum-ordLeqL*:

**assumes** *WELL*: Well-order  $r$  **and** *WELL'*: Well-order  $r'$

**shows**  $r \leq_o r +_o r'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *dir-image-alt*:  $\text{dir-image } r \ f = \text{map-prod } f \ f \ ' r$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-prod-ordIso*:  $\llbracket \text{Well-order } r; \text{inj-on } f \ (Field \ r) \rrbracket \implies \text{map-prod } f \ f \ ' r =_o r$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *oprod* ::  $'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'b \ rel \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \ rel$  (**infixr**  $*_o$  80)

**where**  $r *_o r' = \{((x1, y1), (x2, y2)).$

$((y1, y2) \in r' - Id \wedge x1 \in Field \ r \wedge x2 \in Field \ r) \vee$

$((y1, y2) \in Restr \ Id \ (Field \ r') \wedge (x1, x2) \in r)\}$

**lemma** *Field-oprod*:  $Field \ (r *_o r') = Field \ r \times Field \ r'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-Refl*:  $\llbracket Refl \ r; Refl \ r' \rrbracket \implies Refl \ (r *_o r')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-trans*:

**assumes** *trans*  $r$  *trans*  $r'$  *antisym*  $r$  *antisym*  $r'$

**shows** *trans*  $(r *_o r')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-Preorder*:  $\llbracket Preorder\ r; Preorder\ r'; antisym\ r; antisym\ r' \rrbracket \implies Preorder\ (r *o\ r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-antisym*:  $\llbracket antisym\ r; antisym\ r' \rrbracket \implies antisym\ (r *o\ r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-Partial-order*:  $\llbracket Partial-order\ r; Partial-order\ r' \rrbracket \implies Partial-order\ (r *o\ r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-Total*:  $\llbracket Total\ r; Total\ r' \rrbracket \implies Total\ (r *o\ r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-Linear-order*:  $\llbracket Linear-order\ r; Linear-order\ r' \rrbracket \implies Linear-order\ (r *o\ r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-wf*:  
**assumes**  $WF: wf\ r$  **and**  $WF': wf\ r'$   
**shows**  $wf\ (r *o\ r')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-minus-Id*:  
**assumes**  $r: Total\ r \neg (r \leq Id)$  **and**  $r': Total\ r' \neg (r' \leq Id)$   
**shows**  $(r *o\ r') - Id \leq (r - Id) *o\ (r' - Id)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-minus-Id1*:  
 $r \leq Id \implies r *o\ r' - Id \leq \{((x,y1), (x,y2)). x \in Field\ r \wedge (y1, y2) \in (r' - Id)\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *wf-extend-oprod1*:  
**assumes**  $wf\ r$   
**shows**  $wf\ \{((x,y1), (x,y2)) . x \in A \wedge (y1, y2) \in r\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-minus-Id2*:  
 $r' \leq Id \implies r *o\ r' - Id \leq \{((x1,y), (x2,y)). (x1, x2) \in (r - Id) \wedge y \in Field\ r'\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *wf-extend-oprod2*:  
**assumes**  $wf\ r$   
**shows**  $wf\ \{((x1,y), (x2,y)) . (x1, x2) \in r \wedge y \in A\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-wf-Id*:

**assumes** *TOT*: *Total*  $r$  **and** *TOT'*: *Total*  $r'$  **and** *WF*:  $\text{wf}(r - \text{Id})$  **and** *WF'*:  
 $\text{wf}(r' - \text{Id})$   
**shows**  $\text{wf}((r * o r') - \text{Id})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-Well-order*:

**assumes** *WELL*: *Well-order*  $r$  **and** *WELL'*: *Well-order*  $r'$   
**shows** *Well-order*  $(r * o r')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-embed*:

**assumes** *WELL*: *Well-order*  $r$  **and** *WELL'*: *Well-order*  $r'$  **and**  $r' \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $\text{embed } r (r * o r') (\lambda x. (x, \text{minim } r' (\text{Field } r')))$  (**is**  $\text{embed} - - ?f$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *oprod-ordLeq*:  $\llbracket \text{Well-order } r; \text{Well-order } r'; r' \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies r \leq o r * o r'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *support*  $z A f = \{x \in A. f x \neq z\}$

**lemma** *support-Un[simp]*:  $\text{support } z (A \cup B) f = \text{support } z A f \cup \text{support } z B f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *support-upd[simp]*:  $\text{support } z A (f(x := z)) = \text{support } z A f - \{x\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *support-upd-subset[simp]*:  $\text{support } z A (f(x := y)) \subseteq \text{support } z A f \cup \{x\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fun-unequal-in-support*:

**assumes**  $f \neq g f \in \text{Func } A B g \in \text{Func } A C$   
**shows**  $(\text{support } z A f \cup \text{support } z A g) \cap \{a. f a \neq g a\} \neq \{\}$  (**is**  $?L \cap ?R \neq \{\}$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *fin-support where*

$\text{fin-support } z A = \{f. \text{finite } (\text{support } z A f)\}$

**lemma** *finite-support*:  $f \in \text{fin-support } z A \implies \text{finite } (\text{support } z A f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fin-support-Field-osum*:

$f \in \text{fin-support } z (\text{Inl } ' A \cup \text{Inr } ' B) \longleftrightarrow$   
 $(f o \text{Inl}) \in \text{fin-support } z A \wedge (f o \text{Inr}) \in \text{fin-support } z B$  (**is**  $?L \longleftrightarrow ?R1 \wedge ?R2$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Func-upd*:  $\llbracket f \in \text{Func } A B; x \in A; y \in B \rrbracket \implies f(x := y) \in \text{Func } A B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**context** *wo-rel*  
**begin**

**definition** *isMaxim* :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
**where** *isMaxim* A b  $\equiv$   $b \in A \wedge (\forall a \in A. (a, b) \in r)$

**definition** *maxim* :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a  
**where** *maxim* A  $\equiv$  THE b. *isMaxim* A b

**lemma** *isMaxim-unique[intro]*:  $\llbracket \text{isMaxim } A \ x; \text{isMaxim } A \ y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x = y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *maxim-isMaxim*:  $\llbracket \text{finite } A; A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{isMaxim } A \ (\text{maxim } A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *maxim-in*:  $\llbracket \text{finite } A; A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{maxim } A \in A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *maxim-greatest*:  $\llbracket \text{finite } A; x \in A; A \subseteq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (x, \text{maxim } A) \in r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *isMaxim-zero*:  $\text{isMaxim } A \ \text{zero} \Longrightarrow A = \{\text{zero}\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *maxim-insert*:  
**assumes** *finite* A  $A \neq \{\}$   $A \subseteq \text{Field } r$   $x \in \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $\text{maxim } (\text{insert } x \ A) = \text{max2 } x \ (\text{maxim } A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *maxim-Un*:  
**assumes** *finite* A  $A \neq \{\}$   $A \subseteq \text{Field } r$  *finite* B  $B \neq \{\}$   $B \subseteq \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $\text{maxim } (A \cup B) = \text{max2 } (\text{maxim } A) \ (\text{maxim } B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *maxim-insert-zero*:  
**assumes** *finite* A  $A \neq \{\}$   $A \subseteq \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $\text{maxim } (\text{insert } \text{zero } A) = \text{maxim } A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *maxim-equality*:  $\text{isMaxim } A \ x \Longrightarrow \text{maxim } A = x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *maxim-singleton*:  
 $x \in \text{Field } r \Longrightarrow \text{maxim } \{x\} = x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *maxim-Int*:  $\llbracket \text{finite } A; A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq \text{Field } r; \text{maxim } A \in B \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{maxim } (A \cap B) = \text{maxim } A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *maxim-mono*:  $\llbracket X \subseteq Y; \text{finite } Y; X \neq \{\}; Y \subseteq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies (\text{maxim } X, \text{maxim } Y) \in r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *max-fun-diff*  $f \ g \equiv \text{maxim } (\{a \in \text{Field } r. f \ a \neq g \ a\})$

**lemma** *max-fun-diff-commute*:  $\text{max-fun-diff } f \ g = \text{max-fun-diff } g \ f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-under*:  $x \in \text{Field } r \implies \text{zero} \in \text{under } x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**definition** *FinFunc*  $r \ s = \text{Func } (\text{Field } s) (\text{Field } r) \cap \text{fin-support } (\text{zero } r) (\text{Field } s)$

**lemma** *FinFuncD*:  $\llbracket f \in \text{FinFunc } r \ s; x \in \text{Field } s \rrbracket \implies f \ x \in \text{Field } r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**locale** *wo-rel2* =  
  **fixes**  $r \ s$   
  **assumes**  $r\text{WELL}$ : *Well-order*  $r$   
  **and**  $s\text{WELL}$ : *Well-order*  $s$   
**begin**

**interpretation**  $r$ : *wo-rel*  $r$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**interpretation**  $s$ : *wo-rel*  $s$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**abbreviation** *SUPP*  $\equiv \text{support } r.\text{zero } (\text{Field } s)$

