Power Laws in Smalltalk

Nicola Serra, <u>nicola.serra@diee.unica.it</u> Stefano Tuveri, <u>stefano.tuveri@diee.unica.it</u>



DIEE Università di Cagliari Cagliari, Italy

• Introduction: Random Graphs and Scale Free Networks

- Software Systems as Complex Networks
- The Smalltalk Graph
- Results
- Conclusions and Further Works
- Question(s)

Networks: Node and Connections

• Real Systems as Complex Networks: the Web, the North American Power Grid, Biological Systems, Social Networks...

... Software Systems

Introduction

Traditional Models

A Random Graph is made starting from a set of n nodes and connecting each pair independently with a given probability p

Many real systems in fact behave according to laws significantly different from those predicted by Erdos and Renyi theory

Scale Free Networks vs. Random Graphs

Node Degree: number of edges connected with a given node

• Random Graphs display a Poisson degree distribution:

$$p_{k} = \binom{n}{k} \cdot p^{k} \cdot (1-p)^{n-k} \cong \frac{z^{k} \cdot e^{-z}}{k!}$$

Where n is the number of nodes and z is the average degree of the Random Graph

Real Networks display a Power Law degree distribution:

 $p_k \propto k^{-\gamma}$

Poisson vs. Power Low



• Very recently, some studies have been performed representing OO systems as complex networks, where nodes are representations of classes and connections are representation of relationships between them

• These experiences show that run-time objects and static class structures of object oriented systems are in fact governed by scale free power law distributions

• Most of these studies of complex software systems are based on C++ and Java code

• Smalltalk is a dynamic typed language: it is more difficult to identify relationships between classes just exploring the code, as it provides less information about classes types than static typed languages

We define that class A depends on class B if a method of class B is called from within a method of class A

• Thus, when somewhere in the definition of class A, a message is sent to some variable, we ask the system the IMPLEMENTORS of that message.

The Smalltalk Graph

ClassA >> aMethod: anObject

anObject doSomething

• If *ClassB* is the Implementor of *doSomething* method then a dependence relationship exists between *ClassA* and *ClassB*

• What when a method has more than one implementor?

- Our study has been structured across the following steps:
- Building of the class relations graph
- Computing the survival distributions of the input degree and output degree
- Plotting the survival distributions on a Log-Log plot

Main Purpose

Verify that the distributions tails are better fitted by a power law rather than by the Poisson distribution typical of the random graphs.

Agile Group – DIEE, Università degli studi di Cagliari

ESUG 2004 – 06 09 2004





Fig. 2. Log-Log plot of input degree survival distribution computed on VisualWorks system. Similar plots are obtained for other analyzed systems: Squeak, VisualWorks with Jun and VisualWorks with VisualWave.

Agile Group – DIEE, Università degli studi di Cagliari

ESUG 2004 - 06 09 2004

Results



Fig. 3. Log-Log plot of output degree survival distribution computed on Squeak system. Similar plots are obtained for other analyzed systems: VisualWorks, VisualWorks with Jun and VisualWorks with VisualWave.

Agile Group – DIEE, Università degli studi di Cagliari

ESUG 2004 - 06 09 2004

• We have studied distribution laws related to object-oriented class relationships of four Smalltalk systems

• Statistical distributions of the class-relationship graph exhibit scale-free and heavy-tailed degree distributions

• Moreover, these distributions show strong regularities in their characteristic exponents.

• Is it possible to correlate statistical graph properties with software quality?

• Is it possible to develop a growth theory of software graphs, which in turn could be used to model evolution and maintenance of software systems?