**abbreviation** *FINFUNC*  $\equiv \text{FinFunc } r \ s$

**lemmas** *FINFUNC* $D = \text{FinFuncD}[of \ - \ r \ s]$

**lemma** *fun-diff-alt*:  $\{a \in \text{Field } s. f \ a \neq g \ a\} = (\text{SUPP } f \cup \text{SUPP } g) \cap \{a. f \ a \neq g \ a\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *max-fun-diff-alt*:  
   $s.\text{max-fun-diff } f \ g = s.\text{maxim } ((\text{SUPP } f \cup \text{SUPP } g) \cap \{a. f \ a \neq g \ a\})$   
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *isMaxim-max-fun-diff*:  $\llbracket f \neq g; f \in \text{FINFUNC}; g \in \text{FINFUNC} \rrbracket \implies$   
   $s.\text{isMaxim } \{a \in \text{Field } s. f \ a \neq g \ a\} (s.\text{max-fun-diff } f \ g)$   
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *max-fun-diff-in*:  $\llbracket f \neq g; f \in \text{FINFUNC}; g \in \text{FINFUNC} \rrbracket \implies$   
   $s.\text{max-fun-diff } f \ g \in \{a \in \text{Field } s. f \ a \neq g \ a\}$   
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *max-fun-diff-max*:  $\llbracket f \neq g; f \in \text{FINFUNC}; g \in \text{FINFUNC}; x \in \{a \in \text{Field}$   
 $s. f a \neq g a\} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(x, s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g) \in s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *max-fun-diff*:  
 $\llbracket f \neq g; f \in \text{FINFUNC}; g \in \text{FINFUNC} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\exists a b. a \neq b \wedge a \in \text{Field } r \wedge b \in \text{Field } r \wedge$   
 $f (s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g) = a \wedge g (s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g) = b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *max-fun-diff-le-eq*:  
 $\llbracket (s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g, x) \in s; f \neq g; f \in \text{FINFUNC}; g \in \text{FINFUNC}; x \neq s.\text{max-fun-diff}$   
 $f g \rrbracket \implies$   
 $f x = g x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *max-fun-diff-max2*:  
**assumes** *ineq*:  $s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g = s.\text{max-fun-diff } g h \longrightarrow$   
 $f (s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g) \neq h (s.\text{max-fun-diff } g h)$  **and**  
 $fg: f \neq g$  **and**  $gh: g \neq h$  **and**  $fh: f \neq h$  **and**  
 $f: f \in \text{FINFUNC}$  **and**  $g: g \in \text{FINFUNC}$  **and**  $h: h \in \text{FINFUNC}$   
**shows**  $s.\text{max-fun-diff } f h = s.\text{max2 } (s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g) (s.\text{max-fun-diff } g h)$   
 $(\text{is } ?fh = s.\text{max2 } ?fg ?gh)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *oexp* **where**  
 $oexp = \{(f, g) . f \in \text{FINFUNC} \wedge g \in \text{FINFUNC} \wedge$   
 $((\text{let } m = s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g \text{ in } (f m, g m) \in r) \vee f = g)\}$

**lemma** *Field-oexp*:  $\text{Field } oexp = \text{FINFUNC}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oexp-Refl*:  $\text{Refl } oexp$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oexp-trans*:  $\text{trans } oexp$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oexp-Preorder*:  $\text{Preorder } oexp$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oexp-antisym*:  $\text{antisym } oexp$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oexp-Partial-order*:  $\text{Partial-order } oexp$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$



**lemma** *oexp-Total: Total oexp*  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *oexp-Linear-order: Linear-order oexp*  
 ⟨proof⟩

**definition** *const* = ( $\lambda x.$  if  $x \in \text{Field } s$  then  $r.\text{zero}$  else *undefined*)

**lemma** *const-in[simp]:*  $x \in \text{Field } s \implies \text{const } x = r.\text{zero}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *const-notin[simp]:*  $x \notin \text{Field } s \implies \text{const } x = \text{undefined}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *const-Int-Field[simp]:*  $\text{Field } s \cap - \{x. \text{const } x = r.\text{zero}\} = \{\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *const-FINFUNC[simp]:*  $\text{Field } r \neq \{\} \implies \text{const} \in \text{FINFUNC}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *const-least:*  
 assumes  $\text{Field } r \neq \{\}$   $f \in \text{FINFUNC}$   
 shows  $(\text{const}, f) \in \text{oexp}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *support-not-const:*  
 assumes  $F \subseteq \text{FINFUNC}$  and  $\text{const} \notin F$   
 shows  $\forall f \in F. \text{finite } (\text{SUPP } f) \wedge \text{SUPP } f \neq \{\} \wedge \text{SUPP } f \subseteq \text{Field } s$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *maxim-isMaxim-support:*  
 assumes  $f: F \subseteq \text{FINFUNC}$  and  $\text{const} \notin F$   
 shows  $\forall f \in F. s.\text{isMaxim } (\text{SUPP } f) (s.\text{maxim } (\text{SUPP } f))$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *oexp-empty2:*  $\text{Field } s = \{\} \implies \text{oexp} = \{(\lambda x. \text{undefined}, \lambda x. \text{undefined})\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *oexp-empty:*  $\llbracket \text{Field } r = \{\}; \text{Field } s \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \text{oexp} = \{\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *fun-upd-FINFUNC:*  $\llbracket f \in \text{FINFUNC}; x \in \text{Field } s; y \in \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies f(x := y) \in \text{FINFUNC}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *fun-upd-same-oexp:*  
 assumes  $(f, g) \in \text{oexp}$   $f x = g x$   $x \in \text{Field } s$   $y \in \text{Field } r$   
 shows  $(f(x := y), g(x := y)) \in \text{oexp}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *fun-upd-smaller-oevp*:  
**assumes**  $f \in \text{FINFUNC}$   $x \in \text{Field}$   $s \ y \in \text{Field}$   $r \ (y, f \ x) \in r$   
**shows**  $(f(x := y), f) \in \text{oevp}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oevp-wf-Id*:  $\text{wf} \ (\text{oevp} - \text{Id})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oevp-Well-order*:  $\text{Well-order} \ \text{oevp}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**interpretation** *o*:  $\text{wo-rel} \ \text{oevp} \ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-oevp*:  $\text{Field} \ r \neq \{\} \implies o.\text{zero} = \text{const}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**notation**  $\text{wo-rel2}.\text{oevp}$  (**infixl**  $\hat{\circ}$  90)

**lemmas**  $\text{oevp-def} = \text{wo-rel2}.\text{oevp-def}[\text{unfolded } \text{wo-rel2-def}, \text{ OF conjI}]$

**lemmas**  $\text{oevp-Well-order} = \text{wo-rel2}.\text{oevp-Well-order}[\text{unfolded } \text{wo-rel2-def}, \text{ OF conjI}]$

**lemmas**  $\text{Field-oevp} = \text{wo-rel2}.\text{Field-oevp}[\text{unfolded } \text{wo-rel2-def}, \text{ OF conjI}]$

**definition**  $\text{ozero} = \{\}$

**lemma** *ozero-Well-order[simp]*:  $\text{Well-order} \ \text{ozero}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ozero-ordIso[simp]*:  $\text{ozero} =_o \text{ozero}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Field-ozero[simp]*:  $\text{Field} \ \text{ozero} = \{\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *iso-ozero-empty[simp]*:  $r =_o \text{ozero} = (r = \{\})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ozero-ordLeq*:  
**assumes**  $\text{Well-order} \ r$  **shows**  $\text{ozero} \leq_o r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  $\text{oone} = \{(((), ()))\}$

**lemma** *oone-Well-order[simp]*:  $\text{Well-order} \ \text{oone}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Field-oone[simp]*:  $\text{Field} \ \text{oone} = \{()\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oone-ordIso*:  $oone =_o \{(x, x)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-ordLeqR*:  $Well\text{-}order\ r \implies Well\text{-}order\ s \implies s \leq_o r +_o s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-congL*:

**assumes**  $r =_o s$  **and**  $t$ :  $Well\text{-}order\ t$

**shows**  $r +_o t =_o s +_o t$  (**is**  $?L =_o ?R$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-congR*:

**assumes**  $r =_o s$  **and**  $t$ :  $Well\text{-}order\ t$

**shows**  $t +_o r =_o t +_o s$  (**is**  $?L =_o ?R$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-cong*:

**assumes**  $t =_o u$  **and**  $r =_o s$

**shows**  $t +_o r =_o u +_o s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Well-order-empty[simp]*:  $Well\text{-}order\ \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *well-order-on-singleton[simp]*:  $well\text{-}order\text{-}on\ \{x\}\ \{(x, x)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oexp-empty[simp]*:

**assumes**  $Well\text{-}order\ r$

**shows**  $r \hat{=}_o \{\} = \{(\lambda x. undefined, \lambda x. undefined)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oexp-empty2[simp]*:

**assumes**  $Well\text{-}order\ r\ r \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $\{\} \hat{=}_o r = \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-zero[simp]*:  $\{\} *_o r = \{\} \ r *_o \{\} = \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-congL*:

**assumes**  $r =_o s$  **and**  $t$ :  $Well\text{-}order\ t$

**shows**  $r *_o t =_o s *_o t$  (**is**  $?L =_o ?R$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-congR*:

**assumes**  $r =_o s$  **and**  $t$ :  $Well\text{-}order\ t$

**shows**  $t *_o r =_o t *_o s$  (**is**  $?L =_o ?R$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-cong*:

**assumes**  $t =_o u$  **and**  $r =_o s$

**shows**  $t *_o r =_o u *_o s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Field-singleton[simp]*:  $Field \{(z,z)\} = \{z\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-singleton[simp]*:  $zero \{(z,z)\} = z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *FinFunc-singleton*:  $FinFunc \{(z,z)\} s = \{\lambda x. \text{if } x \in Field\ s \text{ then } z \text{ else } undefined\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oone-ordIso-oeq*:

**assumes**  $r =_o oone$  **and**  $s$ : *Well-order*  $s$

**shows**  $r \hat{=} o s =_o oone$  (**is**  $?L =_o ?R$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**context**

**fixes**  $r\ s\ t$

**assumes**  $r$ : *Well-order*  $r$

**assumes**  $s$ : *Well-order*  $s$

**assumes**  $t$ : *Well-order*  $t$

**begin**

**lemma** *osum-zeroL*:  $zero +_o r =_o r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-zeroR*:  $r +_o zero =_o r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-assoc*:  $(r +_o s) +_o t =_o r +_o s +_o t$  (**is**  $?L =_o ?R$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-monoR*:

**assumes**  $s <_o t$

**shows**  $r +_o s <_o r +_o t$  (**is**  $?L <_o ?R$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *osum-monoL*:

**assumes**  $r \leq_o s$

**shows**  $r +_o t \leq_o s +_o t$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-ozeroL*:  $ozero * o r = o \ ozero$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-ozeroR*:  $r * o \ ozero = o \ ozero$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-ooneR*:  $r * o \ oone = o \ r$  (**is**  $?L = o \ ?R$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-ooneL*:  $oone * o r = o \ r$  (**is**  $?L = o \ ?R$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-monoR*:  
**assumes**  $ozero < o \ r \ s < o \ t$   
**shows**  $r * o \ s < o \ r * o \ t$  (**is**  $?L < o \ ?R$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-monoL*:  
**assumes**  $r \leq o \ s$   
**shows**  $r * o \ t \leq o \ s * o \ t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-assoc*:  $(r * o \ s) * o \ t = o \ r * o \ s * o \ t$  (**is**  $?L = o \ ?R$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oprod-osum*:  $r * o \ (s + o \ t) = o \ r * o \ s + o \ r * o \ t$  (**is**  $?L = o \ ?R$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ozero-oexp*:  $\neg (s = o \ ozero) \implies ozero \ \hat{o} \ s = o \ ozero$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oone-oexp*:  $oone \ \hat{o} \ s = o \ oone$  (**is**  $?L = o \ ?R$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oexp-monoR*:  
**assumes**  $oone < o \ r \ s < o \ t$   
**shows**  $r \ \hat{o} \ s < o \ r \ \hat{o} \ t$  (**is**  $?L < o \ ?R$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oexp-monoL*:  
**assumes**  $r \leq o \ s$   
**shows**  $r \ \hat{o} \ t \leq o \ s \ \hat{o} \ t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordLeq-oexp2*:  
**assumes**  $oone < o \ r$   
**shows**  $s \leq o \ r \ \hat{o} \ s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *FinFunc-osum*:

$fg \in \text{FinFunc } r \ (s + o \ t) = (fg \ o \ \text{Inl} \in \text{FinFunc } r \ s \wedge fg \ o \ \text{Inr} \in \text{FinFunc } r \ t)$   
 $(\text{is } ?L = (?R1 \wedge ?R2))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *max-fun-diff-eq-Inl*:

**assumes**  $\text{wo-rel.max-fun-diff } (s + o \ t) \ (\text{case-sum } f1 \ g1) \ (\text{case-sum } f2 \ g2) = \text{Inl } x$   
 $\text{case-sum } f1 \ g1 \neq \text{case-sum } f2 \ g2$   
 $\text{case-sum } f1 \ g1 \in \text{FinFunc } r \ (s + o \ t) \ \text{case-sum } f2 \ g2 \in \text{FinFunc } r \ (s + o \ t)$   
**shows**  $\text{wo-rel.max-fun-diff } s \ f1 \ f2 = x \ (\text{is } ?P) \ g1 = g2 \ (\text{is } ?Q)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *max-fun-diff-eq-Inr*:

**assumes**  $\text{wo-rel.max-fun-diff } (s + o \ t) \ (\text{case-sum } f1 \ g1) \ (\text{case-sum } f2 \ g2) = \text{Inr } x$   
 $\text{case-sum } f1 \ g1 \neq \text{case-sum } f2 \ g2$   
 $\text{case-sum } f1 \ g1 \in \text{FinFunc } r \ (s + o \ t) \ \text{case-sum } f2 \ g2 \in \text{FinFunc } r \ (s + o \ t)$   
**shows**  $\text{wo-rel.max-fun-diff } t \ g1 \ g2 = x \ (\text{is } ?P) \ g1 \neq g2 \ (\text{is } ?Q)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oexp-osum*:  $r \ \hat{o} \ (s + o \ t) = o \ (r \ \hat{o} \ s) \ *o \ (r \ \hat{o} \ t) \ (\text{is } ?R = o \ ?L)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *rev-curr*  $f \ b = (\text{if } b \in \text{Field } t \text{ then } \lambda a. f \ (a, \ b) \text{ else undefined})$

**lemma** *rev-curr-FinFunc*:

**assumes**  $\text{Field: Field } r \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $\text{rev-curr } ' \ (\text{FinFunc } r \ (s *o \ t)) = \text{FinFunc } (r \ \hat{o} \ s) \ t$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rev-curr-app-FinFunc[elim!]*:

$\llbracket f \in \text{FinFunc } r \ (s *o \ t); z \in \text{Field } t \rrbracket \implies \text{rev-curr } f \ z \in \text{FinFunc } r \ s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *max-fun-diff-oprod*:

**assumes**  $\text{Field: Field } r \neq \{\}$  **and**  $f \neq g \ f \in \text{FinFunc } r \ (s *o \ t) \ g \in \text{FinFunc } r \ (s *o \ t)$   
**defines**  $m \equiv \text{wo-rel.max-fun-diff } t \ (\text{rev-curr } f) \ (\text{rev-curr } g)$   
**shows**  $\text{wo-rel.max-fun-diff } (s *o \ t) \ f \ g =$   
 $(\text{wo-rel.max-fun-diff } s \ (\text{rev-curr } f \ m) \ (\text{rev-curr } g \ m), \ m)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oexp-oexp*:  $(r \ \hat{o} \ s) \ \hat{o} \ t = o \ r \ \hat{o} \ (s *o \ t) \ (\text{is } ?R = o \ ?L)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**end**

## 10 Cardinal-Order Relations

```
theory Cardinal-Order-Relation  
imports Wellorder-Constructions  
begin
```

```
declare  
  card-order-on-well-order-on[simp]  
  card-of-card-order-on[simp]  
  card-of-well-order-on[simp]  
  Field-card-of[simp]  
  card-of-Card-order[simp]  
  card-of-Well-order[simp]  
  card-of-least[simp]  
  card-of-unique[simp]  
  card-of-mono1[simp]  
  card-of-mono2[simp]  
  card-of-cong[simp]  
  card-of-Field-ordLess[simp]  
  card-of-Field-ordIso[simp]  
  card-of-underS[simp]  
  ordLess-Field[simp]  
  card-of-empty[simp]  
  card-of-empty1[simp]  
  card-of-image[simp]  
  card-of-singl-ordLeq[simp]  
  Card-order-singl-ordLeq[simp]  
  card-of-Pow[simp]  
  Card-order-Pow[simp]  
  card-of-Plus1[simp]  
  Card-order-Plus1[simp]  
  card-of-Plus2[simp]  
  Card-order-Plus2[simp]  
  card-of-Plus-mono1[simp]  
  card-of-Plus-mono2[simp]  
  card-of-Plus-mono[simp]  
  card-of-Plus-cong2[simp]  
  card-of-Plus-cong[simp]  
  card-of-Un-Plus-ordLeq[simp]  
  card-of-Times1[simp]  
  card-of-Times2[simp]  
  card-of-Times3[simp]  
  card-of-Times-mono1[simp]  
  card-of-Times-mono2[simp]  
  card-of-ordIso-finite[simp]  
  card-of-Times-same-infinite[simp]  
  card-of-Times-infinite-simps[simp]  
  card-of-Plus-infinite1[simp]  
  card-of-Plus-infinite2[simp]
```

*card-of-Plus-ordLess-infinite*[simp]  
*card-of-Plus-ordLess-infinite-Field*[simp]  
*infinite-cartesian-product*[simp]  
*cardSuc-Card-order*[simp]  
*cardSuc-greater*[simp]  
*cardSuc-ordLeq*[simp]  
*cardSuc-ordLeq-ordLess*[simp]  
*cardSuc-mono-ordLeq*[simp]  
*cardSuc-invar-ordIso*[simp]  
*card-of-cardSuc-finite*[simp]  
*cardSuc-finite*[simp]  
*card-of-Plus-ordLeq-infinite-Field*[simp]  
*curr-in*[intro, simp]

## 10.1 Cardinal of a set

**lemma** *card-of-inj-rel*: **assumes** *INJ*:  $\bigwedge x y y'. \llbracket (x, y) \in R; (x, y') \in R \rrbracket \implies y = y'$   
**shows**  $|\{y. \exists x. (x, y) \in R\}| \leq_o |\{x. \exists y. (x, y) \in R\}|$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *card-of-unique2*:  $\llbracket \text{card-order-on } B \text{ } r; \text{bij-betw } f \text{ } A \text{ } B \rrbracket \implies r =_o |A|$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *internalize-card-of-ordLess*:  
 $(|A| <_o r) = (\exists B < \text{Field } r. |A| =_o |B| \wedge |B| <_o r)$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *internalize-card-of-ordLess2*:  
 $(|A| <_o |C|) = (\exists B < C. |A| =_o |B| \wedge |B| <_o |C|)$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *Card-order-omax*:  
**assumes** *finite R* **and**  $R \neq \{\}$  **and**  $\forall r \in R. \text{Card-order } r$   
**shows** *Card-order (omax R)*  
 <proof>

**lemma** *Card-order-omax2*:  
**assumes** *finite I* **and**  $I \neq \{\}$   
**shows** *Card-order (omax  $\{|A \text{ } i| \mid i. i \in I\})$*

## 10.2 Cardinals versus set operations on arbitrary sets

**lemma** *card-of-set-type*[simp]:  $|UNIV::'a \text{ set}| <_o |UNIV::'a \text{ set set}|$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *card-of-Un1*[simp]:  
**shows**  $|A| \leq_o |A \cup B|$   
 <proof>



**lemma** *card-of-diff[simp]*:

**shows**  $|A - B| \leq_o |A|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-ordLeq-strict*:

**assumes**  $A \leq B$  **and**  $|A| <_o |B|$

**shows**  $A < B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *subset-ordLeq-diff*:

**assumes**  $A \leq B$  **and**  $|A| <_o |B|$

**shows**  $B - A \neq \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-empty4*:

$|\{\}::'b\ set| <_o |A::'a\ set| = (A \neq \{\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-empty5*:

$|A| <_o |B| \implies B \neq \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Well-order-card-of-empty*:

$Well\text{-}order\ r \implies |\{\}| \leq_o r\ \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-UNIV[simp]*:

$|A::'a\ set| \leq_o |UNIV::'a\ set|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-UNIV2[simp]*:

$Card\text{-}order\ r \implies (r::'a\ rel) \leq_o |UNIV::'a\ set|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Pow-mono[simp]*:

**assumes**  $|A| \leq_o |B|$

**shows**  $|Pow\ A| \leq_o |Pow\ B|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordIso-Pow-mono[simp]*:

**assumes**  $r \leq_o r'$

**shows**  $|Pow(Field\ r)| \leq_o |Pow(Field\ r')|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Pow-cong[simp]*:

**assumes**  $|A| =_o |B|$

**shows**  $|Pow\ A| =_o |Pow\ B|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordIso-Pow-cong[simp]*:

**assumes**  $r =_o r'$   
**shows**  $|Pow(Field\ r)| =_o |Pow(Field\ r')|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *Card-order-Plus-empty1*:  
 $Card\text{-}order\ r \implies r =_o |(Field\ r) <+> \{\}|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *Card-order-Plus-empty2*:  
 $Card\text{-}order\ r \implies r =_o |\{\} <+> (Field\ r)|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-order-Un1*:  
**shows**  $Card\text{-}order\ r \implies |Field\ r| \leq_o |(Field\ r) \cup B|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Un2[simp]*:  
**shows**  $|A| \leq_o |B \cup A|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-order-Un2*:  
**shows**  $Card\text{-}order\ r \implies |Field\ r| \leq_o |A \cup (Field\ r)|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-Plus-bij-betw*:  
**assumes**  $A\ Int\ B = \{\}$   
**shows**  $\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f\ (A \cup B)\ (A <+> B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Un-Plus-ordIso*:  
**assumes**  $A\ Int\ B = \{\}$   
**shows**  $|A \cup B| =_o |A <+> B|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Un-Plus-ordIso1*:  
 $|A \cup B| =_o |A <+> (B - A)|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Un-Plus-ordIso2*:  
 $|A \cup B| =_o |(A - B) <+> B|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Times-singl1*:  $|A| =_o |A \times \{b\}|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *Card-order-Times-singl1*:  
 $Card\text{-}order\ r \implies r =_o |(Field\ r) \times \{b\}|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Times-singl2*:  $|A| =_o |\{b\} \times A|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *Card-order-Times-singl2*:  
*Card-order*  $r \implies r =_o |\{a\} \times (Field\ r)|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Times-assoc*:  $|(A \times B) \times C| =_o |A \times B \times C|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *Card-order-Times3*:  
*Card-order*  $r \implies |Field\ r| \leq_o |(Field\ r) \times (Field\ r)|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Times-cong1*[simp]:  
**assumes**  $|A| =_o |B|$   
**shows**  $|A \times C| =_o |B \times C|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Times-cong2*[simp]:  
**assumes**  $|A| =_o |B|$   
**shows**  $|C \times A| =_o |C \times B|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Times-mono*[simp]:  
**assumes**  $|A| \leq_o |B|$  **and**  $|C| \leq_o |D|$   
**shows**  $|A \times C| \leq_o |B \times D|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *ordLeq-Times-mono*:  
**assumes**  $r \leq_o r'$  **and**  $p \leq_o p'$   
**shows**  $|(Field\ r) \times (Field\ p)| \leq_o |(Field\ r') \times (Field\ p')|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *ordIso-Times-cong1*[simp]:  
**assumes**  $r =_o r'$   
**shows**  $|(Field\ r) \times C| =_o |(Field\ r') \times C|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *ordIso-Times-cong2*:  
**assumes**  $r =_o r'$   
**shows**  $|A \times (Field\ r)| =_o |A \times (Field\ r')|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Times-cong*[simp]:  
**assumes**  $|A| =_o |B|$  **and**  $|C| =_o |D|$   
**shows**  $|A \times C| =_o |B \times D|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *ordIso-Times-cong*:  
**assumes**  $r =_o r'$  **and**  $p =_o p'$   
**shows**  $|(Field\ r) \times (Field\ p)| =_o |(Field\ r') \times (Field\ p')|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Sigma-mono2*:  
**assumes** *inj-on*  $f\ (I::'i\ set)$  **and**  $f\ 'I \leq (J::'j\ set)$   
**shows**  $|SIGMA\ i : I. (A::'j \Rightarrow 'a\ set)\ (f\ i)| \leq_o |SIGMA\ j : J. A\ j|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Sigma-mono*:  
**assumes** *INJ*: *inj-on*  $f\ I$  **and** *IM*:  $f\ 'I \leq J$  **and**  
 $LEQ$ :  $\forall j \in J. |A\ j| \leq_o |B\ j|$   
**shows**  $|SIGMA\ i : I. A\ (f\ i)| \leq_o |SIGMA\ j : J. B\ j|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordLeq-Sigma-mono1*:  
**assumes**  $\forall i \in I. p\ i \leq_o r\ i$   
**shows**  $|SIGMA\ i : I. Field(p\ i)| \leq_o |SIGMA\ i : I. Field(r\ i)|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordLeq-Sigma-mono*:  
**assumes** *inj-on*  $f\ I$  **and**  $f\ 'I \leq J$  **and**  
 $\forall j \in J. p\ j \leq_o r\ j$   
**shows**  $|SIGMA\ i : I. Field(p(f\ i))| \leq_o |SIGMA\ j : J. Field(r\ j)|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Sigma-cong1*:  
**assumes**  $\forall i \in I. |A\ i| =_o |B\ i|$   
**shows**  $|SIGMA\ i : I. A\ i| =_o |SIGMA\ i : I. B\ i|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Sigma-cong2*:  
**assumes** *bij-betw*  $f\ (I::'i\ set)\ (J::'j\ set)$   
**shows**  $|SIGMA\ i : I. (A::'j \Rightarrow 'a\ set)\ (f\ i)| =_o |SIGMA\ j : J. A\ j|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Sigma-cong*:  
**assumes** *BIJ*: *bij-betw*  $f\ I\ J$  **and**  
 $ISO$ :  $\forall j \in J. |A\ j| =_o |B\ j|$   
**shows**  $|SIGMA\ i : I. A\ (f\ i)| =_o |SIGMA\ j : J. B\ j|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordIso-Sigma-cong1*:  
**assumes**  $\forall i \in I. p\ i =_o r\ i$   
**shows**  $|SIGMA\ i : I. Field(p\ i)| =_o |SIGMA\ i : I. Field(r\ i)|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordLeq-Sigma-cong*:

**assumes** *bij-betw*  $f$   $I$   $J$  **and**  
 $\forall j \in J. p\ j =_o r\ j$   
**shows**  $|SIGMA\ i : I. Field(p(f\ i))| =_o |SIGMA\ j : J. Field(r\ j)|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-UNION-Sigma2*:  
**assumes**  
 $!!\ i\ j. [\{i,j\} \leq I; i \sim j] \implies A\ i\ Int\ A\ j = \{\}$   
**shows**  
 $|\bigcup_{i \in I. A\ i}| =_o |Sigma\ I\ A|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *Plus-into-Times*:  
**assumes**  $A2: a1 \neq a2 \wedge \{a1, a2\} \leq A$  **and**  
 $B2: b1 \neq b2 \wedge \{b1, b2\} \leq B$   
**shows**  $\exists f. inj\text{-on}\ f\ (A\ <+>\ B) \wedge f\ ' (A\ <+>\ B) \leq A \times B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *Plus-into-Times-types*:  
**assumes**  $A2: (a1::'a) \neq a2$  **and**  $B2: (b1::'b) \neq b2$   
**shows**  $\exists (f::'a + 'b \Rightarrow 'a * 'b). inj\ f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *Times-same-infinite-bij-betw*:  
**assumes**  $\neg finite\ A$   
**shows**  $\exists f. bij\text{-betw}\ f\ (A \times A)\ A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *Times-same-infinite-bij-betw-types*:  
**assumes**  $INF: \neg finite(UNIV::'a\ set)$   
**shows**  $\exists (f::('a * 'a) \Rightarrow 'a). bij\ f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *Times-infinite-bij-betw*:  
**assumes**  $INF: \neg finite\ A$  **and**  $NE: B \neq \{\}$  **and**  $INJ: inj\text{-on}\ g\ B \wedge g\ ' B \leq A$   
**shows**  $(\exists f. bij\text{-betw}\ f\ (A \times B)\ A) \wedge (\exists h. bij\text{-betw}\ h\ (B \times A)\ A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *Times-infinite-bij-betw-types*:  
**assumes**  $INF: \neg finite(UNIV::'a\ set)$  **and**  
 $BIJ: inj(g::'b \Rightarrow 'a)$   
**shows**  $(\exists (f::('b * 'a) \Rightarrow 'a). bij\ f) \wedge (\exists (h::('a * 'b) \Rightarrow 'a). bij\ h)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Times-ordLeq-infinite*:  
 $[\neg finite\ C; |A| \leq_o |C|; |B| \leq_o |C|]$   
 $\implies |A \times B| \leq_o |C|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *Plus-infinite-bij-betw*:

**assumes** *INF*:  $\neg \text{finite } A$  **and** *INJ*:  $\text{inj-on } g \ B \wedge g \ 'B \leq A$

**shows**  $(\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f \ (A <+> B) \ A) \wedge (\exists h. \text{bij-betw } h \ (B <+> A) \ A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *Plus-infinite-bij-betw-types*:

**assumes** *INF*:  $\neg \text{finite}(UNIV::'a \ \text{set})$  **and**

*BIJ*:  $\text{inj}(g::'b \Rightarrow 'a)$

**shows**  $(\exists (f::'b \rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a). \text{bij } f) \wedge (\exists (h::'a \rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a). \text{bij } h)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Un-infinite*:

**assumes** *INF*:  $\neg \text{finite } A$  **and** *LEQ*:  $|B| \leq_o |A|$

**shows**  $|A \cup B| =_o |A| \wedge |B \cup A| =_o |A|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Un-infinite-simps[simp]*:

$\llbracket \neg \text{finite } A; |B| \leq_o |A| \rrbracket \Longrightarrow |A \cup B| =_o |A|$

$\llbracket \neg \text{finite } A; |B| \leq_o |A| \rrbracket \Longrightarrow |B \cup A| =_o |A|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Un-diff-infinite*:

**assumes** *INF*:  $\neg \text{finite } A$  **and** *LESS*:  $|B| <_o |A|$

**shows**  $|A - B| =_o |A|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *Card-order-Un-infinite*:

**assumes** *INF*:  $\neg \text{finite}(\text{Field } r)$  **and** *CARD*: *Card-order*  $r$  **and**

*LEQ*:  $p \leq_o r$

**shows**  $|(\text{Field } r) \cup (\text{Field } p)| =_o r \wedge |(\text{Field } p) \cup (\text{Field } r)| =_o r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *subset-ordLeq-diff-infinite*:

**assumes** *INF*:  $\neg \text{finite } B$  **and** *SUB*:  $A \leq B$  **and** *LESS*:  $|A| <_o |B|$

**shows**  $\neg \text{finite } (B - A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Times-ordLess-infinite[simp]*:

**assumes** *INF*:  $\neg \text{finite } C$  **and**

*LESS1*:  $|A| <_o |C|$  **and** *LESS2*:  $|B| <_o |C|$

**shows**  $|A \times B| <_o |C|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Times-ordLess-infinite-Field[simp]*:

**assumes** *INF*:  $\neg \text{finite}(\text{Field } r)$  **and**  $r$ : *Card-order*  $r$  **and**

*LESS1*:  $|A| <_o r$  **and** *LESS2*:  $|B| <_o r$

**shows**  $|A \times B| <_o r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Un-ordLess-infinite[simp]*:  
**assumes** *INF*:  $\neg \text{finite } C$  **and**  
 $\text{LESS1: } |A| <_o |C|$  **and**  $\text{LESS2: } |B| <_o |C|$   
**shows**  $|A \cup B| <_o |C|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Un-ordLess-infinite-Field[simp]*:  
**assumes** *INF*:  $\neg \text{finite } (\text{Field } r)$  **and**  $r$ : *Card-order*  $r$  **and**  
 $\text{LESS1: } |A| <_o r$  **and**  $\text{LESS2: } |B| <_o r$   
**shows**  $|A \text{ Un } B| <_o r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordLeq-finite-Field*:  
**assumes**  $r \leq_o s$  **and** *finite* (*Field*  $s$ )  
**shows** *finite* (*Field*  $r$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordIso-finite-Field*:  
**assumes**  $r =_o s$   
**shows** *finite* (*Field*  $r$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  *finite* (*Field*  $s$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 10.3 Cardinals versus set operations involving infinite sets

**lemma** *finite-iff-cardOf-nat*:  
*finite*  $A = ( |A| <_o |UNIV :: \text{nat set}| )$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *finite-ordLess-infinite2[simp]*:  
**assumes** *finite*  $A$  **and**  $\neg \text{finite } B$   
**shows**  $|A| <_o |B|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *infinite-card-of-insert*:  
**assumes**  $\neg \text{finite } A$   
**shows**  $|\text{insert } a \ A| =_o |A|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Un-singl-ordLess-infinite1*:  
**assumes**  $\neg \text{finite } B$  **and**  $|A| <_o |B|$   
**shows**  $|\{a\} \text{ Un } A| <_o |B|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Un-singl-ordLess-infinite*:  
**assumes**  $\neg \text{finite } B$   
**shows**  $( |A| <_o |B| ) = ( |\{a\} \text{ Un } A| <_o |B| )$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 10.4 Cardinals versus lists

The next is an auxiliary operator, which shall be used for inductive proofs of facts concerning the cardinality of *List* :

**definition** *nlists* :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list set  
**where** *nlists* A n  $\equiv \{l. \text{set } l \leq A \wedge \text{length } l = n\}$

**lemma** *lists-def2*: *lists* A =  $\{l. \text{set } l \leq A\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lists-UNION-nlists*: *lists* A =  $(\bigcup n. \text{nlists } A \ n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-lists*:  $|A| \leq_o |\text{lists } A|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nlists-0*: *nlists* A 0 =  $\{\{\}\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nlists-not-empty*:  
**assumes**  $A \neq \{\}$   
**shows** *nlists* A n  $\neq \{\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Nil-in-lists*:  $\square \in \text{lists } A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lists-not-empty*: *lists* A  $\neq \{\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-nlists-Succ*:  $|\text{nlists } A \ (\text{Suc } n)| =_o |A \times (\text{nlists } A \ n)|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-nlists-infinite*:  
**assumes**  $\neg \text{finite } A$   
**shows**  $|\text{nlists } A \ n| \leq_o |A|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-order-lists*: *Card-order* r  $\implies r \leq_o |\text{lists}(\text{Field } r)|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Union-set-lists*:  $\bigcup (\text{set } '(\text{lists } A)) = A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-on-map-lists*:  
**assumes** *inj-on* f A  
**shows** *inj-on* (map f) (*lists* A)  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$



**lemma** *map-lists-mono*:  
**assumes**  $f \text{ ' } A \leq B$   
**shows**  $(\text{map } f) \text{ ' } (\text{lists } A) \leq \text{lists } B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-lists-surjective*:  
**assumes**  $f \text{ ' } A = B$   
**shows**  $(\text{map } f) \text{ ' } (\text{lists } A) = \text{lists } B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bij-betw-map-lists*:  
**assumes** *bij-betw*  $f \ A \ B$   
**shows** *bij-betw*  $(\text{map } f) \ (\text{lists } A) \ (\text{lists } B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-lists-mono[simp]*:  
**assumes**  $|A| \leq_o |B|$   
**shows**  $|\text{lists } A| \leq_o |\text{lists } B|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordIso-lists-mono*:  
**assumes**  $r \leq_o r'$   
**shows**  $|\text{lists}(\text{Field } r)| \leq_o |\text{lists}(\text{Field } r')|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-lists-cong[simp]*:  
**assumes**  $|A| =_o |B|$   
**shows**  $|\text{lists } A| =_o |\text{lists } B|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-lists-infinite[simp]*:  
**assumes**  $\neg \text{finite } A$   
**shows**  $|\text{lists } A| =_o |A|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-order-lists-infinite*:  
**assumes** *Card-order*  $r$  **and**  $\neg \text{finite}(\text{Field } r)$   
**shows**  $|\text{lists}(\text{Field } r)| =_o r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordIso-lists-cong*:  
**assumes**  $r =_o r'$   
**shows**  $|\text{lists}(\text{Field } r)| =_o |\text{lists}(\text{Field } r')|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *lists-infinite-bij-betw*:  
**assumes**  $\neg \text{finite } A$   
**shows**  $\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f \ (\text{lists } A) \ A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *lists-infinite-bij-betw-types:*

**assumes**  $\neg \text{finite}(UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})$

**shows**  $\exists (f :: 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a). \text{bij } f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 10.5 Cardinals versus the finite powerset operator

**lemma** *card-of-Fpow[simp]:*  $|A| \leq_o |Fpow\ A|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-order-Fpow:*  $\text{Card-order } r \implies r \leq_o |Fpow(\text{Field } r)|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *image-Fpow-surjective:*

**assumes**  $f : A = B$

**shows**  $(\text{image } f) : (Fpow\ A) = Fpow\ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bij-betw-image-Fpow:*

**assumes** *bij-betw*  $f\ A\ B$

**shows** *bij-betw*  $(\text{image } f)\ (Fpow\ A)\ (Fpow\ B)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Fpow-mono[simp]:*

**assumes**  $|A| \leq_o |B|$

**shows**  $|Fpow\ A| \leq_o |Fpow\ B|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordIso-Fpow-mono:*

**assumes**  $r \leq_o r'$

**shows**  $|Fpow(\text{Field } r)| \leq_o |Fpow(\text{Field } r')|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Fpow-cong[simp]:*

**assumes**  $|A| =_o |B|$

**shows**  $|Fpow\ A| =_o |Fpow\ B|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordIso-Fpow-cong:*

**assumes**  $r =_o r'$

**shows**  $|Fpow(\text{Field } r)| =_o |Fpow(\text{Field } r')|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Fpow-lists:*  $|Fpow\ A| \leq_o |\text{lists } A|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Fpow-infinite[simp]:*

**assumes**  $\neg \text{finite } A$

**shows**  $|Fpow\ A| =_o |A|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *Fpow-infinite-bij-betw*:  
**assumes**  $\neg finite\ A$   
**shows**  $\exists f. bij\_betw\ f\ (Fpow\ A)\ A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 10.6 The cardinal $\omega$ and the finite cardinals

### 10.6.1 First as well-orders

**lemma** *Field-natLess*:  $Field\ natLess = (UNIV::nat\ set)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *natLeq-well-order-on*:  $well\_order\_on\ UNIV\ natLeq$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *natLeq-wo-rel*:  $wo\_rel\ natLeq$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *natLeq-ofilter-less*:  $ofilter\ natLeq\ \{0\ ..< n\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *natLeq-ofilter-leq*:  $ofilter\ natLeq\ \{0\ .. n\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *natLeq-UNIV-ofilter*:  $wo\_rel.ofilter\ natLeq\ UNIV$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *closed-nat-set-iff*:  
**assumes**  $\forall (m::nat)\ n. n \in A \wedge m \leq n \longrightarrow m \in A$   
**shows**  $A = UNIV \vee (\exists n. A = \{0\ ..< n\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *natLeq-ofilter-iff*:  
 $ofilter\ natLeq\ A = (A = UNIV \vee (\exists n. A = \{0\ ..< n\}))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *natLeq-under-leq*:  $under\ natLeq\ n = \{0\ .. n\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *natLeq-on-ofilter-less-eq*:  
 $n \leq m \implies wo\_rel.ofilter\ (natLeq\_on\ m)\ \{0\ ..< n\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *natLeq-on-ofilter-iff*:  
 $wo\_rel.ofilter\ (natLeq\_on\ m)\ A = (\exists n \leq m. A = \{0\ ..< n\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *natLeq-on-ofilter*:  
 $\text{ofilter}(\text{natLeq-on } n) \{0 \dots n\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *natLeq-on-ofilter-less*:  
 $n < m \implies \text{ofilter}(\text{natLeq-on } m) \{0 \dots n\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *natLeq-on-ordLess-natLeq*:  $\text{natLeq-on } n <_o \text{natLeq}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *natLeq-on-injective*:  
 $\text{natLeq-on } m = \text{natLeq-on } n \implies m = n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *natLeq-on-injective-ordIso*:  
 $(\text{natLeq-on } m =_o \text{natLeq-on } n) = (m = n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 10.6.2 Then as cardinals

**lemma** *ordIso-natLeq-infinite1*:  
 $|A| =_o \text{natLeq} \implies \neg \text{finite } A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordIso-natLeq-infinite2*:  
 $\text{natLeq} =_o |A| \implies \neg \text{finite } A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordIso-natLeq-on-imp-finite*:  
 $|A| =_o \text{natLeq-on } n \implies \text{finite } A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *natLeq-on-Card-order*:  $\text{Card-order}(\text{natLeq-on } n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *card-of-Field-natLeq-on*:  
 $|\text{Field}(\text{natLeq-on } n)| =_o \text{natLeq-on } n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *card-of-less*:  
 $|\{0 \dots n\}| =_o \text{natLeq-on } n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *natLeq-on-ordLeq-less-eq*:  
 $((\text{natLeq-on } m) \leq_o (\text{natLeq-on } n)) = (m \leq n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *natLeq-on-ordLeq-less*:

$((\text{natLeq-on } m) <_o (\text{natLeq-on } n)) = (m < n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordLeq-natLeq-on-imp-finite*:  
**assumes**  $|A| \leq_o \text{natLeq-on } n$   
**shows** *finite A*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 10.6.3 "Backward compatibility" with the numeric cardinal operator for finite sets

**lemma** *finite-card-of-iff-card2*:  
**assumes** *FIN: finite A and FIN': finite B*  
**shows**  $(|A| \leq_o |B|) = (\text{card } A \leq \text{card } B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *finite-imp-card-of-natLeq-on*:  
**assumes** *finite A*  
**shows**  $|A| =_o \text{natLeq-on } (\text{card } A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *finite-iff-card-of-natLeq-on*:  
 $\text{finite } A = (\exists n. |A| =_o \text{natLeq-on } n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *finite-card-of-iff-card*:  
**assumes** *FIN: finite A and FIN': finite B*  
**shows**  $(|A| =_o |B|) = (\text{card } A = \text{card } B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *finite-card-of-iff-card3*:  
**assumes** *FIN: finite A and FIN': finite B*  
**shows**  $(|A| <_o |B|) = (\text{card } A < \text{card } B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-Field-natLeq-on*:  
 $\text{card}(\text{Field}(\text{natLeq-on } n)) = n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 10.7 The successor of a cardinal

**lemma** *embed-implies-ordIso-Restr*:  
**assumes** *WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r' and EMB: embed r' r f*  
**shows**  $r' =_o \text{Restr } r (f' (\text{Field } r'))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cardSuc-Well-order[simp]*:  
 $\text{Card-order } r \implies \text{Well-order}(\text{cardSuc } r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Field-cardSuc-not-empty*:

**assumes** *Card-order*  $r$

**shows** *Field*  $(\text{cardSuc } r) \neq \{\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cardSuc-mono-ordLess[simp]*:

**assumes** *CARD*: *Card-order*  $r$  **and** *CARD'*: *Card-order*  $r'$

**shows**  $(\text{cardSuc } r <_o \text{cardSuc } r') = (r <_o r')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cardSuc-natLeq-on-Suc*:

$\text{cardSuc}(\text{natLeq-on } n) =_o \text{natLeq-on}(\text{Suc } n)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Plus-ordLeq-infinite[simp]*:

**assumes**  $C$ :  $\neg \text{finite } C$  **and**  $A$ :  $|A| \leq_o |C|$  **and**  $B$ :  $|B| \leq_o |C|$

**shows**  $|A <+> B| \leq_o |C|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Un-ordLeq-infinite[simp]*:

**assumes**  $C$ :  $\neg \text{finite } C$  **and**  $A$ :  $|A| \leq_o |C|$  **and**  $B$ :  $|B| \leq_o |C|$

**shows**  $|A \text{ Un } B| \leq_o |C|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 10.8 Others

**lemma** *under-mono[simp]*:

**assumes** *Well-order*  $r$  **and**  $(i, j) \in r$

**shows**  $\text{under } r \ i \subseteq \text{under } r \ j$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *underS-under*:

**assumes**  $i \in \text{Field } r$

**shows**  $\text{underS } r \ i = \text{under } r \ i - \{i\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *relChain-under*:

**assumes** *Well-order*  $r$

**shows**  $\text{relChain } r \ (\lambda i. \text{under } r \ i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-infinite-diff-finite*:

**assumes**  $\neg \text{finite } A$  **and** *finite*  $B$

**shows**  $|A - B| =_o |A|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *infinite-card-of-diff-singl*:

**assumes**  $\neg \text{finite } A$

**shows**  $|A - \{a\}| =_o |A|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-vimage*:

**assumes**  $B \subseteq \text{range } f$

**shows**  $|B| \leq_o |f - 'B|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *surj-card-of-vimage*:

**assumes** *surj*  $f$

**shows**  $|B| \leq_o |f - 'B|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *infinite-Pow*:

**assumes**  $\neg \text{finite } A$

**shows**  $\neg \text{finite } (\text{Pow } A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *Bpow* **where**

$Bpow\ r\ A \equiv \{X . X \subseteq A \wedge |X| \leq_o r\}$

**lemma** *Bpow-empty[simp]*:

**assumes** *Card-order*  $r$

**shows**  $Bpow\ r\ \{\} = \{\{\}\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *singl-in-Bpow*:

**assumes** *rc*: *Card-order*  $r$

**and**  $r$ : *Field*  $r \neq \{\}$  **and**  $a$ :  $a \in A$

**shows**  $\{a\} \in Bpow\ r\ A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordLeq-card-Bpow*:

**assumes** *rc*: *Card-order*  $r$  **and**  $r$ : *Field*  $r \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $|A| \leq_o |Bpow\ r\ A|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *infinite-Bpow*:

**assumes** *rc*: *Card-order*  $r$  **and**  $r$ : *Field*  $r \neq \{\}$

**and**  $A$ :  $\neg \text{finite } A$

**shows**  $\neg \text{finite } (Bpow\ r\ A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *Func-option* **where**

$Func\text{-}option\ A\ B \equiv$

$\{f. (\forall a. f\ a \neq \text{None} \longleftrightarrow a \in A) \wedge (\forall a \in A. \text{case } f\ a \text{ of } \text{Some } b \Rightarrow b \in B \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True})\}$

**lemma** *card-of-Func-option-Func*:

$|Func-option\ A\ B| =_o |Func\ A\ B|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *Pfunc* **where**

$Pfunc\ A\ B \equiv$   
 $\{f. (\forall a. f\ a \neq None \longrightarrow a \in A) \wedge$   
 $(\forall a. case\ f\ a\ of\ None \Rightarrow True \mid Some\ b \Rightarrow b \in B)\}$

**lemma** *Func-Pfunc*:

$Func-option\ A\ B \subseteq Pfunc\ A\ B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pfunc-Func-option*:

$Pfunc\ A\ B = (\bigcup A' \in Pow\ A. Func-option\ A'\ B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Func-mono*:

**fixes**  $A1\ A2 :: 'a\ set$  **and**  $B :: 'b\ set$   
**assumes**  $A12: A1 \subseteq A2$  **and**  $B: B \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $|Func\ A1\ B| \leq_o |Func\ A2\ B|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Func-option-mono*:

**fixes**  $A1\ A2 :: 'a\ set$  **and**  $B :: 'b\ set$   
**assumes**  $A12: A1 \subseteq A2$  **and**  $B: B \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $|Func-option\ A1\ B| \leq_o |Func-option\ A2\ B|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Pfunc-Pow-Func-option*:

**assumes**  $B \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $|Pfunc\ A\ B| \leq_o |Pow\ A \times Func-option\ A\ B|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Bpow-ordLeq-Func-Field*:

**assumes**  $rc: Card-order\ r$  **and**  $r: Field\ r \neq \{\}$  **and**  $A: \neg finite\ A$   
**shows**  $|Bpow\ r\ A| \leq_o |Func\ (Field\ r)\ A|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *empty-in-Func[simp]*:

$B \neq \{\} \implies (\lambda x. undefined) \in Func\ \{\}\ B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Func-mono[simp]*:

**assumes**  $B1 \subseteq B2$   
**shows**  $Func\ A\ B1 \subseteq Func\ A\ B2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pfunc-mono[simp]*:



**assumes**  $A1 \subseteq A2$  **and**  $B1 \subseteq B2$   
**shows**  $Pfunc\ A\ B1 \subseteq Pfunc\ A\ B2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Func-UNIV-UNIV*:  
 $|Func\ (UNIV::'a\ set)\ (UNIV::'b\ set)| =_o |UNIV::('a \Rightarrow 'b)\ set|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordLeq-Func*:  
**assumes**  $\{b1, b2\} \subseteq B$   $b1 \neq b2$   
**shows**  $|A| \leq_o |Func\ A\ B|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *infinite-Func*:  
**assumes**  $A: \neg finite\ A$  **and**  $B: \{b1, b2\} \subseteq B$   $b1 \neq b2$   
**shows**  $\neg finite\ (Func\ A\ B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 10.9 Infinite cardinals are limit ordinals

**lemma** *card-order-infinite-isLimOrd*:  
**assumes**  $c: Card\text{-}order\ r$  **and**  $i: \neg finite\ (Field\ r)$   
**shows**  $isLimOrd\ r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *insert-Chain*:  
**assumes**  $Refl\ r\ C \in Chains\ r$  **and**  $i \in Field\ r$  **and**  $\bigwedge j. j \in C \implies (j, i) \in r \vee (i, j) \in r$   
**shows**  $insert\ i\ C \in Chains\ r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Collect-insert*:  $\{R\ j\ |\ j. j \in insert\ j1\ J\} = insert\ (R\ j1)\ \{R\ j\ |\ j. j \in J\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Field-init-seg-of[simp]*:  
 $Field\ init\text{-}seg\text{-}of = UNIV$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *refl-init-seg-of[intro, simp]*:  $refl\ init\text{-}seg\text{-}of$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *regularCard-all-ex*:  
**assumes**  $r: Card\text{-}order\ r$   $regularCard\ r$   
**and**  $As: \bigwedge i\ j\ b. b \in B \implies (i, j) \in r \implies P\ i\ b \implies P\ j\ b$   
**and**  $Bsub: \forall b \in B. \exists i \in Field\ r. P\ i\ b$   
**and**  $cardB: |B| <_o r$   
**shows**  $\exists i \in Field\ r. \forall b \in B. P\ i\ b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *relChain-stabilize*:  
**assumes** *rc*: *relChain* *r* *As* **and** *AsB*:  $(\bigcup i \in \text{Field } r. \text{As } i) \subseteq B$  **and** *Br*:  $|B| <_o r$   
**and** *ir*:  $\neg \text{finite } (\text{Field } r)$  **and** *cr*: *Card-order* *r*  
**shows**  $\exists i \in \text{Field } r. \text{As } (\text{succ } r \ i) = \text{As } i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 10.10 Regular vs. stable cardinals

**definition** *stable* :: '*a* *rel*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*  
**where**  
*stable* *r*  $\equiv \forall (A :: 'a \text{ set}) (F :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}).$   
 $|A| <_o r \wedge (\forall a \in A. |F \ a| <_o r)$   
 $\longrightarrow |\text{SIGMA } a : A. F \ a| <_o r$

**lemma** *regularCard-stable*:  
**assumes** *cr*: *Card-order* *r* **and** *ir*:  $\neg \text{finite } (\text{Field } r)$  **and** *reg*: *regularCard* *r*  
**shows** *stable* *r*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *stable-regularCard*:  
**assumes** *cr*: *Card-order* *r* **and** *ir*:  $\neg \text{finite } (\text{Field } r)$  **and** *st*: *stable* *r*  
**shows** *regularCard* *r*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *stable-elim*:  
**assumes** *ST*: *stable* *r* **and** *A-LESS*:  $|A| <_o r$  **and**  
 $F\text{-LESS}: \bigwedge a. a \in A \implies |F \ a| <_o r$   
**shows**  $|\text{SIGMA } a : A. F \ a| <_o r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *stable-natLeq*: *stable* *natLeq*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *regularCard-natLeq*: *regularCard* *natLeq*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *stable-cardSuc*:  
**assumes** *CARD*: *Card-order* *r* **and** *INF*:  $\neg \text{finite } (\text{Field } r)$   
**shows** *stable*(*cardSuc* *r*)  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *stable-UNION*:  
**assumes** *ST*: *stable* *r* **and** *A-LESS*:  $|A| <_o r$  **and**  
 $F\text{-LESS}: \bigwedge a. a \in A \implies |F \ a| <_o r$   
**shows**  $|\bigcup a \in A. F \ a| <_o r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *stable-ordIso1*:

**assumes** *ST*: *stable r* **and** *ISO*:  $r' =_o r$   
**shows** *stable r'*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *stable-ordIso2*:  
**assumes** *ST*: *stable r* **and** *ISO*:  $r =_o r'$   
**shows** *stable r'*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *stable-ordIso*:  
**assumes**  $r =_o r'$   
**shows** *stable r* = *stable r'*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *stable-nat*: *stable*  $|UNIV::nat \text{ set}|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Below, the type of "A" is not important – we just had to choose an appropriate type to make "A" possible. What is important is that arbitrarily large infinite sets of stable cardinality exist.

**lemma** *infinite-stable-exists*:  
**assumes** *CARD*:  $\forall r \in R. \text{Card-order } (r::'a \text{ rel})$   
**shows**  $\exists (A :: (nat + 'a \text{ set}) \text{ set}).$   
 $\neg \text{finite } A \wedge \text{stable } |A| \wedge (\forall r \in R. r <_o |A|)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *infinite-regularCard-exists*:  
**assumes** *CARD*:  $\forall r \in R. \text{Card-order } (r::'a \text{ rel})$   
**shows**  $\exists (A :: (nat + 'a \text{ set}) \text{ set}).$   
 $\neg \text{finite } A \wedge \text{regularCard } |A| \wedge (\forall r \in R. r <_o |A|)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

## 11 Cardinal Arithmetic

**theory** *Cardinal-Arithmetic*  
**imports** *Cardinal-Order-Relation*  
**begin**

### 11.1 Binary sum

**lemma** *csum-Cnotzero2*:  
 $\text{Cnotzero } r2 \implies \text{Cnotzero } (r1 +_c r2)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *single-cone*:  
 $|\{x\}| =_o \text{cone}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cone-Cnotzero*:  $Cnotzero\ cone$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cone-ordLeq-ctwo*:  $cone \leq_o ctwo$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *csum-czero1*:  $Card\text{-}order\ r \implies r +_c czero =_o r$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *csum-czero2*:  $Card\text{-}order\ r \implies czero +_c r =_o r$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 11.2 Product

**lemma** *Times-cprod*:  $|A \times B| =_o |A| *_c |B|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-of-Times-singleton*:

**fixes**  $A :: 'a\ set$

**shows**  $|A \times \{x\}| =_o |A|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cprod-assoc*:  $(r *_c s) *_c t =_o r *_c s *_c t$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cprod-czero*:  $r *_c czero =_o czero$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cprod-cone*:  $Card\text{-}order\ r \implies r *_c cone =_o r$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordLeq-cprod1*:  $\llbracket Card\text{-}order\ p1; Cnotzero\ p2 \rrbracket \implies p1 \leq_o p1 *_c p2$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 11.3 Exponentiation

**lemma** *cexp-czero*:  $r \hat{^}_c czero =_o cone$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Pow-cexp-ctwo*:

$|Pow\ A| =_o ctwo \hat{^}_c |A|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Cnotzero-cexp*:

**assumes**  $Cnotzero\ q\ Card\text{-}order\ r$

**shows**  $Cnotzero\ (q \hat{^}_c r)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Cinfinite-ctwo-cexp*:

$Cinfinite\ r \implies Cinfinite\ (ctwo \hat{c}\ r)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *cone-ordLeq-iff-Field*:

**assumes**  $cone \leq_o r$   
**shows**  $Field\ r \neq \{\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *cone-ordLeq-cexp*:  $cone \leq_o r1 \implies cone \leq_o r1 \hat{c}\ r2$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Card-order-czero*: *Card-order czero*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *cexp-mono2''*:

**assumes**  $2: p2 \leq_o r2$   
**and**  $n1: Cnotzero\ q$   
**and**  $n2: Card\text{-}order\ p2$   
**shows**  $q \hat{c}\ p2 \leq_o q \hat{c}\ r2$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *csum-cexp*:  $\llbracket Cinfinite\ r1; Cinfinite\ r2; Card\text{-}order\ q; ctwo \leq_o q \rrbracket \implies$   
 $q \hat{c}\ r1 +_c q \hat{c}\ r2 \leq_o q \hat{c}\ (r1 +_c r2)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *csum-cexp'*:  $\llbracket Cinfinite\ r; Card\text{-}order\ q; ctwo \leq_o q \rrbracket \implies q +_c r \leq_o q \hat{c}\ r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *card-of-Sigma-ordLeq-Cinfinite*:

$\llbracket Cinfinite\ r; |I| \leq_o r; \forall i \in I. |A\ i| \leq_o r \rrbracket \implies |SIGMA\ i : I. A\ i| \leq_o r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *card-order-cexp*:

**assumes**  $card\text{-}order\ r1\ card\text{-}order\ r2$   
**shows**  $card\text{-}order\ (r1 \hat{c}\ r2)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Cinfinite-ordLess-cexp*:

**assumes**  $r: Cinfinite\ r$   
**shows**  $r <_o r \hat{c}\ r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *infinite-ordLeq-cexp*:

**assumes**  $Cinfinite\ r$   
**shows**  $r \leq_o r \hat{c}\ r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *czero-cexp*:  $Cnotzero\ r \implies czero\ \hat{c}\ r =_o czero$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *Func-singleton*:  
**fixes**  $x :: 'b$  **and**  $A :: 'a\ set$   
**shows**  $|Func\ A\ \{x\}| =_o |\{x\}|$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *cone-cexp*:  $cone\ \hat{c}\ r =_o cone$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *card-of-Func-squared*:  
**fixes**  $A :: 'a\ set$   
**shows**  $|Func\ (UNIV :: bool\ set)\ A| =_o |A \times A|$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *cexp-ctwo*:  $r\ \hat{c}\ ctwo =_o r *_{c}\ r$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *card-of-Func-Plus*:  
**fixes**  $A :: 'a\ set$  **and**  $B :: 'b\ set$  **and**  $C :: 'c\ set$   
**shows**  $|Func\ (A\ <+>\ B)\ C| =_o |Func\ A\ C \times Func\ B\ C|$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *cexp-csum*:  $r\ \hat{c}\ (s +_{c}\ t) =_o r\ \hat{c}\ s *_{c}\ r\ \hat{c}\ t$   
 ⟨proof⟩

## 11.4 Powerset

**definition** *cpow* **where**  $cpow\ r = |Pow\ (Field\ r)|$

**lemma** *card-order-cpow*:  $card\text{-}order\ r \implies card\text{-}order\ (cpow\ r)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *cpow-greater-eq*:  $Card\text{-}order\ r \implies r \leq_o cpow\ r$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *Cinfinite-cpow*:  $Cinfinite\ r \implies Cinfinite\ (cpow\ r)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *Card-order-cpow*:  $Card\text{-}order\ (cpow\ r)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *cardSuc-ordLeq-cpow*:  $Card\text{-}order\ r \implies cardSuc\ r \leq_o cpow\ r$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *cpow-cexp-ctwo*:  $cpow\ r =_o ctwo\ \hat{c}\ r$   
 ⟨proof⟩

## 11.5 Inverse image

**lemma** *vimage-ordLeq*:

**assumes**  $|A| \leq_o k$  **and**  $\forall a \in A. |vimage\ f\ \{a\}| \leq_o k$  **and** *Cinfinite*  $k$

**shows**  $|vimage\ f\ A| \leq_o k$

*<proof>*

## 11.6 Maximum

**definition** *cmax* **where**

*cmax*  $r\ s =$

(if *cinfinite*  $r \vee$  *cinfinite*  $s$  then  $czero +_c r +_c s$

else  $\text{natLeq-on}\ (\max\ (\text{card}\ (\text{Field}\ r))\ (\text{card}\ (\text{Field}\ s))) +_c\ czero$ )

**lemma** *cmax-com*:  $cmax\ r\ s =_o\ cmax\ s\ r$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cmax1*:

**assumes** *Card-order*  $r$  *Card-order*  $s\ s \leq_o r$

**shows**  $cmax\ r\ s =_o r$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cmax2*:

**assumes** *Card-order*  $r$  *Card-order*  $s\ r \leq_o s$

**shows**  $cmax\ r\ s =_o s$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *csum-absorb2*:  $Cinfinite\ r2 \implies r1 \leq_o r2 \implies r1 +_c r2 =_o r2$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cprod-infinite2'*:  $\llbracket Cnotzero\ r1; Cinfinite\ r2; r1 \leq_o r2 \rrbracket \implies r1 *_c r2 =_o$

$r2$

*<proof>*

**context**

**fixes**  $r\ s$

**assumes**  $r: Cinfinite\ r$

**and**  $s: Cinfinite\ s$

**begin**

**lemma** *cmax-csum*:  $cmax\ r\ s =_o r +_c s$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cmax-cprod*:  $cmax\ r\ s =_o r *_c s$

*<proof>*

**end**

**lemma** *Card-order-cmax*:

**assumes**  $r: Card-order\ r$  **and**  $s: Card-order\ s$

**shows** *Card-order* (*cmax* *r s*)  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordLeq-cmax*:  
**assumes** *r*: *Card-order* *r* **and** *s*: *Card-order* *s*  
**shows**  $r \leq_o \text{cmax } r \ s \wedge s \leq_o \text{cmax } r \ s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *ordLeq-cmax1* = *ordLeq-cmax*[*THEN* *conjunct1*] **and**  
*ordLeq-cmax2* = *ordLeq-cmax*[*THEN* *conjunct2*]

**lemma** *finite-cmax*:  
**assumes** *r*: *Card-order* *r* **and** *s*: *Card-order* *s*  
**shows**  $\text{finite } (\text{Field } (\text{cmax } r \ s)) \longleftrightarrow \text{finite } (\text{Field } r) \wedge \text{finite } (\text{Field } s)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

## 12 Extending Well-founded Relations to Wellorders

**theory** *Wellorder-Extension*  
**imports** *Main Order-Union*  
**begin**

### 12.1 Extending Well-founded Relations to Wellorders

A *downset* (also lower set, decreasing set, initial segment, or downward closed set) is closed w.r.t. smaller elements.

**definition** *downset-on* **where**  
 $\text{downset-on } A \ r = (\forall x \ y. (x, y) \in r \wedge y \in A \longrightarrow x \in A)$

**lemma** *downset-onI*:  
 $(\bigwedge x \ y. (x, y) \in r \implies y \in A \implies x \in A) \implies \text{downset-on } A \ r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *downset-onD*:  
 $\text{downset-on } A \ r \implies (x, y) \in r \implies y \in A \implies x \in A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Extensions of relations w.r.t. a given set.

**definition** *extension-on* **where**  
 $\text{extension-on } A \ r \ s = (\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. (x, y) \in s \longrightarrow (x, y) \in r)$

**lemma** *extension-onI*:  
 $(\bigwedge x \ y. [x \in A; y \in A; (x, y) \in s] \implies (x, y) \in r) \implies \text{extension-on } A \ r \ s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$



**lemma** *extension-onD*:

*extension-on*  $A$   $r$   $s \implies x \in A \implies y \in A \implies (x, y) \in s \implies (x, y) \in r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *downset-on-Union*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge r. r \in R \implies \text{downset-on } (\text{Field } r) p$   
**shows**  $\text{downset-on } (\text{Field } (\bigcup R)) p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *chain-subset-extension-on-Union*:

**assumes**  $\text{chain}_{\subseteq} R$  **and**  $\bigwedge r. r \in R \implies \text{extension-on } (\text{Field } r) r p$   
**shows**  $\text{extension-on } (\text{Field } (\bigcup R)) (\bigcup R) p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *downset-on-empty [simp]*:  $\text{downset-on } \{\} p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *extension-on-empty [simp]*:  $\text{extension-on } \{\} p q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Every well-founded relation can be extended to a wellorder.

**theorem** *well-order-extension*:

**assumes**  $\text{wf } p$   
**shows**  $\exists w. p \subseteq w \wedge \text{Well-order } w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Every well-founded relation can be extended to a total wellorder.

**corollary** *total-well-order-extension*:

**assumes**  $\text{wf } p$   
**shows**  $\exists w. p \subseteq w \wedge \text{Well-order } w \wedge \text{Field } w = \text{UNIV}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *well-order-on-extension*:

**assumes**  $\text{wf } p$  **and**  $\text{Field } p \subseteq A$   
**shows**  $\exists w. p \subseteq w \wedge \text{well-order-on } A w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

## 13 Theory of Ordinals and Cardinals

**theory** *Cardinals*

**imports** *Ordinal-Arithmetic Cardinal-Arithmetic Wellorder-Extension*

**begin**

**end**

## 14 Sets Strictly Bounded by an Infinite Cardinal

```
theory Bounded-Set
imports Cardinals
begin
```

```
typedef ('a, 'k) bset (- set[-] [22, 21] 21) =
  {A :: 'a set. |A| <o natLeq +c |UNIV :: 'k set|}
morphisms set-bset Abs-bset
⟨proof⟩
```

```
setup-lifting type-definition-bset
```

```
lift-definition map-bset ::
  ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a set['k] ⇒ 'b set['k] is image
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lift-definition rel-bset ::
  ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a set['k] ⇒ 'b set['k] ⇒ bool is rel-set
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lift-definition bempty :: 'a set['k] is {}
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lift-definition binsert :: 'a ⇒ 'a set['k] ⇒ 'a set['k] is insert
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition bsingleton where
  bsingleton x = binsert x bempty
```

```
lemma set-bset-to-set-bset: |A| <o natLeq +c |UNIV :: 'k set| ⇒
  set-bset (the-inv set-bset A :: 'a set['k]) = A
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma rel-bset-aux-infinite:
  fixes a :: 'a set['k] and b :: 'b set['k]
  shows (∀ t ∈ set-bset a. ∃ u ∈ set-bset b. R t u) ∧ (∀ u ∈ set-bset b. ∃ t ∈ set-bset
a. R t u) ⟷
  ((BNF-Def.Grp {a. set-bset a ⊆ {(a, b). R a b}} (map-bset fst))-1-1 OO
  BNF-Def.Grp {a. set-bset a ⊆ {(a, b). R a b}} (map-bset snd)) a b (is ?L ⟷
  ?R)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
bnf 'a set['k]
  map: map-bset
  sets: set-bset
  bd: natLeq +c |UNIV :: 'k set|
  wits: bempty
  rel: rel-bset
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-bset-bempty[simp]*:  $map\text{-}bset\ f\ bempty = bempty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-bset-binsert[simp]*:  $map\text{-}bset\ f\ (binsert\ x\ X) = binsert\ (f\ x)\ (map\text{-}bset\ f\ X)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-bset-bsingleton*:  $map\text{-}bset\ f\ (bsingleton\ x) = bsingleton\ (f\ x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bempty-not-binsert*:  $bempty \neq binsert\ x\ X \wedge binsert\ x\ X \neq bempty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bempty-not-bsingleton[simp]*:  $bempty \neq bsingleton\ x \wedge bsingleton\ x \neq bempty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bsingleton-inj[simp]*:  $bsingleton\ x = bsingleton\ y \longleftrightarrow x = y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-bsingleton[simp]*:  
 $rel\text{-}bset\ R\ (bsingleton\ x1)\ (bsingleton\ x2) = R\ x1\ x2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-bset-bsingleton[simp]*:  
 $rel\text{-}bset\ R\ (bsingleton\ x1) = (\lambda X. X \neq bempty \wedge (\forall x2 \in set\text{-}bset\ X. R\ x1\ x2))$   
 $rel\text{-}bset\ R\ X\ (bsingleton\ x2) = (X \neq bempty \wedge (\forall x1 \in set\text{-}bset\ X. R\ x1\ x2))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-bset-bempty[simp]*:  
 $rel\text{-}bset\ R\ bempty\ X = (X = bempty)$   
 $rel\text{-}bset\ R\ Y\ bempty = (Y = bempty)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *bset-of-option* **where**  
 $bset\text{-}of\text{-}option = case\text{-}option\ bempty\ bsingleton$

**lift-definition** *bgraph* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'b\ option) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b)\ set['a\ set]$  **is**  
 $\lambda f. \{(a, b). f\ a = Some\ b\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-bset-False[simp]*:  $rel\text{-}bset\ (\lambda x\ y. False)\ x\ y = (x = bempty \wedge y = bempty)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-bset-of-option[simp]*:  
 $rel\text{-}bset\ R\ (bset\text{-}of\text{-}option\ x1)\ (bset\text{-}of\text{-}option\ x2) = rel\text{-}option\ R\ x1\ x2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-bgraph[simp]*:  
 $rel\text{-}bset\ (rel\text{-}prod\ (=)\ R)\ (bgraph\ f1)\ (bgraph\ f2) = rel\text{-}fun\ (=)\ (rel\text{-}option\ R)\ f1\ f2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *set-bset-bsingleton[simp]*:  
 $set\text{-}bset\ (bsingleton\ x) = \{x\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *binsert-absorb[simp]*:  $binsert\ a\ (binsert\ a\ x) = binsert\ a\ x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-bset-eq-bempty-iff[simp]*:  $map\text{-}bset\ f\ X = bempty \longleftrightarrow X = bempty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-bset-eq-bsingleton-iff[simp]*:  
 $map\text{-}bset\ f\ X = bsingleton\ x \longleftrightarrow (set\text{-}bset\ X \neq \{\}) \wedge (\forall y \in set\text{-}bset\ X. f\ y = x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lift-definition** *bCollect* ::  $('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a\ set['a\ set]$  **is** *Collect*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lift-definition** *bmember* ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a\ set['k] \Rightarrow bool$  **is**  $(\in)$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bmember-bCollect[simp]*:  $bmember\ a\ (bCollect\ P) = P\ a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bset-eq-iff*:  $A = B \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. bmember\ a\ A = bmember\ a\ B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**locale** *bset-lifting*  
**begin**

**declare** *bset.rel-eq*[*relator-eq*]  
**declare** *bset.rel-mono*[*relator-mono*]  
**declare** *bset.rel-comp*[*symmetric*, *relator-distr*]  
**declare** *bset.rel-transfer*[*transfer-rule*]

**lemma** *bset-quot-map[quot-map]*:  $Quotient\ R\ Abs\ Rep\ T \Longrightarrow$   
 $Quotient\ (rel\text{-}bset\ R)\ (map\text{-}bset\ Abs)\ (map\text{-}bset\ Rep)\ (rel\text{-}bset\ T)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *set-relator-eq-onp* [*relator-eq-onp*]:  
 $rel\text{-}bset\ (eq\text{-}onp\ P) = eq\text{-}onp\ (\lambda A. Ball\ (set\text{-}bset\ A)\ P)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

end